

APPENDIX D
SURVEY ANALYSIS

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

METHODOLOGY: The Town’s planning consultant analyzed the survey responses from Town of Milo residents and landowners. First, each returned survey was given a unique identification number (id), and that id number was entered into a Microsoft Access data base along with the corresponding survey responses. The numbering allowed quality control checks to occur after data input by referring back to the corresponding survey number. Responses to questions 1 through 5 were entered into the database simply as the letter of the multiple-choice response (A, B, C.... for example). A value of –1 was assigned where no response was indicated. Responses to questions 6A-6Q were given the numerical rank chosen by the respondent (1-5). Where respondents wrote in a zero, zero was entered. Where the answer was left blank, a value of –1 was entered. Responses to questions 7 through 34 were assigned a numerical value as follows: 5: Very Satisfied, 4: Satisfied, 3: Neutral, 2: Unsatisfied, 1: Satisfied, 0: No Experience. Where the question was not answered, a value of –1 was assigned. Responses to questions 35 through 41 were assigned a numerical value as follows: 5: Very Important, 4: Important, 3: Neutral, 2: Unimportant, 1: Very Unimportant, 0: No Experience. Where the question was not answered, a value of –1 was assigned. Responses to questions 42 through 77 were entered as follows: 5: Strongly Agree, 4: Agree, 3: Neutral, 2: Disagree, 1: Strongly Disagree, 0: No Experience. Where the question was not answered, a value of –1 was assigned. Written responses were typed in as submitted, with question marks (??) inserted where the text was illegible. Comments that were written onto the survey next to the multiple choice questions were also recorded as text, with the question number and the comment entered into the database.

The database was then searched for out-of-range data for each question (incorrect letters for questions 1 – 5, incorrect numbers for questions 6 - 77). Where such out-of-range data existed, the id number of the survey involved allowed the submitted survey to be proofed against database and the data corrected where necessary. An additional 10% (78) of the surveys were then randomly chosen and the database proofed against the original for quality control purposes. These 78 surveys represented 7,332 data entries (there were 93 multiple choice answers plus the survey id number entered for each survey), not including the written responses. Of this 7,332 data entries sampled, no errors were found.

The responses to the written questions were divided up between respondents indicating they were a resident or landowner within the Village of Penn Yan, those from the Town of Milo outside of the Village of Penn Yan, those that indicated both a Penn Yan origination and one from within the Town (for example: some surveys chose response A & F, which would indicate the Village of Penn Yan and Himrod), and those that did not answer question 1 so their origin was unknown. For each of these categories, the written responses were exported to a Microsoft Word compatible file format and spell checked to pick up any data entry or respondent grammatical errors. Proper names, such as those of various local businesses, were not corrected although they were checked to ensure that what was typed into the database accurately reflected the submitted survey response. Many of the conclusions drawn from the written responses are mentioned in the discussion of the analysis of other related multiple-choice questions. For example, the number of people indicating that feral cats were a problem in their neighborhood in their response to question 81 is discussed as part of the analysis of the responses to question 67 (Domestic pets are a problem in my neighborhood).

Analysis of the multiple choice responses was done by importing the Access database into Microsoft FoxPro. This allowed separate analysis to be run for each question for all returned surveys, surveys returned from the portion of the Village of Penn Yan within the Town of Milo, and for surveys returned from outside of the Village of Penn Yan in the Town of Milo. This allowed the

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

Comprehensive Plan Committee the ability to determine if opinions on certain issues varied widely between village and town residents and landowners. It also enabled certain questions, such as Question 66 (Noise is a problem in my neighborhood) to be analyzed by neighborhood, and other questions to be analyzed by age of the respondent.

The results of the analyses of the multiple-choice questions are presented in tables for each question. The total number of respondents that chose each of the possible answers is shown (such as answer 'A' was chosen by 18 respondents), and then some statistical analyses are provided in the table. For questions 1 through 5, the total responses for each alternative answer and the percent of the total responses are provided. For question 6, the number of respondents for each rank (-1 through 5) for each answer (A through Q) is provided, along with the total ranking each answer above a '0' response. In addition to the number of respondents selecting each answer (-1 through 5) for questions 7 through 77, the numerical average of the responses (1 to 5) is given. It is important to note that while the number of '0' and '-1' responses is reported, these values were NOT used in calculating the numerical average. Using this approach, an average above 3 is positive, 3.00 is neutral, and below 3 is negative for each question. A column labeled 'Indicator' is also presented documenting how much above or below neutral (or 3) the average score for the responses to each question are (positive numbers indicate a positive response, negative numbers a negative response). The Indicator field ranges from -2 to 2, with zero being a neutral response. The Indicator field analysis provides a method of compensating for the strength of the responses (agree vs. strongly agree, for example). With an Indicator analysis it is possible to have a positive Indicator value even where most people responded negatively to a question. This is possible, for example, where respondents indicating opposition were not strongly opposed and most respondents in favor were strongly in favor. The utility of the Indicator Field value allows a quick identification of issues where there may be a very opinionated minority. Finally, the number of positive responses, negative responses, and undecided (being the total of the neutral, no opinion, and no answer responses) are tabulated for each question. These three numbers quickly identify whether a statement is supported by the public or not, but also how many respondents remain undecided. A question can, for example, have a very positive ranking but not many respondents felt strongly enough to indicate support or opposition. Such a result may indicate the need for the town to provide more information for residents to voice an opinion.

ANALYSIS: In the following text, the original question (or the topic of that question) is italicized followed by a qualitative analysis of the responses to that question in normal (non-italicized) text. Where the term 'Penn Yan' appears, the analysis is referring to the responses from the portion of the Village within the Town of Milo. Where the word 'Town' appears, it is referring to the portion of the Town of Milo outside of the Village of Penn Yan. All other references not qualified by the word 'Town' or the phrase 'Penn Yan' refer to the analysis of all returned surveys. Following this qualitative analysis, the sets of tables depicting the numerical analysis are provided. These are followed by the actual written responses submitted.

Question 1: *Please indicate the neighborhood you live in or your business or property is located in:* Survey responses were received from throughout the town, with just over half of the responses coming from resident & landowners in the Village of Penn Yan. About 5% of the surveys returned did not specify their location or were from people that resided outside of the Town but owned land, a residence, or business in the Town or Penn Yan.

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

Question 2: Ownership: This question asked whether people owned, rented, resided in the town, operated a business, or just owned or worded agricultural land. Just under 14% reported that they owned land in the town, including the village, but their permanent residence was elsewhere. A little over 70% of respondents owned their homes, and only about 5% rented their permanent residence. About 5% were business owners, and about 5% did not answer this question or selected ‘none of the above.’

Question 3: *I have lived in my current residence (number of years):* The responses to this length of residency question were interesting. About 36% claimed to have lived in the Town more than 20 years. This figure was only marginally higher for the Town outside Penn Yan, where about 38% claimed residency for more than 20 years.

Question 4: *My age is:* People less than 30 years of age represented only about 2.5% of all survey responses and only 1% for the Town. This is likely the result of two factors: First, the demographic analysis of the Town shows that the 18 to 30 year age group is small in the Town reflecting that many young people leave the area to seek education and employment elsewhere (outside of the County), yet many move back to the area after a varying number of years. Second, this age group has young families, new careers, and active lifestyles that keep them busy so that few took the time to respond to the survey. The fact that this age group made up 3% of responses within Penn Yan can be accounted for by the greater percentage of young adults in the Village as compared with the Town due to the availability of rental properties in the village, especially multi family dwellings.

Question 5: *In the past the Town has invested in making infrastructure improvements in the Horizon Business Park in order to promote economic development. Should the Town.....:* This question offered a variety of responses asking if the town should continue, stop, or delimit future investment to attract, support, or encourage business. Less than 5% thought the town should stop, about 7% didn’t answer, nearly 20% were unsure, and 68% supported one of the responses directing the town to continue or expand such investment practices. Support for continuing such investment was only marginally less for responses from the Town versus Penn Yan.

Question 6: *This question asked about people’s reasons for living in the Town:* The Scenic beauty of the area was the most chosen and highest rated response, followed by the low crime rate, the rural atmosphere of the Town, proximity to the Finger Lakes, and low-density housing. These rankings were identical for the separate analysis of responses from Penn Yan and the Town (although the ‘rural atmosphere of the town’ and ‘proximity to the Finger Lakes’ each received the same number of responses from Town respondents). The answer, ‘family living in the area’ was also ranked very highly. The local job market was the least mentioned reason.

Question 7-34 *asked whether people were satisfied with various officers, boards, services, and taxing jurisdictions:* Town staff members were generally well rated. For local boards, questions were asked concerning the courtesy they showed the public versus how competently they performed. While there are no glaring negative ratings, some of the local boards, such as the Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals, would normally be expected to be more positively rated. This can be addressed in a number of ways. For example, time should be taken at the outset of every meeting to explain procedures, the opportunities and times when public comment is appropriate, the way public hearings will be conducted, and the rules under which the board has to make decisions. This last item is critically important. The public often misunderstands the basis upon which these boards must legally base their decision—that they cannot turn down an

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

application when it meets certain legal requirements even if the majority of citizens are vehemently opposed. While citizens may not be any happier with the result, they will leave such meetings with a sense that the board was competent in its actions and, “understood what they were doing.”

Another factor that stands out in this series of questions is the concern that many respondents had regarding local property taxes, especially those of the Village of Penn Yan and Yates County. While few people express pleasure in paying taxes, these two taxing entities were rated very negatively. The Yates County tax rate is especially curious, since it is actually lower than that of several surrounding Counties, including Steuben, Seneca, and Wayne, and is only a few cents higher than neighboring Ontario. The tax rate in Penn Yan received the most negative ranking in this series of questions. At the same time, maintenance of Village roads received the second lowest rating in this series of questions, and elicited many negative comments written next to this question as well as comments in response to questions 80 and 81. Penn Yan respondents ranked the Village tax rate and road maintenance more negatively than Town respondents. Town respondents gave the Town’s tax rate a negative ranking where Penn Yan respondents gave it a positive one. Many of the written responses referred to real property taxes as a concern. Many of those responses indicated a major misunderstanding of the scope of services the Town and/or the Village provide, what services are provided by various levels of government, and the ways in which local governments currently cooperate to save money. The lack of understanding on this issue, and on others mentioned later in this analysis, indicates that Town government should consider doing more to communicate with its citizens. One of the most glaring misunderstandings of respondents is that general town taxes neither pay for infrastructure services (sewer & water services or lighting districts, for example), nor the expansion of those services to serve residents.

Questions 35 – 38 *attempted to assess the level of support for protecting the water quality of Seneca and Keuka lakes, including imposition of regulations on lawn chemicals and storm water runoff and erosion:* All of the questions, including regulating storm water runoff and erosion control, were almost universally supported by respondents. These results validate the recommendations of the Lakefront and Land Use subcommittees concerning the need for site plan review for near lake development, reducing the density of development on steep slope areas, and requiring stormwater and erosion control measures for development in these areas. Somewhat surprisingly, respondents even strongly supported regulation of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides in regard to improving lake water quality.

Questions 39 – 40 *assessed support for agricultural land uses and preserving the rural character of the town:* These statements were universally strongly supported. People want agricultural operations to be protected from incompatible development. This supports the Town Agriculture Committee’s recommendations to zone the agricultural areas of the town for agriculture first, and residences allowed at a low density in areas where they do not disrupt the agricultural use of the valuable and important soil resources of the town. The Town’s rural character is very important to its residents.

Question 41: *Night time exterior light levels are a concern in my neighborhood:* While a majority did not agree with this statement, a significant number within the village did. This question was analyzed by neighborhood within the Town, and the only area where more respondents agreed than disagreed was in the Seneca Lakeshore area. Respondents from the Keuka Lakeshore area were split, with the average response being almost neutral. While not a clear majority, this does indicate that this issue is increasing in importance and should be addressed by the Town. Since site plan

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

review has been recommended for development in these areas. The town should include exterior lighting guidelines and requirements as part of site plan requirements, and generally require that lighting levels not exceed levels needed for security, that fixtures should direct light down toward the ground, and that spillage on adjacent properties be avoided to the maximum extent practical. Exterior lighting levels do not appear to be a concern in other neighborhoods of the Town.

Question 42: Open burning of yard debris (leaves, limbs, etc.) should be prohibited in the residential areas of the town: This concept received stronger support from Penn Yan respondents than those from the Town, but was supported in each. This question was also analyzed by respondents' neighborhood. While stronger support was evident in denser populated areas such as the Seneca and Keuka lakeshore, no area was significantly against the idea. The town should be aware that the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) is currently contemplating new regulations concerning outdoor burning. The Town should monitor DEC's progress toward promulgating such regulations, and if the state does not adopt such regulations, the Town should work with its municipal attorney to develop local laws to address the situation in the neighborhoods where residents cite it as an issue.

Question 43: The Town should develop a composting location, possibly in cooperation with Penn Yan and other towns where residents can deposit yard waste for mulching and chipping, and where residents can pick up wood chips: This concept was universally strongly supported and should be pursued by the Town, hopefully in cooperation with the Village of Penn Yan.

Question 44: The Town should pursue and even fine property owners to clean up 'junkyard' type conditions on private property: This statement was universally and strongly supported. The Town should consider being much more proactive in regard to this issue. The New York State Department of State, Office of Local Government Assistance, publishes a primer on this topic to guide local governments in reviewing their existing local laws and crafting new ones to correctly and efficiently regulate junkyards and waste. The current New York State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code also grants Code Enforcement Officers wide authority to order the clean up of such properties through orders to maintain. Finally, some of the properties inventoried in this comprehensive plan pose health risks in regard to the harboring of vermin (rodents) and providing areas for mosquito breeding. The Town Board has the authority to sit as a Board of Health and order cleanup of such properties upon serving of due notice. This avenue should be explored if other attempts to remedy fail. The Town should first ensure that it has a fine and fee structure in place so that it can recoup the cost of staff time and, if necessary, clean up of properties where such extreme action becomes necessary.

Question 45: Large scale retail sales businesses belong in the Village of Penn Yan: Respondents generally agreed with this statement by a more than 2 to 1 margin, with Penn Yan respondents supporting it more strongly than Town respondents. As discussed in the business section, it is important for the Town and the Village to limit the size and opportunities for large retailers to compete with local merchants in order to ensure the economic health of the small local merchants such as those on Main Street. There were a rather large number of undecided responses to this question, which points to the need for the Town to carefully explain its rationale for limiting the size of commercial enterprises outside of the village.

Question 46: More commercial development should occur on State Rt 14A outside of the Village of Penn Yan: Respondents generally supported this statement. The area as one heads south on State

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

Rt 14A exiting the Village is characterized by larger scale commercial developments (a bowling alley, NYS Department of Transportation grounds, the Horizon business park, and an auto dealership). These uses do not generally compete with small retail enterprises found in the village's business district. Residents of both the Town and Penn Yan apparently feel the area near the village on State Rt 14A is appropriate for these and additional uses. Heading away from the village, the landscape quickly becomes dominated by agriculture. Smaller scale businesses catering to the agricultural community, produce and handicrafts, wineries and other tourist based businesses would be compatible with the rural character and agricultural uses, and provide business opportunities reflecting the importance of tourism to the local economy. At the same time, the Town needs to be extremely careful that this corridor is not over developed to the detriment of either agriculture or its rural scenic quality, as it is an important tourist corridor. Town respondents seem to appreciate this, as they were somewhat less supportive of this statement than Penn Yan respondents.

Question 47: The rural character of State Rt 14A is important to the image of the Town of Milo: While this statement was supported by a 4 to 1 margin by Town respondents, it was supported by a nearly 5 to 1 ratio by Penn Yan respondents. This is probably due to the fact that many Town residents live in and are more familiar with even more rural areas of the Town, while Penn Yan residents are more likely to be familiar with this heavily traveled road. In any event, the responses from both areas indicates wide acceptance of the importance of this road and the rural character it provides to the town. This endorsement lends credence to the proposals of the Agriculture Committee for limiting the types and areas available for commercial development in this corridor. The Town must balance this with the need for tourist based businesses. The character and type of businesses allowed, and their appearance, should be established by careful design guidelines to preserve the corridor's rural character and tourist appeal.

Question 48: More commercial development should occur on State Rt 14: Responses agreeing with this statement outnumbered those disagreeing 65% to 35%, although nearly half of all responses (347 out of 775) were blank, no opinion, or neutral responses. This lukewarm response was likely due to a number of factors, including the concern many written responses to question 79 mentioned about the character of the existing commercial development in this corridor, the unspecified nature of the proposed commercial development, and the relative unfamiliarity of this road for many respondents because of its distance from the Village of Penn Yan. Given the opinions expressed on the importance of tourism and preserving the rural character in this area, it is safe to assume that additional commercial development aimed at tourists and designed to preserve the rural character would be supported.

Question 49: The rural character of State Rt 14 is important to the image of the Town of Milo: This statement was supported by more than 3 to 1 by all respondents, with those from the Town being more than 4 to 1 in support. As mentioned in the analysis of question 48, this part of the Town is the farthest from the Village of Penn Yan and possibly not as familiar to village residents. The overwhelming support of this statement indicates that the Town needs to think about the appearance and character of both existing and proposed development in this corridor. As was mentioned previously, a number of written responses mentioned the negative character of some of the existing gas station and adjacent uses in this corridor.

Question 50: More recreational facilities are needed for youth: This statement was supported by a 4 to 1 margin overall, 3 to 1 margin in Town responses, and nearly 6 to 1 in Penn Yan responses. While only about 25% of the responses from Penn Yan were neutral or undecided, about 1/3 of all

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

Town responses were. This indicates that any proposal to develop facilities should be done in cooperation with the Village of Penn Yan where support is the strongest, and that a large block of Town residents would have to be convinced that any proposal is in the Town's best interest. This question was also analyzed by the age of the respondent. Interestingly, the strong support that this question received was evident for each age group, although respondents under age 50 were slightly more supportive. Recreational facilities for youth tend to focus on more intense physical activity than facilities for adults and seniors. While parks and ball fields and similar types of facilities are often municipally funded, other funding avenues are also available. For example, Town Law allows the Town to require set aside of a percentage of each subdivision for recreational use, which may be either dedicated to the Town or maintained by a homeowners' association. Where single lots or other circumstances make dedication of land for recreational uses impractical (this does not mean financially undesirable by the developer), the Town can collect a recreation fee instead. Such fees must be placed in a separate account and can be used only for recreational purposes (buying recreation land or equipment, or maintaining existing parks, for example). Many towns across the state designate a recreation fee be paid per lot or dwelling unit, although the statute merely states that the amount of the fee must be equivalent to the value of the property required to be set aside for recreational uses (for example if 10% of all subdivision land was required to be recreation space, then 10% of the value of a single lot would reasonably be required to be donated to the town's recreation fund). The advantage of enacting a recreation fee lies not only in the facilities it can provide new residents, but it also levels the playing field between developers proposing larger, multi lot developments with those proposing single lot subdivisions (it recognizes that a single lot within a 5 or 10 lot subdivision has just as much impact on the need for recreational facilities as a single lot developed on existing road frontage by itself). This is the only real impact fee the State of New York allows municipalities to collect. See also the analysis of Question 51 below.

Question 51: *More recreational facilities are needed for senior citizens:* This statement also received broad support from all respondents to an extent almost equal to the strength of support for recreational facilities for youth (question 50). Support was stronger from Penn Yan respondents, with the Town having nearly as many respondents expressing a neutral answer than a supportive answer (136 supportive to 101 neutral). Responses to this question were also analyzed by age of the respondent, with support being evident from all age groups. Interestingly, respondents less than 40 years old were slightly less supportive than those from 40 to 80, and people in the 80 – 90 year old range were the least supportive of any group. Clearly respondents over age 40 are looking for additional recreational facilities and related services, while people over 80 are to a lesser extent. The decreased support evident in the over 80 age group can be explained by the range of health that generally characterizes this group--healthy people over age 80 are more active and still desire engaging in recreational activities, while the less health are generally less active and do not engage in as many activities outside their dwelling. It is also interesting to note that generally those respondents over age 40 were more supportive of facilities for youth (question 50) than those people under age 40 were supportive of facilities for senior citizens.

Overall, there is a fairly clear consensus that additional senior facilities and/or recreational opportunities are desired, although the large number of Town respondents voicing an undecided opinion would have to be convinced. The Town should keep in mind that recreational facilities need not be municipally funded. The Town should work with the village of Penn Yan to solicit ideas on the types of activities older residents desire, and work to ensure that local land use laws accommodate those uses. Passive recreation, such as trails or sidewalks, can be mandated as part of the facilities developers and homebuilders are required to install when new developments are

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

reviewed, and grants are available for trail development from various state and federal programs administered by the New York State Dept. of Transportation and the Genesee Transportation Council. Recreational impact fees can also be used to fund such resources (see discussion on Question 50 above). Other recreational opportunities are also important for seniors, such as movie theaters, social clubs, and civic organizations. In many rural communities these needs are met in the adult population through Fire Departments and church organizations, but these become less successful as people reach senior citizen age for two reasons. First, most of these organizations tend toward evening events to attract the largest attendance (especially since they are supported by working age volunteers). This is the time of day when senior citizens don't want to drive and generally want to be at home resting. Second, most seniors are not working and are seeking daytime activities.

The Town and Village of Penn Yan do have many facility resources available to serve youth and senior citizens. There is a very good library in the Village that provides numerous programs, and there are various meeting spaces in several churches and other civic organizations that would be willing to allow various activities to occur. At the present time there is, however, no volunteer or paid group or individual charged with organizing activities, volunteers, transportation, or disseminating information. This is something that the municipalities could, and should address, which could be accomplished at very little cost. Recreation directors and programs, especially given the demographics of both municipalities, need to be year-round and aimed at more than just youth summer athletic programs.

Finally, the responses for questions 50 and 51 show there is generally support for more recreational activities. At the same time, many of the written responses noted the poor upkeep of existing parks, mentioned that youth required more than just recreational activities, and were concerned about raising real property taxes for just about any reason. When combined, these responses indicate a need for organizing volunteers to address community facilities. There is no reason why experienced older adults, either through civic organizations such as the Penn Yan Rotary or Lions club, or through Town and Village coordinators, couldn't lend their expertise in organizing, teaching, and supervising youth in some of these clean up and related maintenance efforts—again at very little cost to taxpayers. Some of the most impressive and effective examples of such volunteer efforts developed these programs in cooperation with local school districts. For example, the Village of Saranac in the Adirondacks, organized such a program where the school district started sending students to perform community volunteer work instead of detention. The Saranac community maintenance program also involves the local court system, so that community service is a viable alternative sentence. To ensure that no stigma is associated with any child or adult providing volunteer services, civic organizations in general and various student groups are also required to provide volunteer service. The Saranac program has led to a dramatic decline in local vandalism to the point where it is nearly non-existent; parks are well maintained, street plantings expanded, and a new river walk trail constructed.

Question 52: Water and sewer districts should be expanded to encourage new development in the Town: This was one question where Village and Town respondents had a different opinion. The statement was supported at a nearly 3 to one ratio overall but Town respondents were nearly neutral. This is a real quandary for the Town—if new development is kept to a low density for rural character concerns, that density will either make sewer & water district expansion economically unfeasible, or will jeopardize the long term fiscal health of the district. On the other hand, if the town zones for the more dense development sufficient to support utility districts, the density will be

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

above a level compatible with the preserving the Town's rural character. The Town must also realize that denser development often leads to a desire for more services, and thus more municipal expenses. The recognition of this quandary may explain the dichotomy of opinion among Town respondents. Another factor may also be the desire of respondents for municipal utilities to serve their own residences and businesses. The solution to this quandary is careful consideration of any proposed infrastructure expansion. Any expansion must occur in an area that does not have wide visibility so that the resulting denser development does not impact the perception of the Town's rural character. It should also be done as near as possible to existing property served by the utilities in order to minimize the amount of vacant land that may suddenly become prime for development. The areas of the town where existing dense development already exists and where in Town the future land use plan proposes such development be allowed in the future (along State Rt 14A and Old Bath Rd south immediately adjacent to the Village, near shore areas, and the hamlet of Himrod, for example) should be the only areas where utility extensions and service should be allowed. Second,

Question 53: Upkeep of private property is a problem in my neighborhood: Generally respondents did not agree with this statement by a 2 to 1 margin (negative responses to positive responses), though Town respondents were much more negative than Penn Yan responses. A very large minority of Village residents did agree with this statement, with the indicator being just barely negative (-0.10). The responses to this question were analyzed by neighborhood. Respondents from the Milo Center area were the only neighborhood group that had a positive indicator to this question, meaning that most respondents were concerned about property maintenance in their neighborhood. Many of the written responses to question 79 (least favorite view) mentioned the Outlet Trail and the Mays Mills Road area of the town as being concerns for property maintenance as well. Taken together, the town should focus resources on mandating clean up of property in these areas and where warranted, consider seeking community development, state representative member item, and other funding through organizations such as Bishop Sheen Housing Authority, to provide funds and loans for property owners to improve properties in need of repair.

Question 54: Upkeep of private property elsewhere in the Town is a concern: This question received strongly positive responses over all, though Village of Penn Yan respondents were more positive than respondents from the Town. The written responses to the question 79, "What are your least favorite views in the Town" overwhelmingly mentioned Liberty St. (especially around the school), Lake St. (especially near the old Beverage Baron property), the Mays Mills/Eaves Rd. area and Keuka Outlet (including the section in the Village from Keuka Lake to Main St. Outside of the village, the Town should recognize the mandate that this and question 44 (concerning pursuing and even fining landowners of 'junkyard' type properties) and question 53 charge the Town; namely that residents desire to see poorly maintained properties addressed. A variety of avenues exist to address this concern, as discussed in the analysis of question 44 herein. It is important to note that respondent concerns are not about issues such as hedge trimming, or whether lawns are manicured to a high aesthetic value. Instead, people focused their comments on properties that are in a very poor state of repair and/or constituted potential health risks due to the amount of garbage and junk.

Question 55: Zoning around the airport should encourage industrial development: This statement was supported at nearly a 3 to 1 ration in the Town and a 5 to 1 ration in the Village. While strongly supported, the Town should not take this as a license to zone large areas of currently productive agriculture for industrial use. A small amount of industrial zoning near the airport should be established where public utilities exist or can reasonably be extended to serve without

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

providing opportunity for additional adjacent residential, commercial, or industrial development. The types of industries allowed and related matters are discussed in the business section of this Comprehensive Plan document.

Question 56: Small scale businesses in rural areas should be limited in size to protect the Town's rural character: This statement was supported by Town respondents at not quite a 2 to 1 ratio, but Penn Yan respondents were nearly neutral in their response. Town residents, it seems, are more willing to accept limiting the overall size of a business because of the impact large businesses may have on the rural character. This question was intended to gauge support for limiting tourism businesses as well as the business one normally associates with and make up the fabric of the rural areas of the countryside, such as farm stands, welding shops, green house type plant sales operations, and similar home and family businesses. Town residents clearly understand that rural businesses need to be kept to a family type scale that allows for economic health of the area while protecting and even enhancing the rural character of the town. Turning State Rt 14A or State Rt 14 corridor into a commercial strip from one end of the Town to the other, would greatly impact the Town's character and local residents' perception and enjoyment of the town. A reasonable amount of tourist and/or agriculturally oriented businesses need to be allowed as a mix to the uses in that area. The small scale of businesses will also help insure that they are owned and operated by local residents as opposed to large regional or national corporations. It is well proven that local ownership of these types of businesses provides more jobs and economic health to a region that when the ownership is not local and profits are shipped out of the Community.

Question 57: Tourism is an important industry in the Town: This is one of the strongest supported questions in the entire survey. The Town needs to ensure that appropriate zoning is in place to allow and in fact encourage tourist type uses at an appropriate scale. At the same time, the number and character of these uses in rural areas needs to comply with guidelines that ensure a rural character. When these uses are in proximity to residential areas, traffic and noise need to be addressed to minimize impacts on adjacent uses.

Question 58: Large businesses belong in the Village of Penn Yan: Over all this statement was supported by a little less than a 2 to 1 margin, with weak agreement from Town respondents and about 2 to 1 support from Village respondents. Village respondents are used to going to facilities such as Friendly Chrysler Dodge & Jeep or the County airport that are large and outside of the Village limits, though close enough that many Village respondents think of them as lying within the Village limits. Many Village respondents also cited the Wind Mill as an asset, which is located south of the Town of Milo municipal limits, but nonetheless, represents a large retail use outside of the Village limits. The conclusions that can be drawn from these responses is that there is a need for some larger scale facilities outside the village, but that their character, their number, and their type need to be very carefully determined so that they don't disrupt the Town's rural character nor the health of the downtown Penn Yan business district. Recommendations from the business section have been made that relate to this delicate balance of businesses that should be allowed in the Town versus within the Village.

Question 59: The Village of Penn Yan should continue to expand by annexing adjacent property in the Town of Milo: Overall this statement was weakly supported, with Penn Yan respondents giving almost 3 to 1 support, but down Town respondents opposed by nearly 2 to 1. The Town response may be due to the misunderstanding that even as the village expands, the property remains also in the Town of Milo and subject to Town taxation. At the same time, respondents may be looking at

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

the differentially higher town tax rate outside the village, which would only rise if more property is placed in the Village. Finally, many Town respondents are involved in agriculture, and don't want to see the Village annex any more good agricultural land (a common comment in many written responses from Town respondents) and have it developed. Thus, the Town should review future annexation requests by the Village very carefully, and not approve those that irrevocably convert important agricultural soil and land. The Town should review the impact of the existing or proposed land use on town facilities, such as roads, to ensure that town tax revenues will be sufficient to cover their impact. On the other hand, annexations that support industry, commercial uses, and are a source of employment, should be positively considered where appropriate.

Question 60: *Townhouses, apartments, or other high density residential development should be allowed on the lakefront:* Nearly 2 to 1 respondents opposed this statement, with Town respondents being nearly 3 to 1 in opposition. This supports the lakefront subcommittee's recommendation to prohibit multi-family development from the lakeshore and near lakeshore area.

Question 61: *Townhouses, apartments, or other high density residential development should ONLY be allowed in the Village of Penn Yan:* Town residents weekly supported this statement and Penn Yan respondents opposed it, although it would seem to be a natural fit (higher density development with high property values). This lack of Village respondent support may be because many people misunderstand Townhouse development. Townhouses are an architectural style, rather than an ownership pattern; a townhouse being a dwelling, usually more than one story, having its own exterior entry (sometimes even an individual garage), independent utilities, and common walls with adjacent structures on either side. They can be owned or rented individually. Studies have shown that townhouses appreciate in value and in appearance faster than single family detached housing because of the typically small yard space that accompanies them (people have less to maintain, both from a structure and a yard, and so tend to be able to dress up the appearance of such units in a short amount of time). Unfortunately, when people hear the word 'townhouse' they think apartment and high density and have a negative reaction. While such uses would be disruptive to the rural character of the Town, they probably have a place in the village. The most successful townhouse developments are where units are individually owned and they are constructed around an amenity. They succeed because they provide proximity and access for many more residents to a physical asset, such as recreational trail, a view, or a waterfront area, than lower density development such as single family detached residences. The amenity helps increase the townhouses' value, and the dense development means there are less linear feet of roads, sewers, water lines, etc. for the municipality to maintain per dwelling—bringing the cost of municipal services down. Areas within the Village along the Keuka Outlet seem ideal for such development, and have been recommended in the Village's waterfront redevelopment plan. The responses to this survey question from Village respondents does indicate, however, that much education on this topic needs to be done by the Village to gain acceptance for the concept.

Question 62: *Retail opportunities in the area are adequate:* This question elicited one of the strongest negative responses in the survey. Many respondents wrote comments next to this question and in response to question 81 (any other comments you wish to share), especially in regard to the need for more (or any) clothing stores. Penn Yan respondents were more negative than those from the Town, but not dramatically.

Question 63: *Additional grocery stores are needed in the area:* Overall, and consistently by Town and Village respondents, this statement was supported by just under a 2 to 1 ratio. While people

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

desire more choices, the preponderance of new convenience stores in the village (mostly associated with gasoline service stations) undermines the ability of a new larger scale grocer to operate successfully, at least without putting one or more of the others out of business. The Town should not allow such convenience store uses, at least in close proximity to the village, which would further dilute the market. This is a prime example of coordination that should occur between the village and the town in regard to commercial retail development.

Question 64: *Additional clothing stores are needed in the area:* This statement had universal support with very few respondents indicating a negative response. This seems to be a critical need for the area. This was echoed in many written responses to question 81. People do not, however, appreciate that neither the Village nor the Town can dictate the type of clothing store that moves into an area. Many respondents voiced their displeasure at the planned Peebles Dept. store moving into the Lake St. Plaza.

Question 65: *The behavior of seasonal residents along the lakeshore is a concern because of traffic and/or noise:* Town respondents weakly disagreed with this statement, while Penn Yan respondents agreed by almost a 2 to 1 margin. It is likely that this disparity is due to the traffic impacts on State Rt 14A within the village in the summer time. The responses to this question were analyzed by neighborhood. Interestingly, both Seneca and Keuka shoreline area respondents disagreed with this statement while respondents from other neighborhoods generally strongly supported this statement. This dichotomy is probably due to the fact that near shore respondents include the seasonal residents; so this group doesn't have an issue with their own behavior. Year-round residents, however, seem to have issues with the behavior of seasonal residents. Several answers to question 81 showed concern about the behavior of seasonal residents. These results lend support to the recommendations by the Lakefront SubCommittee for the town to consider banning open burning, adopting a simple noise ordinance, requiring landlord registration, and similar controls for the lakefront zoning areas, although these are not likely to be popular with some of the seasonal residents.

Question 66: *Noise is a problem in my neighborhood:* Universally respondents disagreed with this statement, regardless of being within Penn Yan, the Town, or any of the Town's neighborhoods. There were individual exceptions, with many people noting in question 81 the noise from parties at the wineries near the Keuka Lakeshore area and the occasional lakefront renter or seasonal resident. The conclusion here is that a simple noise ordinance that does not interfere with agriculture and most business operations and is aimed at addressing those few instances where seasonal residents create problems will address the problems while not negatively impacting everyone else. For the most part, protecting the existing low level of noise in the community should be the focus of any noise ordinance, which should be aimed at the dense residential areas of the town (lakefront), as opposed to placing unreasonable restrictions universally throughout the town. The recommendation of the Lakefront Committee concerning outdoor music and parties at area wineries is consistent with this approach.

Question 67: *Domestic pets are a problem in my neighborhood:* The response to this question was overwhelmingly negative in the Town by a 5 to 1 margin meaning pets are generally not a problem. Within Penn Yan, the response was negative by a nearly 2 to 1 margin. A significant number of hand written comments from Penn Yan respondents mentioned issues with feral cats. Typically, feral cats are only an issue where there are vermin available as a food supply, which probably echoes the concern of Penn Yan respondents concerning poorly maintained properties within the

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

village. Feral cats can also be an issue where residents feed their own cats in locations where feral cats can gain access, or where well meaning residents leave food out as a sympathetic gesture. These issues can usually be addressed through education, rather than leash laws or licensing of felines.

Question 68: Odors from agricultural operations are a problem regularly in my neighborhood:

Respondents over all and those from both the Town and Penn Yan disagreed with this statement by about a 3 to 1 margin. Responses were analyzed by neighborhood within the Town, and responses were negative for every neighborhood. The area with the largest number of positive responses was the Keuka lakeshore area, where about one quarter of respondents agreed with this statement. Even though several dairy operations with their associated land disposal of manure are located in close proximity, generally vineyards separate them and the generally western winds also keep odors generated from within the town away from these areas. The separation of dairy operations from Seneca lakeshore areas by vineyards also helps to keep odors from being a problem in that neighborhood. In addition, credit is due the agricultural operators as well, since they must be taking care to ensure that land spreading of manure is not done on weekends or holidays where there is likely to be a lot of outdoor activity in the near lakeshore area, and they also get the manure covered expeditiously when it is spread. There were some written responses to question 81 and comments written in the margin of surveys that noted there was an occasional problem. Because of the high density of the lakeshore area, the town should state that it considers odor control for agricultural operations in proximity to these areas to be of special concern. This will alert the permitted authors of waste management plans for Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO's) to stress odor control in their plans. At the present time, agricultural odors do not seem to be a major issue within the town. Individual owners next to certain agricultural uses, such as swine farms, do have legitimate concerns. These issues can only be addressed by New York State Ag and Markets, which has jurisdiction over agricultural practices within the confines of County agricultural districts.

Question 69: Odors from industry are a problem regularly in my neighborhood: Responses to this question were overwhelmingly negative. The town should include performance standards in any zoning for industrial use to ensure that the existing positive conditions continue, and that the property values as well as quality of life for residents adjacent to any areas zoned industrial, remain so pleasant to residents.

Question 70: Mobile homes (those brought to a site on a metal frame and wheels which stays attached to the structure as opposed to a manufactured home which is placed on a slab or basement) should be allowed on individual lots: This question was opposed by Town respondents by more than a 2 to 1 margin, and Penn Yan respondents by slightly less than 2 to 1. While about 1/3 of all respondents were neutral, just under 20% from the town were. Clearly, the majority of town residents do not want to see mobile homes on individually owned lots. Ample affordable housing opportunities exist in the Village to support the need.

Question 71: The appearance of businesses in the rural areas of the town should reflect the Town's rural character: This statement was overwhelmingly supported by 8 to 1 by Penn Yan respondents, and 9 to 1 in Town respondents. Clearly, residents overwhelmingly support the concept that businesses in the rural areas of the town must have an appearance that maintains the rural character. The development of design standards for construction of such rural commercial uses, and limiting the size of such uses as discussed in the business section of this document.

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

Question 72: *Wind Farms (multiple large windmills) should not be allowed in the Town:*

Surprisingly, respondents disagreed strongly with this statement. Town respondents disagreed nearly 3 to 1, with about 13% being neutral, and Penn Yan respondents were about 2.5 to 1 against (with 15% neutral). Given the strong response to the questions in this survey about the need to preserve the rural character and agriculture, it seems that most respondents do not believe that wind farms are contrary to the area's rural character. This issue will be, as it is in most communities, a polarizing one. Before the Town takes the responses to this question as a mandate to permit wind farms in the town, additional work should be performed on this issue. Graphics depicting the appearance of 300 ft. tall windmills located in various places in the Town should be done and public response gauged when the Town works on revising its zoning in response to this comprehensive plan.

Question 73: *Adult entertainment businesses should not be allowed in the Town:* The statement was overwhelmingly supported. The town should enact a law severely restricting or possibly even prohibiting such uses if there are areas within the village where they are permitted (which would provide a reasonable accommodation in the community for such uses).

Question 74: *More education is needed about the impact of fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides on lake water quality:* This statement was universally supported. If the town follows the recommendation to update its web site and to establish a newsletter, information on this subject should be included. The Town can obtain guidance on this issue from both the County Soil and Water Conservation District and Cornell Cooperative Extension.

Question 75: *The Town needs more senior citizen housing:* Overall this statement was supported by a nearly 6 to 1 ration, with support slightly less at 5 to 1 in the town and slightly higher in the village. This result is not surprising given the age demographic in the community. Senior citizens generally are looking for small homes with less outdoor area to maintain, and with access to sidewalks and other walking opportunities with services located nearby. There are not a lot of locations within the town where utilities are available to support such senior citizen housing. While services are more readily available within the Village, the additional Village taxes challenge the establishment of affordable senior housing. This can be mitigated by senior citizen exemptions and other tax abatement techniques. The Town should consider establishing a floating zone with infrastructure and amenity requirements when it revises its zoning so that it is prepared to respond and properly evaluate requests from the private sector to develop senior citizen housing where it is supported by local infrastructure.

Question 76: *Public Access on Keuka Lake is adequate:* This statement was strongly supported. Many written responses to question 81 noted that it was more a question of upkeep and quality of the existing access than of needing additional access. Many of the written responses to question 81 indicated that some town residents were unaware of the access points to Keuka Lake available within the Village. This is information the town should make available to its residents.

Question 77: *Public Access on Seneca Lake is adequate:* While this statement was strongly supported, it was clear from many of the written comments to question 81 that many respondents were unaware of the access point on Seneca Lake under the ownership of the NY State Department of Environmental Conservation. The Town needs to get this type of information to its residents.

SURVEY ANALYSIS – Qualitative Analysis Of Responses

Question 78: *What is your favorite view in the Town:* The photo inventory of the town in Appendix E seems to have captured the views identified by respondents as favorites. The most telling conclusion taken from responses to this question is the fact that many village and town residents do not have a clear idea of the geographic boundaries of the Town of Milo. Signage needs to be improved in the field, and information placed on the town web site. The town should also consider a map as part of its logo for a future newsletter so that people become more aware of the geography of the town they reside and/or own property in.

Question 79: *What is your least favorite view in the Town:* The vast majority of responses referred to rundown and ‘junkyard like’ residential properties in the Village and in the Town. The area around the school on Liberty St., Lake Street near Liberty St, the old Beverage Baron location, the empty stores on Main St., along the outlet near the former Penn Yan Marine manufacturing and Knapp & Schlappi Lumber store, and the Mays Mills area were mentioned most as being of concern. Surprisingly, the new County Courthouse area also drew a lot of criticism, more because of the perception of expense than of aesthetic concern.

Question 80: *What is the greatest issue facing the Town of Milo:* Other than taxes and providing affordable services, there were few issues identified that weren’t covered by the survey. Several survey responses stated that the Town should prohibit additional puppy mills. Puppy mills are not considered an agricultural operation and are not protected by New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets within the boundaries of a County Agricultural District.

Question 81: *Do you have any other comments the Town should consider in developing its comprehensive plan:* Again other than taxes and providing affordable services, there were few issues identified that weren’t covered by the survey. Most respondents took the opportunity to expand upon their reasons for answering questions a certain way. As with question 80, there were several responses recommending a prohibition against puppy mills.

REMAINDER OF APPENDIX D: The next section of this Appendix presents a tabular, numerical, analysis of the survey responses. Pages D17 - D24 report the data for all returned surveys regardless of origin. Pages D25 – D32 report the data for responses returned from residents Town outside of the Village of Penn Yan, and those that owned land or a business there. Pages D33 – D40 present the data on responses from residents of the portion of the Village of Penn Yan in the Town of Milo and those that owned land or a business therein. Pages D41 – D51 present the data on those questions where the responses for each separate neighborhood or age group were analyzed. Page D52 presents the ‘Other’ reasons for living in the area that people wrote in response to question 6Q from Town residents, landowners, or business owners. Pages D53 – D81 present the written responses to questions 78 to 81, as well as all the handwritten comments written next to multiple choice questions, from Town residents, landowners, or business owners. Pages D82 – D112 present the written responses from Village residents, landowners, and business owners. Pages D113 – D114 are the written responses from surveys that indicated both Village and Town residency, ownership. Pages D115 – D116 are the written responses for surveys with an unknown origin (where question 1 was not answered).

SURVEY ANALYSIS – ALL RETURNED SURVEYS

Q1	Please indicate the neighborhood you live in or your business or property is located in	#	%
-1	No Answer	29	3.84%
A	Village of Penn Yan	393	52.05%
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	4	0.53%
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	2	0.26%
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	1	0.13%
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	5	0.66%
B	Keuka Lakeshore	97	12.85%
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	1	0.13%
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	2	0.26%
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	4	0.53%
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	1	0.13%
C	Seneca Lakeshore	26	3.44%
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	13	1.72%
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	0.13%
D	Milo Center	15	1.99%
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	3	0.40%
E	Second Milo	32	4.24%
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	3	0.40%
F	Himrod	49	6.49%
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	3	0.40%
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	60	7.95%
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	1	0.13%
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	8	1.06%
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	2	0.26%
Total:		755	100.00%

Q2	(Ownership Specifics) Do You:	#	%
-1	No Answer	26	3.44%
A	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village)	516	68.34%
A B	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere	9	1.19%
A D	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Rent property for vacation use in Milo	1	0.13%
A E	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Rent property for agricultural use in Milo	3	0.40%
A F	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Own a business in Milo (including Village)	25	3.31%
A G	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & None of the above	2	0.26%
B	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere	102	13.51%
B C	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & Rent property as permanent residence in Milo & Rent property as permanent residence in Milo	1	0.13%
B D	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & Rent property for vacation use in Milo	2	0.26%
B F	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & Own a business in Milo (including Village)	6	0.79%
B G	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & None of the above	1	0.13%
C	Rent property as permanent residence in Milo	37	4.90%
D	Rent property for vacation use in Milo	0	0.00%
E	Rent property for agricultural use in Milo	1	0.13%
F	Own a business in Milo (including Village)	9	1.19%
G	None of the above	14	1.85%
Total:		755	100.00%

SURVEY ANALYSIS – ALL RETURNED SURVEYS

Q3	(Length of Residency) I have lived in my current residence:	#	%
-1	No Answer	35	4.64%
A	Less than 1 year	17	2.25%
B	1-5 years	138	18.28%
C	Between 5 and 10 yrs.	142	18.81%
D	Between 10 and 20 yrs.	144	19.07%
E	Between 20 and 30 yrs.	98	12.98%
F	Between 30 and 50 yrs.	121	16.03%
G	More than 50 yrs.	44	5.83%
H	All my life	16	2.12%
Total:		755	100.00%

Q4	(Age) My age is:	#	%
-1	No Answer	30	3.97%
A	Less than 18 yrs	0	0.00%
B	18-20	1	0.13%
C	20-30	16	2.12%
D	30-40	45	5.96%
E	40-50	93	12.32%
F	50--60	163	21.59%
G	60-70	210	27.81%
H	70-80	129	17.09%
I	80-90	61	8.08%
J	Over 90	7	0.93%
Total:		755	100.00%

Q5	In the past the Town has invested in making infrastructure improvements in the Horizon Business Park in order to promote economic development. Should the Town:	#	%
-1	No Answer	52	6.89%
A	Stop such practices.	35	4.64%
B	Look for additional opportunities to invest in economic development	251	33.25%
C	Only invest where the Town will recoup its investment within 10 yrs. From increases in the value of such property	190	25.17%
D	Invest even if the Town may never recoup its investment if a significant number of jobs are created.	81	10.73%
E	I am unsure	146	19.34%
Total:		755	100.00%

SURVEY ANALYSIS – ALL RETURNED SURVEYS

Q6	Rank Factors for why you live in Milo (5 = high to 1 = low)	5	4	3	2	1	0	-1	Average Rank	Total Ranked 1 - 5	Total Surveys
A	Family lives in area	233	48	79	19	38	136	202	4.00	417	755
B	Grew Up Here	173	50	51	14	36	193	238	3.96	324	755
C	Cost of housing/property	104	76	123	52	50	86	264	3.33	405	755
D	Rural atmosphere of Town	211	113	97	44	30	44	216	3.87	495	755
E	Local job market	59	22	50	53	72	206	293	2.78	256	755
F	Good Agricultural land	89	56	71	35	52	182	270	3.31	303	755
G	Proximity to Finger Lakes	229	88	79	38	30	69	222	3.97	464	755
H	Scenic Beauty of area	321	110	72	26	21	34	171	4.24	550	755
I	Low Density Residential Areas	158	99	116	40	31	62	249	3.70	444	755
J	Services available in Penn Yan	93	65	127	78	63	77	252	3.11	426	755
K	Good retirement area	146	96	95	49	47	90	232	3.57	433	755
L	Business opportunities in Town of Milo	28	21	72	65	73	206	290	2.48	259	755
M	Business opportunities in Penn Yan	35	24	62	63	80	204	287	2.51	264	755
N	Business opportunities in Yates County	36	24	67	67	77	195	289	2.54	271	755
O	Quality of Schools	92	89	99	31	44	135	265	3.43	355	755
P	Low crime rate	254	131	81	30	17	29	213	4.12	513	755
Q	Other	45	7	8	1	6	56	632	4.25	67	755

SURVEY ANALYSIS – ALL RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Experience	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Satisfied or better	Total Dissatisfied or worse	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q7	Courtesy of Town Code Enforcement Officer	103	188	106	31	16	260	51	3.75	0.75	755	291	47	417
Q8	Performance of Town Code Enforcement Officer	86	176	124	30	25	262	52	3.61	0.61	755	262	55	438
Q9	Courtesy of Milo Town Clerk & Clerical Staff	335	257	52	6	1	57	47	4.41	1.41	755	592	7	156
Q10	Performance of Milo Town Clerk & Clerical Staff	309	251	52	6	2	80	55	4.39	1.39	755	560	8	187
Q11	Service from the Himrod Fire Department	76	69	58	0	1	462	89	4.07	1.07	755	145	1	609
Q12	Service from Penn Yan Fire Department	202	143	48	3	2	304	53	4.36	1.36	755	345	5	405
Q13	Performance of your Local Ambulance Service	248	168	54	12	4	227	42	4.33	1.33	755	416	16	323
Q14	Performance of Local Law Enforcement on land	149	245	96	33	22	153	57	3.86	0.86	755	394	55	306
Q15	Performance of Local Law Enforcement on Keuka & Seneca Lakes	79	165	104	18	11	329	49	3.75	0.75	755	244	29	482
Q16	Town of Milo Planning Board: Courtesy in treating applicants & the public	51	126	127	17	15	365	54	3.54	0.54	755	177	32	546
Q17	Town of Milo Planning Board: Performance of duties	43	122	150	34	14	330	62	3.40	0.40	755	165	48	542
Q18	Town of Milo Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA): Courtesy in treating applicants & the public.	36	114	129	21	16	380	59	3.42	0.42	755	150	37	568
Q19	Town of Milo ZBA: Performance of duties.	37	106	132	28	19	359	74	3.35	0.35	755	143	47	565
Q20	Performance of Municipal Water Service	124	272	95	21	17	172	54	3.88	0.88	755	396	38	321
Q21	Performance of Municipal Sewer Service	119	268	103	21	15	181	48	3.87	0.87	755	387	36	332
Q22	Performance of Penn Yan School District	77	223	122	68	45	168	52	3.41	0.41	755	300	113	342
Q23	Performance of Dundee School District	21	64	80	10	1	492	87	3.53	0.53	755	85	11	659
Q24	Milo Town Board: Courtesy in treating the public	54	155	141	28	7	314	56	3.57	0.57	755	209	35	511
Q25	Quality of County Road Maintenance (Chubb Hollow, Second Milo, Himrod, City Hill, and Leach Roads)	68	270	139	35	15	162	66	3.65	0.65	755	338	50	367

SURVEY ANALYSIS – ALL RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Experience	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Satisfied or better	Total Dissatisfied or worse	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q26	Quality of State Road Maintenance (Routes 54, 14A, & 14)	80	284	116	105	95	27	48	3.22	0.22	755	364	200	191
Q27	Road Maintenance of Village of Penn Yan roads	37	162	117	200	166	29	44	2.57	-0.43	755	199	366	190
Q28	Milo Town Road maintenance (all other roads in Milo)	72	296	171	79	48	31	58	3.40	0.40	755	368	127	260
Q29	Yates County Tax Rate (\$6.45)	21	176	213	140	100	40	65	2.81	-0.19	755	197	240	318
Q30	Village of Penn Yan Tax Rate (\$18.62)	11	82	153	136	102	191	80	2.51	-0.49	755	93	238	424
Q31	Town of Milo (\$1.23 inside village \$2.40 outside village)	28	210	228	100	78	55	56	3.02	0.02	755	238	178	339
Q32	Himrod Fire District (\$0.89)	27	98	126	10	16	380	98	3.40	0.40	755	125	26	604
Q33	Penn Yan Fire District (\$0.44)	90	252	159	14	25	137	78	3.68	0.68	755	342	39	374
Q34	Penn Yan Public Library District (\$0.39)	76	232	191	55	44	86	71	3.40	0.40	755	308	99	348

#	Text	Very Important	Important	Neutral	Unimportant	Very Unimportant	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or More	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q35	Protection of water quality of Keuka Lake	493	156	32	3	1	31	39	4.66	1.66	755	649	4	102
Q36	Protection of water quality of Seneca Lake	407	139	55	4	1	99	50	4.56	1.56	755	546	5	204
Q37	Regulation of stormwater runoff and soil erosion from new development through mandatory use of erosion control measures	424	188	54	5	5	38	41	4.51	1.51	755	612	10	133
Q38	The use of lawn pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers should be regulated to protect water quality	375	195	96	22	8	21	38	4.30	1.30	755	570	30	155
Q39	The rural character of the Town should be maintained	344	222	91	24	11	16	47	4.25	1.25	755	566	35	154
Q40	Agricultural areas of the Town should be protected from incompatible development	341	206	114	22	16	14	42	4.19	1.19	755	547	38	170
Q41	Night time exterior light levels are a concern in my neighborhood	91	134	204	84	62	133	47	3.19	0.19	755	225	146	384

SURVEY ANALYSIS – ALL RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or more	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q42	Open burning of yard debris (leaves, limbs, etc.) should be prohibited in the residential areas of the town	214	208	123	85	56	32	37	3.64	0.64	755	422	141	192
Q43	The Town should develop a composting location, possibly in cooperation with Penn Yan and other towns where residents can deposit yard waste for mulching and chipping, and where residents can pick up wood chips	286	298	80	21	10	25	35	4.19	1.19	755	584	31	140
Q44	The Town should pursue and even fine property owners to clean up 'junkyard' type conditions on private property.	403	199	64	22	21	8	38	4.33	1.33	755	602	43	110
Q45	Large scale retail sales businesses belong in the Village of Penn Yan	157	206	172	108	43	22	47	3.48	0.48	755	363	151	241
Q46	More commercial development should occur on State Rt 14A outside of the Village of Penn Yan	99	247	193	96	46	33	41	3.38	0.38	755	346	142	267
Q47	The rural character of State Rt 14A is important to the image of the Town of Milo	134	247	214	70	19	28	43	3.60	0.60	755	381	89	285
Q48	More commercial development should occur on State Rt 14	76	190	257	98	44	39	51	3.23	0.23	755	266	142	347
Q49	The rural character of State Rt 14 is important to the image of the Town of Milo	123	208	245	73	17	38	51	3.52	0.52	755	331	90	334
Q50	More recreational facilities are needed for youth	184	211	172	72	29	43	44	3.67	0.67	755	395	101	259
Q51	More recreational facilities are needed for senior citizens	160	209	198	68	25	50	45	3.62	0.62	755	369	93	293
Q52	Water and sewer districts should be expanded to encourage new development in the Town	96	234	207	76	39	58	45	3.42	0.42	755	330	115	310
Q53	Upkeep of private property is a problem in my neighborhood	83	98	134	258	112	29	41	2.68	-0.32	755	181	370	204
Q54	Upkeep of private property elsewhere in the Town is a concern	158	251	168	59	23	48	48	3.70	0.70	755	409	82	264
Q55	Zoning around the airport should encourage industrial development	106	278	170	59	36	52	54	3.55	0.55	755	384	95	276

SURVEY ANALYSIS – ALL RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or more	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q56	Small scale businesses in rural areas should be limited in size to protect the Town's rural character	72	220	188	146	57	26	46	3.15	0.15	755	292	203	260
Q57	Tourism is an important industry in the Town	401	244	49	11	8	12	30	4.43	1.43	755	645	19	91
Q58	Large businesses belong in the Village of Penn Yan	114	181	216	136	32	27	49	3.31	0.31	755	295	168	292
Q59	The Village of Penn Yan should continue to expand by annexing adjacent property in the Town of Milo	74	150	235	112	59	84	41	3.11	0.11	755	224	171	360
Q60	Townhouses, apartments, or other high-density residential development should be allowed on the lakefront.	71	127	109	172	214	34	28	2.52	-0.48	755	198	386	171
Q61	Townhouses, apartments, or other high density residential development should ONLY be allowed in the Village of Penn Yan	55	161	175	198	96	37	33	2.83	-0.17	755	216	294	245
Q62	Retail opportunities in the area are adequate	19	66	103	275	226	25	41	2.10	-0.90	755	85	501	169
Q63	Additional grocery stores are needed in the area	150	215	128	153	58	15	36	3.35	0.35	755	365	211	179
Q64	Additional clothing stores are needed in the area	329	279	71	21	13	8	34	4.25	1.25	755	608	34	113
Q65	The behavior of seasonal residents along the lakeshore is a concern because of traffic and/or noise	108	139	194	136	56	89	33	3.17	0.17	755	247	192	316
Q66	Noise is a problem in my neighborhood	37	53	159	307	130	30	39	2.36	-0.64	755	90	437	228
Q67	Domestic pets are a problem in my neighborhood	62	80	141	268	131	34	39	2.52	-0.48	755	142	399	214
Q68	Odors from agricultural operations are a problem regularly in my neighborhood	35	98	164	263	124	33	38	2.50	-0.50	755	133	387	235
Q69	Odors from industry are a problem regularly in my neighborhood	6	14	129	334	166	66	40	2.01	-0.99	755	20	500	235
Q70	Mobile homes (those brought to a site on a metal frame and wheels which stays attached to the structure as opposed to a manufactured home which is placed on a slab or basement) should be allowed on individual lots	48	120	167	167	178	41	34	2.55	-0.45	755	168	345	242

SURVEY ANALYSIS – ALL RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or more	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q71	The appearance of businesses in the rural areas of the town should reflect the Town's rural character.	143	341	157	38	21	22	33	3.78	0.78	755	484	59	212
Q72	Wind Farms (multiple large windmills) should not be allowed in the Town	79	72	112	187	225	45	35	2.40	-0.60	755	151	412	192
Q73	Adult entertainment businesses should not be allowed in the Town	405	139	93	36	38	17	27	4.18	1.18	755	544	74	137
Q74	More education is needed about the impact of fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides on lake water quality.	269	305	93	27	9	22	30	4.14	1.14	755	574	36	145
Q75	The Town needs more senior citizen housing	145	229	208	43	14	83	33	3.70	0.70	755	374	57	324
Q76	Public Access on Keuka Lake is adequate	76	303	127	100	42	72	35	3.42	0.42	755	379	142	234
Q77	Public Access on Seneca Lake is adequate	47	174	137	90	31	229	47	3.24	0.24	755	221	121	413

SURVEY ANALYSIS – TOWN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

Q1	Please indicate the neighborhood you live in or your business or property is located in	#	%
-1	No Answer	0	0.00%
A	Village of Penn Yan	0	0.00%
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	0	0.00%
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	0	0.00%
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	0	0.00%
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0.00%
B	Keuka Lakeshore	97	30.22%
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	1	0.31%
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	2	0.62%
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	4	1.25%
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	1	0.31%
C	Seneca Lakeshore	26	8.10%
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	13	4.05%
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	0.31%
D	Milo Center	15	4.67%
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	3	0.93%
E	Second Milo	32	9.97%
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	3	0.93%
F	Himrod	49	15.26%
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	3	0.93%
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	60	18.69%
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	1	0.31%
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	8	2.49%
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	2	0.62%
Total:		321	100%

Q2	(Ownership Specifics) Do You:	#	%
-1	No Answer	0	0.00%
A	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village)	208	64.80%
A B	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere	6	1.87%
A D	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Rent property for vacation use in Milo	0	0.00%
A E	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Rent property for agricultural use in Milo	3	0.93%
A F	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Own a business in Milo (including Village)	15	4.67%
A G	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & None of the above	1	0.31%
B	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere	76	23.68%
B C	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & Rent property as permanent residence in Milo & Rent property as permanent residence in Milo	0	0.00%
B D	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & Rent property for vacation use in Milo	2	0.62%
B F	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & Own a business in Milo (including Village)	0	0.00%
B G	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & None of the above	1	0.31%
C	Rent property as permanent residence in Milo	2	0.62%
D	Rent property for vacation use in Milo	0	0.00%
E	Rent property for agricultural use in Milo	0	0.00%
F	Own a business in Milo (including Village)	2	0.62%
G	None of the above	5	1.56%
Total:		321	100%

SURVEY ANALYSIS – TOWN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

Q3	(Length of Residency) I have lived in my current residence:	#	%
-1	No Answer	5	1.56%
A	Less than 1 year	7	2.18%
B	1-5 years	55	17.13%
C	Between 5 and 10 yrs.	66	20.56%
D	Between 10 and 20 yrs.	64	19.94%
E	Between 20 and 30 yrs.	46	14.33%
F	Between 30 and 50 yrs.	51	15.89%
G	More than 50 yrs.	18	5.61%
H	All my life	9	2.80%
Total:		321	100%

Q4	(Age) My age is:	#	%
-1	No Answer	2	0.62%
A	Less than 18 yrs	0	0.00%
B	18-20	0	0.00%
C	20-30	4	1.25%
D	30-40	16	4.98%
E	40-50	29	9.03%
F	50--60	84	26.17%
G	60-70	112	34.89%
H	70-80	53	16.51%
I	80-90	20	6.23%
J	Over 90	1	0.31%
Total:		321	100%

Q5	In the past the Town has invested in making infrastructure improvements in the Horizon Business Park in order to promote economic development. Should the Town:	#	%
-1	No Answer	13	4.05%
A	Stop such practices.	24	7.48%
B	Look for additional opportunities to invest in economic development	85	26.48%
C	Only invest where the Town will recoup its investment within 10 yrs. From increases in the value of such property	103	32.09%
D	Invest even if the Town may never recoup its investment if a significant number of jobs are created.	28	8.72%
E	I am unsure	68	21.18%
Total:		321	100%

SURVEY ANALYSIS – TOWN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

Q6	Rank Factors for why you live in Milo (5 = high to 1 = low)	5	4	3	2	1	0	-1	Average Rank	Total Ranked 1 - 5	Total Surveys
A	Family lives in area	69	17	35	9	16	78	97	3.78	146	321
B	Grew Up Here	58	13	21	4	15	99	111	3.86	111	321
C	Cost of housing/property	38	28	50	20	23	40	122	3.24	159	321
D	Rural atmosphere of Town	95	48	44	17	9	14	94	3.95	213	321
E	Local job market	16	7	18	28	28	89	135	2.54	97	321
F	Good Agricultural land	41	25	34	18	19	69	115	3.37	137	321
G	Proximity to Finger Lakes	127	35	31	10	10	18	90	4.22	213	321
H	Scenic Beauty of area	161	53	18	10	8	6	65	4.40	250	321
I	Low Density Residential Areas	90	53	44	13	7	13	101	4.00	207	321
J	Services available in Penn Yan	28	28	57	36	27	27	118	2.97	176	321
K	Good retirement area	63	44	44	24	16	30	100	3.60	191	321
L	Business opportunities in Town of Milo	5	9	29	29	33	85	131	2.28	105	321
M	Business opportunities in Penn Yan	8	8	23	28	34	87	133	2.29	101	321
N	Business opportunities in Yates County	10	11	27	28	31	85	129	2.45	107	321
O	Quality of Schools	22	22	38	15	29	71	124	2.94	126	321
P	Low crime rate	114	55	36	9	5	12	90	4.21	219	321
Q	Other	19	1	3	0	0	18	280	4.70	23	321

SURVEY ANALYSIS – TOWN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Experience	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Satisfied or better	Total Dissatisfied or worse	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q7	Courtesy of Town Code Enforcement Officer	42	82	55	9	5	114	14	3.76	0.76	321	124	14	183
Q8	Performance of Town Code Enforcement Officer	35	72	62	14	11	110	17	3.55	0.55	321	107	25	189
Q9	Courtesy of Milo Town Clerk & Clerical Staff	158	116	20	1	1	17	8	4.45	1.45	321	274	2	45
Q10	Performance of Milo Town Clerk & Clerical Staff	145	112	19	3	2	26	14	4.41	1.41	321	257	5	59
Q11	Service from the Himrod Fire Department	63	41	23	0	1	170	23	4.29	1.29	321	104	1	216
Q12	Service from Penn Yan Fire Department	50	53	30	3	2	165	18	4.06	1.06	321	103	5	213
Q13	Performance of your Local Ambulance Service	86	62	30	3	3	127	10	4.22	1.22	321	148	6	167
Q14	Performance of Local Law Enforcement on land	53	101	38	11	13	90	15	3.79	0.79	321	154	24	143
Q15	Performance of Local Law Enforcement on Keuka & Seneca Lakes	32	87	53	10	8	120	11	3.66	0.66	321	119	18	184
Q16	Town of Milo Planning Board: Courtesy in treating applicants & the public	26	71	57	13	9	133	12	3.52	0.52	321	97	22	202
Q17	Town of Milo Planning Board: Performance of duties	22	61	69	24	8	119	18	3.35	0.35	321	83	32	206
Q18	Town of Milo Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA): Courtesy in treating applicants & the public.	13	63	63	15	10	141	16	3.33	0.33	321	76	25	220
Q19	Town of Milo ZBA: Performance of duties.	13	59	60	22	10	134	23	3.26	0.26	321	72	32	217
Q20	Performance of Municipal Water Service	30	77	48	8	7	136	15	3.68	0.68	321	107	15	199
Q21	Performance of Municipal Sewer Service	26	69	51	9	7	140	19	3.60	0.60	321	95	16	210
Q22	Performance of Penn Yan School District	19	68	51	32	20	116	15	3.18	0.18	321	87	52	182
Q23	Performance of Dundee School District	11	31	43	5	0	204	27	3.53	0.53	321	42	5	274
Q24	Milo Town Board: Courtesy in treating the public	24	66	69	20	3	123	16	3.48	0.48	321	90	23	208
Q25	Quality of County Road Maintenance (Chubb Hollow, Second Milo, Himrod, City Hill, and Leach Roads	43	159	49	16	4	36	14	3.82	0.82	321	202	20	99

SURVEY ANALYSIS – TOWN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Experience	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Satisfied or better	Total Dissatisfied or worse	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q26	Quality of State Road Maintenance (Routes 54, 14A, & 14)	50	167	42	29	19	7	7	3.65	0.65	321	217	48	56
Q27	Road Maintenance of Village of Penn Yan roads	17	94	66	64	50	21	9	2.88	-0.12	321	111	114	96
Q28	Milo Town Road maintenance (all other roads in Milo)	52	174	52	21	7	5	10	3.79	0.79	321	226	28	67
Q29	Yates County Tax Rate (\$6.45)	7	69	82	69	57	8	29	2.65	-0.35	321	76	126	119
Q30	Village of Penn Yan Tax Rate (\$18.62)	2	16	47	25	26	159	46	2.51	-0.49	321	18	51	252
Q31	Town of Milo (\$1.23 inside village \$2.40 outside village)	11	78	93	55	55	12	17	2.78	-0.22	321	89	110	122
Q32	Himrod Fire District (\$0.89)	22	61	61	5	10	127	35	3.50	0.50	321	83	15	223
Q33	Penn Yan Fire District (\$0.44)	26	84	63	5	17	85	41	3.50	0.50	321	110	22	189
Q34	Penn Yan Public Library District (\$0.39)	27	86	74	27	29	45	33	3.23	0.23	321	113	56	152

#	Text	Very Important	Important	Neutral	Unimportant	Very Unimportant	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or More	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q35	Protection of water quality of Keuka Lake	195	74	19	3	0	21	9	4.58	1.58	321	269	3	49
Q36	Protection of water quality of Seneca Lake	175	74	23	2	0	37	10	4.54	1.54	321	249	2	70
Q37	Regulation of stormwater runoff and soil erosion from new development through mandatory use of erosion control measures	192	81	25	4	2	11	6	4.50	1.50	321	273	6	42
Q38	The use of lawn pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers should be regulated to protect water quality	149	88	52	10	5	9	8	4.20	1.20	321	237	15	69
Q39	The rural character of the Town should be maintained	155	94	41	7	3	8	13	4.30	1.30	321	249	10	62
Q40	Agricultural areas of the Town should be protected from incompatible development	162	90	47	6	5	4	7	4.28	1.28	321	252	11	58
Q41	Night time exterior light levels are a concern in my neighborhood	30	37	75	46	33	89	11	2.93	-0.07	321	67	79	175

SURVEY ANALYSIS – TOWN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or more	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q42	Open burning of yard debris (leaves, limbs, etc.) should be prohibited in the residential areas of the town	56	80	71	54	31	23	6	3.26	0.26	321	136	85	100
Q43	The Town should develop a composting location, possibly in cooperation with Penn Yan and other towns where residents can deposit yard waste for mulching and chipping, and where residents can pick up wood chips	107	132	46	11	7	14	4	4.06	1.06	321	239	18	64
Q44	The Town should pursue and even fine property owners to clean up 'junkyard' type conditions on private property.	154	97	31	16	12	5	6	4.18	1.18	321	251	28	42
Q45	Large scale retail sales businesses belong in the Village of Penn Yan	50	98	74	52	25	14	8	3.32	0.32	321	148	77	96
Q46	More commercial development should occur on State Rt 14A outside of the Village of Penn Yan	32	112	79	52	27	13	6	3.23	0.23	321	144	79	98
Q47	The rural character of State Rt 14A is important to the image of the Town of Milo	72	99	92	37	5	9	7	3.64	0.64	321	171	42	108
Q48	More commercial development should occur on State Rt 14	24	86	114	47	25	15	10	3.13	0.13	321	110	72	139
Q49	The rural character of State Rt 14 is important to the image of the Town of Milo	70	88	103	33	4	14	9	3.63	0.63	321	158	37	126
Q50	More recreational facilities are needed for youth	59	75	99	36	17	29	6	3.43	0.43	321	134	53	134
Q51	More recreational facilities are needed for senior citizens	61	75	101	28	15	36	5	3.50	0.50	321	136	43	142
Q52	Water and sewer districts should be expanded to encourage new development in the Town	39	72	95	42	25	34	14	3.21	0.21	321	111	67	143
Q53	Upkeep of private property is a problem in my neighborhood	25	32	51	130	62	11	10	2.43	-0.57	321	57	192	72
Q54	Upkeep of private property elsewhere in the Town is a concern	63	96	81	29	10	29	13	3.62	0.62	321	159	39	123
Q55	Zoning around the airport should encourage industrial development	42	116	67	34	21	22	19	3.44	0.44	321	158	55	108

SURVEY ANALYSIS – TOWN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or more	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q56	Small scale businesses in rural areas should be limited in size to protect the Town's rural character	31	111	69	64	19	10	17	3.24	0.24	321	142	83	96
Q57	Tourism is an important industry in the Town	174	103	18	5	3	8	10	4.45	1.45	321	277	8	36
Q58	Large businesses belong in the Village of Penn Yan	39	83	83	70	13	16	17	3.23	0.23	321	122	83	116
Q59	The Village of Penn Yan should continue to expand by annexing adjacent property in the Town of Milo	16	44	96	69	36	46	14	2.75	-0.25	321	60	105	156
Q60	Townhouses, apartments, or other high-density residential development should be allowed on the lakefront.	21	45	38	78	116	15	8	2.25	-0.75	321	66	194	61
Q61	Townhouses, apartments, or other high density residential development should ONLY be allowed in the Village of Penn Yan	38	99	69	66	24	15	10	3.21	0.21	321	137	90	94
Q62	Retail opportunities in the area are adequate	6	38	50	109	91	15	12	2.18	-0.82	321	44	200	77
Q63	Additional grocery stores are needed in the area	59	92	68	66	17	8	11	3.36	0.36	321	151	83	87
Q64	Additional clothing stores are needed in the area	124	116	46	11	7	5	12	4.12	1.12	321	240	18	63
Q65	The behavior of seasonal residents along the lakeshore is a concern because of traffic and/or noise	26	54	85	71	32	44	9	2.89	-0.11	321	80	103	138
Q66	Noise is a problem in my neighborhood	9	16	55	144	72	12	13	2.14	-0.86	321	25	216	80
Q67	Domestic pets are a problem in my neighborhood	9	27	59	130	69	16	11	2.24	-0.76	321	36	199	86
Q68	Odors from agricultural operations are a problem regularly in my neighborhood	16	46	69	116	57	4	13	2.50	-0.50	321	62	173	86
Q69	Odors from industry are a problem regularly in my neighborhood	1	1	56	147	78	25	13	1.94	-1.06	321	2	225	94
Q70	Mobile homes (those brought to a site on a metal frame and wheels which stays attached to the structure as opposed to a manufactured home which is placed on a slab or basement) should be allowed on individual lots	22	53	64	76	89	9	8	2.48	-0.52	321	75	165	81

SURVEY ANALYSIS – TOWN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or more	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q71	The appearance of businesses in the rural areas of the town should reflect the Town's rural character.	71	148	59	14	10	7	12	3.85	0.85	321	219	24	78
Q72	Wind Farms (multiple large windmills) should not be allowed in the Town	35	30	42	86	101	15	12	2.36	-0.64	321	65	187	69
Q73	Adult entertainment businesses should not be allowed in the Town	183	64	32	9	16	8	9	4.28	1.28	321	247	25	49
Q74	More education is needed about the impact of fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides on lake water quality.	113	127	47	12	3	9	10	4.11	1.11	321	240	15	66
Q75	The Town needs more senior citizen housing	49	89	101	20	4	49	9	3.60	0.60	321	138	24	159
Q76	Public Access on Keuka Lake is adequate	39	127	54	37	13	41	10	3.53	0.53	321	166	50	105
Q77	Public Access on Seneca Lake is adequate	24	81	61	37	9	96	13	3.35	0.35	321	105	46	170

SURVEY ANALYSIS – VILLAGE OF PENN YAN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

Q1	Please indicate the neighborhood you live in or your business or property is located in	#	%
-1	No Answer	0	0.00%
A	Village of Penn Yan	389	98.98%
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	4	1.02%
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	0	0.00%
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	0	0.00%
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0.00%
B	Keuka Lakeshore	0	0.00%
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	0	0.00%
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	0	0.00%
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0.00%
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0.00%
C	Seneca Lakeshore	0	0.00%
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	0	0.00%
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0.00%
D	Milo Center	0	0.00%
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0.00%
E	Second Milo	0	0.00%
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0.00%
F	Himrod	0	0.00%
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0.00%
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0.00%
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0.00%
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0.00%
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	0	0.00%
Total:		393	100.00%

Q2	(Ownership Specifics) Do You:	#	%
-1	No Answer	1	0.25%
A	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village)	304	77.35%
A B	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere	3	0.76%
A D	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Rent property for vacation use in Milo	1	0.25%
A E	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Rent property for agricultural use in Milo	0	0.00%
A F	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & Own a business in Milo (including Village)	6	1.53%
A G	Own Property & Live in Town of Milo (including Village) & None of the above	1	0.25%
B	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere	21	5.34%
B C	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & Rent property as permanent residence in Milo & Rent property as permanent residence in Milo	1	0.25%
B D	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & Rent property for vacation use in Milo	2	0.51%
B F	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & Own a business in Milo (including Village)	3	0.76%
B G	Own property in Milo, but live elsewhere & None of the above	0	0.00%
C	Rent property as permanent residence in Milo	35	8.91%
D	Rent property for vacation use in Milo	0	0.00%
E	Rent property for agricultural use in Milo	0	0.00%
F	Own a business in Milo (including Village)	6	1.53%
G	None of the above	9	2.29%
Total:		393	100.00%

SURVEY ANALYSIS – VILLAGE OF PENN YAN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

Q3	(Length of Residency) I have lived in my current residence:	#	%
-1	No Answer	4	1.02%
A	Less than 1 year	9	2.29%
B	1-5 years	79	20.10%
C	Between 5 and 10 yrs.	74	18.83%
D	Between 10 and 20 yrs.	77	19.59%
E	Between 20 and 30 yrs.	51	12.98%
F	Between 30 and 50 yrs.	66	16.79%
G	More than 50 yrs.	26	6.62%
H	All my life	7	1.78%
Total:		393	100.00%

Q5	In the past the Town has invested in making infrastructure improvements in the Horizon Business Park in order to promote economic development. Should the Town:	#	%
-1	No Answer	13	3.31%
A	Stop such practices.	10	2.54%
B	Look for additional opportunities to invest in economic development	159	40.46%
C	Only invest where the Town will recoup its investment within 10 yrs. From increases in the value of such property	84	21.37%
D	Invest even if the Town may never recoup its investment if a significant number of jobs are created.	51	12.98%
E	I am unsure	76	19.34%
Total:		393	100.00%

Q4	(Age) My age is:	#	%
-1	No Answer	2	0.51%
A	Less than 18 yrs	0	0.00%
B	18-20	1	0.25%
C	20-30	12	3.05%
D	30-40	27	6.87%
E	40-50	63	16.03%
F	50--60	72	18.32%
G	60-70	94	23.92%
H	70-80	75	19.08%
I	80-90	41	10.43%
J	Over 90	6	1.53%
Total:		393	100.00%

SURVEY ANALYSIS – VILLAGE OF PENN YAN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

Q6	Rank Factors for why you live in Milo (5 = high to 1 = low)	5	4	3	2	1	0	-1	Average Rank	Total Ranked 1 - 5	Total Surveys
A	Family lives in area	158	30	44	10	21	56	74	4.12	263	393
B	Grew Up Here	111	34	30	10	21	90	97	3.99	206	393
C	Cost of housing/property	65	48	72	32	25	42	109	3.40	242	393
D	Rural atmosphere of Town	110	64	51	27	21	28	92	3.79	273	393
E	Local job market	43	15	32	24	43	112	124	2.94	157	393
F	Good Agricultural land	44	31	36	17	31	110	124	3.25	159	393
G	Proximity to Finger Lakes	98	53	47	27	20	49	99	3.74	245	393
H	Scenic Beauty of area	155	57	53	14	13	26	75	4.12	292	393
I	Low Density Residential Areas	64	46	71	27	23	46	116	3.44	231	393
J	Services available in Penn Yan	64	37	69	41	34	48	100	3.23	245	393
K	Good retirement area	80	52	51	23	30	57	100	3.55	236	393
L	Business opportunities in Town of Milo	23	12	42	34	39	118	125	2.64	150	393
M	Business opportunities in Penn Yan	27	16	38	33	45	114	120	2.67	159	393
N	Business opportunities in Yates County	26	13	39	37	45	107	126	2.61	160	393
O	Quality of Schools	67	66	58	16	15	62	109	3.69	222	393
P	Low crime rate	137	75	43	21	12	15	90	4.06	288	393
Q	Other	26	6	5	1	6	36	313	4.02	44	393

SURVEY ANALYSIS – VILLAGE OF PENN YAN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Experience	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Satisfied or better	Total Dissatisfied or worse	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q7	Courtesy of Town Code Enforcement Officer	55	99	50	22	10	139	18	3.71	0.71	393	154	32	207
Q8	Performance of Town Code Enforcement Officer	48	95	60	16	13	145	16	3.64	0.64	393	143	29	221
Q9	Courtesy of Milo Town Clerk & Clerical Staff	168	132	32	5	0	37	19	4.37	1.37	393	300	5	88
Q10	Performance of Milo Town Clerk & Clerical Staff	155	129	33	3	0	51	22	4.36	1.36	393	284	3	106
Q11	Service from the Himrod Fire Department	10	28	33	0	0	275	47	3.68	0.68	393	38	0	355
Q12	Service from Penn Yan Fire Department	145	86	17	0	0	129	16	4.52	1.52	393	231	0	162
Q13	Performance of your Local Ambulance Service	150	104	23	9	1	92	14	4.37	1.37	393	254	10	129
Q14	Performance of Local Law Enforcement on land	90	138	56	22	8	56	23	3.89	0.89	393	228	30	135
Q15	Performance of Local Law Enforcement on Keuka & Seneca Lakes	45	74	50	8	2	194	20	3.85	0.85	393	119	10	264
Q16	Town of Milo Planning Board: Courtesy in treating applicants & the public	24	48	70	4	5	219	23	3.54	0.54	393	72	9	312
Q17	Town of Milo Planning Board: Performance of duties	20	54	80	9	5	200	25	3.45	0.45	393	74	14	305
Q18	Town of Milo Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA): Courtesy in treating applicants & the public.	22	44	65	6	5	227	24	3.51	0.51	393	66	11	316
Q19	Town of Milo ZBA: Performance of duties.	23	42	71	5	8	213	31	3.45	0.45	393	65	13	315
Q20	Performance of Municipal Water Service	89	190	43	13	9	29	19	3.98	0.98	392	279	22	91
Q21	Performance of Municipal Sewer Service	88	195	48	12	7	33	10	3.99	0.99	393	283	19	91
Q22	Performance of Penn Yan School District	57	145	70	32	24	47	18	3.55	0.55	393	202	56	135
Q23	Performance of Dundee School District	10	28	37	5	0	272	41	3.54	0.54	393	38	5	350
Q24	Milo Town Board: Courtesy in treating the public	28	81	72	8	3	180	21	3.64	0.64	393	109	11	273
Q25	Quality of County Road Maintenance (Chubb Hollow, Second Milo, Himrod, City Hill, and Leach Roads	22	100	88	19	11	120	33	3.43	0.43	393	122	30	241

SURVEY ANALYSIS – VILLAGE OF PENN YAN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Experience	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Satisfied or better	Total Dissatisfied or worse	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q26	Quality of State Road Maintenance (Routes 54, 14A, & 14)	28	108	72	69	75	19	22	2.84	-0.16	393	136	144	113
Q27	Road Maintenance of Village of Penn Yan roads	19	63	46	129	113	7	16	2.31	-0.69	393	82	242	69
Q28	Milo Town Road maintenance (all other roads in Milo)	17	109	116	56	41	25	29	3.01	0.01	393	126	97	170
Q29	Yates County Tax Rate (\$6.45)	14	98	127	66	40	31	17	2.94	-0.06	393	112	106	175
Q30	Village of Penn Yan Tax Rate (\$18.62)	9	63	98	108	71	29	15	2.52	-0.48	393	72	179	142
Q31	Town of Milo (\$1.23 inside village \$2.40 outside village)	17	119	130	43	22	42	20	3.20	0.20	393	136	65	192
Q32	Himrod Fire District (\$0.89)	4	30	62	4	5	245	43	3.23	0.23	393	34	9	350
Q33	Penn Yan Fire District (\$0.44)	61	158	93	8	7	49	17	3.79	0.79	393	219	15	159
Q34	Penn Yan Public Library District (\$0.39)	47	136	112	27	13	40	18	3.53	0.53	393	183	40	170

#	Text	Very Important	Important	Neutral	Unimportant	Very Unimportant	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or More	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q35	Protection of water quality of Keuka Lake	288	70	13	0	1	8	13	4.73	1.73	393	358	1	34
Q36	Protection of water quality of Seneca Lake	222	54	32	2	1	59	23	4.59	1.59	393	276	3	114
Q37	Regulation of stormwater runoff and soil erosion from new development through mandatory use of erosion control measures	224	96	27	1	3	25	17	4.53	1.53	393	320	4	69
Q38	The use of lawn pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers should be regulated to protect water quality	216	101	43	7	3	10	13	4.41	1.41	393	317	10	66
Q39	The rural character of the Town should be maintained	179	119	47	17	7	7	17	4.21	1.21	393	298	24	71
Q40	Agricultural areas of the Town should be protected from incompatible development	170	109	61	16	10	9	18	4.13	1.13	393	279	26	88
Q41	Night time exterior light levels are a concern in my neighborhood	61	94	123	33	26	39	17	3.39	0.39	393	155	59	179

SURVEY ANALYSIS – VILLAGE OF PENN YAN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or more	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q42	Open burning of yard debris (leaves, limbs, etc.) should be prohibited in the residential areas of the town	147	125	48	29	22	8	14	3.93	0.93	393	272	51	70
Q43	The Town should develop a composting location, possibly in cooperation with Penn Yan and other towns where residents can deposit yard waste for mulching and chipping, and where residents can pick up wood chips	169	157	30	10	3	10	14	4.30	1.30	393	326	13	54
Q44	The Town should pursue and even fine property owners to clean up 'junkyard' type conditions on private property.	240	93	31	6	7	2	14	4.47	1.47	393	333	13	47
Q45	Large scale retail sales businesses belong in the Village of Penn Yan	102	101	95	51	16	7	21	3.61	0.61	393	203	67	123
Q46	More commercial development should occur on State Rt 14A outside of the Village of Penn Yan	67	128	105	40	16	19	18	3.53	0.53	393	195	56	142
Q47	The rural character of State Rt 14A is important to the image of the Town of Milo	55	143	115	30	13	18	19	3.55	0.55	393	198	43	152
Q48	More commercial development should occur on State Rt 14	52	98	134	45	17	23	24	3.36	0.36	393	150	62	181
Q49	The rural character of State Rt 14 is important to the image of the Town of Milo	49	114	131	38	13	23	25	3.43	0.43	393	163	51	179
Q50	More recreational facilities are needed for youth	120	132	67	33	9	12	20	3.89	0.89	393	252	42	99
Q51	More recreational facilities are needed for senior citizens	97	124	93	37	7	13	22	3.75	0.75	393	221	44	128
Q52	Water and sewer districts should be expanded to encourage new development in the Town	50	154	97	32	9	21	30	3.60	0.60	393	204	41	148
Q53	Upkeep of private property is a problem in my neighborhood	54	63	75	119	43	12	27	2.90	-0.10	393	117	162	114
Q54	Upkeep of private property elsewhere in the Town is a concern	90	144	79	25	8	16	31	3.82	0.82	393	234	33	126
Q55	Zoning around the airport should encourage industrial development	60	152	92	20	10	28	31	3.69	0.69	393	212	30	151

SURVEY ANALYSIS – VILLAGE OF PENN YAN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or more	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q56	Small scale businesses in rural areas should be limited in size to protect the Town's rural character	35	104	106	75	33	15	25	3.09	0.09	393	139	108	146
Q57	Tourism is an important industry in the Town	207	128	28	6	4	3	17	4.42	1.42	393	335	10	48
Q58	Large businesses belong in the Village of Penn Yan	68	89	118	62	18	10	28	3.36	0.36	393	157	80	156
Q59	The Village of Penn Yan should continue to expand by annexing adjacent property in the Town of Milo	54	101	122	41	14	37	24	3.42	0.42	393	155	55	183
Q60	Townhouses, apartments, or other high-density residential development should be allowed on the lakefront.	48	72	63	90	83	18	19	2.75	-0.25	393	120	173	100
Q61	Townhouses, apartments, or other high density residential development should ONLY be allowed in the Village of Penn Yan	11	55	94	124	67	21	21	2.48	-0.52	393	66	191	136
Q62	Retail opportunities in the area are adequate	12	26	44	151	130	6	24	2.01	-0.99	393	38	281	74
Q63	Additional grocery stores are needed in the area	88	113	52	76	36	6	22	3.39	0.39	393	201	112	80
Q64	Additional clothing stores are needed in the area	193	146	22	7	4	2	19	4.39	1.39	393	339	11	43
Q65	The behavior of seasonal residents along the lakeshore is a concern because of traffic and/or noise	75	80	98	58	20	40	22	3.40	0.40	393	155	78	160
Q66	Noise is a problem in my neighborhood	27	35	92	151	49	15	24	2.55	-0.45	393	62	200	131
Q67	Domestic pets are a problem in my neighborhood	52	51	72	124	56	15	23	2.77	-0.23	393	103	180	110
Q68	Odors from agricultural operations are a problem regularly in my neighborhood	16	47	85	135	61	26	23	2.48	-0.52	393	63	196	134
Q69	Odors from industry are a problem regularly in my neighborhood	5	12	64	170	81	37	24	2.07	-0.93	393	17	251	125
Q70	Mobile homes (those brought to a site on a metal frame and wheels which stays attached to the structure as opposed to a manufactured home which is placed on a slab or basement) should be allowed on individual lots	23	57	96	85	79	30	23	2.59	-0.41	393	80	164	149

SURVEY ANALYSIS – VILLAGE OF PENN YAN ONLY RETURNED SURVEYS

#	Text	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	Average	Indicator	Total Surveys	Total Important or more	Total Unimportant or less	Total Neutral, No Opinion, No answer
Q71	The appearance of businesses in the rural areas of the town should reflect the Town's rural character.	67	180	85	22	9	13	17	3.75	0.75	393	247	31	115
Q72	Wind Farms (multiple large windmills) should not be allowed in the Town	42	38	60	95	112	27	19	2.43	-0.57	393	80	207	106
Q73	Adult entertainment businesses should not be allowed in the Town	203	67	56	25	20	7	15	4.10	1.10	393	270	45	78
Q74	More education is needed about the impact of fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides on lake water quality.	140	164	42	12	6	11	18	4.15	1.15	393	304	18	71
Q75	The Town needs more senior citizen housing	89	131	96	20	9	29	19	3.79	0.79	393	220	29	144
Q76	Public Access on Keuka Lake is adequate	31	163	65	57	27	29	21	3.33	0.33	393	194	84	115
Q77	Public Access on Seneca Lake is adequate	19	83	69	50	20	124	28	3.13	0.13	393	102	70	221

SURVEY ANALYSIS – BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND AGE OF RESPONDENT

Question 1 (Neighborhood)		Question 41 (Night time exterior light levels are a concern in my neighborhood)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
-1	No Answer	0	2	4	2	1	2	18	29	2.78	-0.22
A	Village of Penn Yan	61	93	125	34	26	36	18	393	3.38	0.38
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	4	4.00	1.00
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2.00	-1.00
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.00	1.00
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	5	1.33	-1.67
B	Keuka Lakeshore	12	17	21	13	15	16	3	97	2.97	-0.03
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3.00	0.00
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	3.50	0.50
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	4	2.00	-1.00
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
C	Seneca Lakeshore	8	2	8	2	2	3	1	26	3.55	0.55
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	1	1	5	1	1	4	0	13	3.00	0.00
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3.00	0.00
D	Milo Center	0	1	3	3	0	6	2	15	2.71	-0.29
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	1.33	-1.67
E	Second Milo	1	5	9	1	3	11	2	32	3.00	0.00
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	3	4.00	1.00
F	Himrod	5	1	13	9	3	17	2	50	2.87	-0.13
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.00	-1.00
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	2	6	9	11	5	27	0	60	2.67	-0.33
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	1	2	3	0	0	1	1	8	3.67	0.67
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1.00	-2.00
TOTAL SURVEYS:									755	3.19	0.19

SURVEY ANALYSIS – BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND AGE OF RESPONDENT

Question 1 (Neighborhood)		Question 42 (Open burning of yard debris (leaves, limbs, etc.) should be prohibited in the residential areas of the town)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
-1	No Answer	5	1	2	1	2	1	17	29	3.55	0.55
A	Village of Penn Yan	146	125	48	30	22	8	14	393	3.92	0.92
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	4.75	1.75
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	4.50	1.50
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	2.00
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	5	3.40	0.40
B	Keuka Lakeshore	20	24	14	18	14	4	2	96	3.20	0.20
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.00	0.00
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.00	0.00
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	4	3.00	0.00
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.00	1.00
C	Seneca Lakeshore	6	4	7	3	2	3	1	26	3.41	0.41
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	4	4	2	2	1	0	0	13	3.62	0.62
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.00	-2.00
D	Milo Center	3	1	5	2	0	3	1	15	3.45	0.45
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	4.00	1.00
E	Second Milo	7	5	9	4	4	1	2	32	3.24	0.24
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	3.33	0.33
F	Himrod	5	13	12	11	5	4	0	50	3.04	0.04
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	4.50	1.50
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	7	15	19	7	4	8	0	60	3.27	0.27
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	2	3	1	2	0	0	0	8	3.63	0.63
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	4.50	1.50
TOTAL SURVEYS:									755	3.64	0.64

SURVEY ANALYSIS – BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND AGE OF RESPONDENT

Question 1 (Neighborhood)		Question 44 (The Town should pursue and even fine property owners to clean up 'junkyard' type conditions on private property.)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
-1	No Answer	5	3	1	0	1	1	18	29	4.10	1.10
A	Village of Penn Yan	239	94	31	6	7	2	14	393	4.46	1.46
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	4.75	1.75
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	4.50	1.50
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.00	1.00
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	5	3.40	0.40
B	Keuka Lakeshore	61	22	8	2	2	0	2	97	4.45	1.45
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	2.00
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	4.50	1.50
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	4	3.67	0.67
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.00	1.00
C	Seneca Lakeshore	14	8	1	1	1	0	1	26	4.32	1.32
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	5	6	1	0	1	0	0	13	4.08	1.08
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
D	Milo Center	6	3	1	3	0	1	1	15	3.92	0.92
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	3	2.33	-0.67
E	Second Milo	10	13	4	1	2	1	1	32	3.93	0.93
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	4.00	1.00
F	Himrod	23	12	7	2	4	2	0	50	4.00	1.00
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.50	0.50
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	23	26	6	3	1	1	0	60	4.14	1.14
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.00	1.00
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	8	4.63	1.63
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	4.00	1.00
TOTAL SURVEYS:									755	4.33	1.33

SURVEY ANALYSIS – BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND AGE OF RESPONDENT

Question 4 (Age)		Question 50 (More recreational facilities are needed for youth)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
-1	No Answer	3	3	2	1	1	2	18	30	3.60	0.60
A	Less than 18 yrs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
B	18-20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	2.00
C	20-30	5	4	3	3	0	1	0	16	3.73	0.73
D	30-40	18	13	4	2	3	5	0	45	4.03	1.03
E	40-50	33	26	17	9	3	2	3	93	3.88	0.88
F	50--60	39	43	43	15	11	7	5	163	3.56	0.56
G	60-70	47	60	55	25	5	14	4	210	3.62	0.62
H	70-80	27	40	32	12	2	8	8	129	3.69	0.69
I	80-90	8	22	14	5	3	4	5	61	3.52	0.52
J	Over 90	3	0	2	0	1	0	1	7	3.67	0.67
TOTAL SURVEYS:									755	3.67	0.67

Question 4 (Age)		Question 51 (More recreational facilities are needed for senior citizens)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
-1	No Answer	3	3	3	1	1	1	18	30	3.55	0.55
A	Less than 18 yrs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
B	18-20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	2.00
C	20-30	3	4	5	3	0	1	0	16	3.47	0.47
D	30-40	8	12	9	6	3	7	0	45	3.42	0.42
E	40-50	26	24	21	11	4	4	3	93	3.66	0.66
F	50--60	35	48	52	9	6	8	5	163	3.65	0.65
G	60-70	49	62	54	20	4	16	5	210	3.70	0.70
H	70-80	27	39	33	12	4	6	8	129	3.63	0.63
I	80-90	7	16	17	6	3	7	5	61	3.37	0.37
J	Over 90	1	1	4	0	0	0	1	7	3.50	0.50
TOTAL SURVEYS:									755	3.62	0.62

SURVEY ANALYSIS – BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND AGE OF RESPONDENT

Question 1 (Neighborhood)		Question 53 (Upkeep of private property is a problem in my neighborhood)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
-1	No Answer	4	1	7	6	5	2	4	29	2.70	-0.30
A	Village of Penn Yan	54	61	76	117	44	14	27	393	2.90	-0.10
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	4	3.00	0.00
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.00	0.00
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	5	2.33	-0.67
B	Keuka Lakeshore	2	6	8	44	30	2	5	97	1.96	-1.04
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.00	-1.00
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	4	2.33	-0.67
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
C	Seneca Lakeshore	3	3	1	11	4	3	1	26	2.55	-0.45
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	0	1	4	6	2	0	0	13	2.31	-0.69
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.00	-2.00
D	Milo Center	4	3	3	2	1	1	1	15	3.54	0.54
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	1.33	-1.67
E	Second Milo	2	3	9	10	6	1	1	32	2.50	-0.50
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	1.67	-1.33
F	Himrod	6	9	13	17	4	1	0	50	2.92	-0.08
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1.50	-1.50
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	6	7	8	27	9	2	1	60	2.54	-0.46
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	2	0	3	1	1	0	1	8	3.14	0.14
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.50	-0.50
TOTAL SURVEYS:									755	2.68	-0.32

SURVEY ANALYSIS – BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND AGE OF RESPONDENT

Question 1 (Neighborhood)		Question 60 (Townhouses, apartments, or other high density residential development should be allowed on the lake front.)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
-1	No Answer	1	5	7	3	11	1	1	29	2.33	-0.67
A	Village of Penn Yan	48	73	62	90	83	18	19	393	2.76	-0.24
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	4	3.50	0.50
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	2.50	-0.50
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.00	-2.00
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	5	2.60	-0.40
B	Keuka Lakeshore	3	9	5	20	53	2	5	97	1.77	-1.23
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.00	-2.00
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.00	-1.00
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	4	2.00	-1.00
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
C	Seneca Lakeshore	0	6	1	5	11	2	1	26	2.09	-0.91
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	2	3	1	4	3	0	0	13	2.77	-0.23
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	2.00
D	Milo Center	0	2	2	4	5	1	1	15	2.08	-0.92
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	1.50	-1.50
E	Second Milo	3	7	2	4	13	2	1	32	2.41	-0.59
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	1.33	-1.67
F	Himrod	8	7	10	12	9	4	0	50	2.85	-0.15
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	3.00	0.00
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	3	9	11	21	13	3	0	60	2.44	-0.56
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	1	0	4	0	3	0	0	8	2.50	-0.50
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.00	0.00
TOTAL SURVEYS:									755	2.52	-0.48

SURVEY ANALYSIS – BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND AGE OF RESPONDENT

Question 1 (Neighborhood)		Question 61 (Townhouses, apartments, or other high density residential development should ONLY be allowed in the Village of Penn Yan)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
-1	No Answer	5	4	9	5	3	1	2	29	3.12	0.12
A	Village of Penn Yan	11	55	93	124	68	21	21	393	2.48	-0.52
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	2.50	-0.50
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	3.50	0.50
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.00	1.00
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	3.00	0.00
B	Keuka Lakeshore	17	29	17	21	5	3	5	97	3.36	0.36
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	2.00
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	4.00	1.00
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	4	3.75	0.75
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.00	1.00
C	Seneca Lakeshore	2	8	6	5	2	2	1	26	3.13	0.13
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	3	3	2	5	0	0	0	13	3.31	0.31
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.00	-2.00
D	Milo Center	1	6	3	3	1	0	1	15	3.21	0.21
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.00	0.00
E	Second Milo	1	9	7	8	5	2	0	32	2.77	-0.23
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	1.00
F	Himrod	3	13	17	6	6	5	0	50	3.02	0.02
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.50	0.50
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	4	26	9	13	4	1	3	60	3.23	0.23
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	2	0	4	1	0	1	0	8	3.43	0.43
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	3.00	0.00
TOTAL SURVEYS:									755	2.83	-0.17

SURVEY ANALYSIS – BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND AGE OF RESPONDENT

Question 1 (Neighborhood)		Question 65 (The behavior of seasonal residents along the lakeshore is a concern because of traffic and/or noise)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
-1	No Answer	6	5	6	5	1	5	1	29	3.43	0.43
A	Village of Penn Yan	75	79	97	58	22	40	22	393	3.38	0.38
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	4	3.00	0.00
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.50	-0.50
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	-	-
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	0	3	0	1	0	0	5	3.00	0.00
B	Keuka Lakeshore	8	13	24	28	18	1	5	97	2.62	-0.38
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.00	1.00
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.00	-1.00
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	5.00	2.00
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
C	Seneca Lakeshore	2	5	6	7	4	1	1	26	2.75	-0.25
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	1	1	4	7	0	0	0	13	2.69	-0.31
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3.00	0.00
D	Milo Center	3	4	3	0	1	3	1	15	3.73	0.73
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3	2.50	-0.50
E	Second Milo	0	11	6	5	2	7	1	32	3.08	0.08
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	3	3.00	0.00
F	Himrod	2	5	16	9	4	14	0	50	2.78	-0.22
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	3.00	0.00
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	7	12	16	9	2	13	1	60	3.28	0.28
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	-	-
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	0	1	5	1	1	0	0	8	2.75	-0.25
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.00	0.00
TOTAL SURVEYS:									755	3.17	0.17

SURVEY ANALYSIS – BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND AGE OF RESPONDENT

Question 1 (Neighborhood)		Question 66 (Noise is a problem in my neighborhood)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
-1	No Answer	1	2	9	8	5	2	2	29	2.44	-0.56
A	Village of Penn Yan	27	35	90	150	52	15	24	393	2.53	-0.47
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	2.50	-0.50
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.50	-0.50
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	-	-
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	5	2.00	-1.00
B	Keuka Lakeshore	4	5	10	48	24	1	6	98	2.09	-0.91
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3.00	0.00
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.00	-1.00
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	2.67	-0.33
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
C	Seneca Lakeshore	0	4	4	10	6	1	1	26	2.25	-0.75
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	1	1	1	7	3	0	0	13	2.23	-0.77
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3.00	0.00
D	Milo Center	1	2	3	5	2	1	1	15	2.62	-0.38
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	1.50	-1.50
E	Second Milo	0	2	8	14	5	2	1	32	2.24	-0.76
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	2.00	-1.00
F	Himrod	1	0	11	21	13	3	1	50	2.02	-0.98
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	4.00	1.00
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	2	11	25	17	2	2	60	2.02	-0.98
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	-	-
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	2	3	1	1	1	8	2.17	-0.83
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.00	-1.00
TOTAL SURVEYS:									755	2.36	-0.64

SURVEY ANALYSIS – BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND AGE OF RESPONDENT

Question 1 (Neighborhood)		Question 67 (Domestic pets are a problem in my neighborhood)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
-1	No Answer	0	2	7	10	3	2	5	29	2.36	-0.64
A	Village of Penn Yan	52	51	71	124	57	15	23	393	2.77	-0.23
A B	Village of Penn Yan & Keuka Lakeshore	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	2.50	-0.50
A D	Village of Penn Yan & Milo Center	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.50	-0.50
A F	Village of Penn Yan & Himrod	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	-	-
A G	Village of Penn Yan & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	5	2.25	-0.75
B	Keuka Lakeshore	3	6	14	39	27	2	6	97	2.09	-0.91
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.00	-1.00
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	4	1.50	-1.50
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.00	1.00
C	Seneca Lakeshore	0	1	6	9	6	2	2	26	2.09	-0.91
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	1	1	1	8	2	0	0	13	2.31	-0.69
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.00	-2.00
D	Milo Center	0	3	3	5	1	2	1	15	2.67	-0.33
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	1.33	-1.67
E	Second Milo	1	2	7	17	3	2	0	32	2.37	-0.63
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	2.00	-1.00
F	Himrod	2	3	11	18	11	5	0	50	2.27	-0.73
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	3.50	0.50
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	1	8	13	22	13	2	1	60	2.33	-0.67
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	-	-
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	8	3.00	0.00
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.50	-0.50
TOTAL SURVEYS:									755	2.52	-0.48

SURVEY ANALYSIS – BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND AGE OF RESPONDENT

Question 1 (Neighborhood)		Question 67 (Domestic pets are a problem in my neighborhood)									
Letter	Answer	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion	No Answer	TOTAL	Average	Indicator
B	Keuka Lakeshore	9	17	16	29	18	0	8	97	2.66	-0.34
B D	Keuka Lakeshore & Milo Center	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
B E	Keuka Lakeshore & Second Milo	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	3.50	0.50
B G	Keuka Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	4	1.50	-1.50
B H	Keuka Lakeshore & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.00	-1.00
C	Seneca Lakeshore	2	5	3	7	5	3	1	26	2.64	-0.36
C F	Seneca Lakeshore & Himrod	0	3	2	6	2	0	0	13	2.46	-0.54
C G	Seneca Lakeshore & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.00	-2.00
D	Milo Center	0	1	6	2	3	1	2	15	2.42	-0.58
D G	Milo Center & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	2.33	-0.67
E	Second Milo	1	4	10	13	3	0	1	32	2.58	-0.42
E G	Second Milo & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	3	2.00	-1.00
F	Himrod	1	5	14	23	7	0	0	50	2.40	-0.60
F G	Himrod & Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	2.00	-1.00
G	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town	2	8	10	26	13	0	1	60	2.32	-0.68
G H	Rural/Agricultural areas of Town & Reside outside Town of Milo	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	-	-
H	Reside outside Town of Milo	1	1	4	1	1	0	0	8	3.00	0.00
I	Just outside Village of Penn Yan	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.00	0.00
TOTAL SURVEYS:									321	2.50	-0.50

Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

Question 6Q: Other (reason for living here)	
Rank	Reason stated:
5	Personal Safety
5	Taught here for 33 years, own my home and stayed.
0	Lower the damn taxes
5	No crime, lots of space, friendly people.
5	Keuka Lake
3	Own my home.
5	Own cottage on Seneca Lake
5	Small Town Local People
5	People
-	A place to live
5	Keuka Lake
5	Close to job
5	Winery/tourism
3	Change of seasons
3	Lake Front
5	Rural Character
5	Location in midst of shopping sites.
0	Work here
5	Old home & historic barn.
5	People
4	Glenn Baptist Church
5	Glenn Baptist Church
5	Recreation opportunities.
5	Good farming area.
5	Many friends.
5	I am employed here.

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

Answers to Question 78: What is your favorite View
Keuka Lake
All views of Keuka Lake are gorgeous.
All views of Keuka Lake are gorgeous. Also the Mennonite farms, except for the pig farm.
Flying up Old Bath Rd so you can see both lakes.
From East Bluff looking east and vineyards and lake.
East Lake Rd. from my porch at 406 East Lake Rd.
Downtown, particularly if restored, downtown Penn Yan.
No opinion
Ball Hall from any direction.
Keuka Lake
The view of the lake from my front port (795 Middle Rd.) especially at sunset.
Looking at the Lake from Sisson Road.
Keuka Lake
Keuka Outlet.
The lake.
Looking over Keuka Lake.
The view from my deck of Keuka Lake (108 Rt 54).
Looking west from Bath Road to the Lake & Bluff.
Keuka Lake from my front porch (463A East Lake Rd.)
The view from Keuka Spring Winery
The lake
The hillsides surrounding the lake - the sunsets and of course the Lake Keuka.
View of Keuka College & the Bluff from the east side of the lake. Also - from Keuka Spring.
Village Boat launch looking down the lake. Also Main St. from Post Office looking toward residential area - away from business district.
The Lake looking west from my deck
Town of Milo Office staff has been Very helpful.
Keuka Lake
Keuka Lake.
1. The park next to Morgan Marine. 2. The top of the hill above the hospital on 14A driving south.
Coming south on 14A, north of Penn Yan
The lake front on 54A
Keuka Lake
View of the Outlet from a point on the East Lake Rd.
The view down the lake from Esperanza.
Milo doesn't have a form.
Lake - looking west across lake at college / Main St. Penn Yan.
Driving on 14A from Benton to Penn Yan.
Looking west while driving down Sisson Road.
Old East Lake Rd. looking out over Keuka Lake. Views from South bound Rt 14A past Friendly Dodge.
Old East Lake Rd. looking out over Keuka Lake. Views from south bound Rt 14A past Friendly Dodge.
Keuka Lake
The storefronts could be more inviting. Flowers, etc. Some have this and it's lovely. (Most do not). Awnings etc. More curb appeal.
From Hayes Auction Barn Area
Looking down the lake from the Bluff.

Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

Over looking Keuka Lake.
BEAUTIFUL NATURAL SCENERY ALONG Keuka Lake shoreline and along rural roads.
The lakes & small farms! Mennonite horse and carriage along our roads!
Coming down Second Milo Rd overlooking lake.
Looking south over Keuka Lake (east branch) toward the Bluff.
Keuka Lake from the shoreline.
Falls on Outlet Trail, Main Street.
Any lake view property.
Look out any window!
Park
From the top of any hill overlooking the Lake.
From Rt 14 at City Hill Rd. looking north along Seneca Lake.
Seneca Lake
Rt 54 traveling west from Dresden looking south
Vineyards + lakes
Seneca Lake, from the Showboat dock.
Top of Chubb Hollow toward Seneca Lake. The Seneca Lakefront!
Looking east on Randall Crossing Rd.
Anywhere you can see the lakes.
Lake
From my front porch (5549 Route 54)
The view from my front deck of Seneca Lake (222 Rapalee Rd).
Do not know.
Seneca Lake, Keuka Lake
Between having the Town's of Himrod, Milo, and Penn Yan, I'm not even sure where Milo is or why it exists. The lake view is nice (Seneca).
Too many to list
Different lake views.
Seneca Lake on Plum Point Rd., Rapalee Rd, & Leach Rd.
Seneca Lake from most anywhere.
Himrod Road approaching Penn Yan - lovely rural scene.
What does this have to do with anything????
Well-kept farms.
1. Looking out the window at Sarrasin's Restaurant and seeing ducks on the water and boaters. 2. Walking the outlet trail and seeing the falls.
Watching the deer-birds-groundhogs foxes & horse drawn buggies - from my porch (2579 Himrod Rd.)
Driving south on Bath Rd. between 54 & Second Milo Rd. - looking down lake.
Downtown Penn Yan & any view of the lake.
14A SOUTH FROM Wind Mill.
Keuka Lake, Bluff Point, Farms, vineyards
Red Jacket Park's Lake View.
Approaching the village of Penn Yan from the south on 14A.
1. Rt 14A top of Milo hill north of the Wind Mill. 2. The Bluff.
Keuka Lake.
Rolling hills, vineyards, & lake views.
Main Street
Keuka Lake from Sarrancinies.
Lake
Oh my! Many - heading into the Village from 14A, County House Rd. and adjacent areas, I could go on and

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

on. Have you seen the view from homes on Glenora Rd? Marvelous.
My own property (2223 Baker Rd.)
Keuka lake/Bluff Point/surrounding vineyards & farmland.
Looking down on the village from Rt 364.
Looking down on the village from 14A heading north
Farmland overlooking the valleys and lakes.
The beauty of our farm lands in the town of milo.
Entering village via Bath Rd. (or) Hill near Wigwam Camp Grounds
Keuka Lake
From my very own house at 3006 Bath Road.
The overlooks of both Seneca and Keuka Lakes
Coming down Rice Hill Rd.
Coming down Rice Hill Rd.
The view of Seneca Lake
The view from the highest points in town of the lakes or just the beauty of the area in general.
There is none
As you approach from E. Main - The corner of the outlet and Birkett Mills.
Acres of vineyards looking toward Seneca Lake.
?
Seneca Lake and the farm country.
Over looking Seneca Lake from Crest of Severne Road.
Going down County House Road at night.
Scenery
The view of the lakes from hilltops.
View of Lake from Knapp & Schlappi
From my house (3468 Himrod Rd.)
View of Seneca Lake
Downtown looks great.
Country Side.
There is no picturesque view anywhere in the Town of Penn Yan.
Along Rt 14
G. Fullagar Road looking toward Lake.
Traveling north on 14 - top- of the hill by City Hill Rd.
The view from the top of East Main St.
Any high elevation road overlooking either lake or agriculture areas.
Countryside
From our house 3315 Chubb Hollow Rd.
The new trees on Main St. - beautiful.
Looking south from Hays Auction Barn.
Rural view and Lake views
Seneca Lake
View of and from the Old Legion site (Lake St). This should remain a green area.
From Fullagar Road looking west toward Keuka College.
Keuka Lake
Don't have one.
Outlet Trail
The rural, open land, farms, local crops to buy - Windmill.
View of Keuka Lake from west side (e.g. Bath Road)

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

We live in a beautiful area. The lakes, wineries, beautiful agricultural farms with planted fields
Looking at the hills across from the lake.
View of town from top of south hill on 14A
N/C
On 14A top of the where Baker Rd. crosses, facing Penn Yan
View of lake from this residence
From the air. Problem is, you can see everyone's junk piles.
Lake & hills.
From my yard to the fields & woods (3641 Old Bath Rd.)
My back yard from my enclosed porch as I grab a nap. (2340 Sisson Rd.).
Bluff point
Lakes
Agricultural areas.
Keuka Lake looking west from roads like Fullagar.
Rural views of our lakes, Please keep them that way. Thank You!
Keuka Lake Outlet Falls
Coming down the hill from Marbles especially at night.
The Academy and turf field.
In an airplane overlooking P.Y.
View of the Bluff from hills on east side of lake.
Looking at the lake from the Old Bath Rd.
The Lakes
The Lake from Sarrasan's deck.
I LIKE THE WAY THE Town is.
Any lake view
Views of Keuka Lake
Views from my home on Seneca Lake. Views between Keuka & Seneca Lake (The Outlet).
Looking over Keuka Lake from Penn Yan Airport.
The rural country atmosphere.
Sundown at Red Jacket Park. The trees on Main St.
Across Lake Keuka toward Bluff from my residence (92 State Rt 54- East Lake Rd).
Overlooking Keuka Lake from the Keuka Springs Winery on Rt. 54.
Out my window! (Seneca Lake shoreline area).
Neat, clean, well cared for farms with the lakes in the background.
The view from my house (2615 McElwee Rd.).
My home and its surroundings, which I hope will stay as they are for a long, long time (179 State Rt 54 East Lake Rd).
From Penn Yan Airport to the West.
On Rt 14A at the top of the hill coming towards Penn Yan from the south.
Village Hall/Church Dundee, N.Y.
Any view that encompasses a view of a lake (Keuka &/or Seneca) and surrounding agriculture in patchwork design.
Seneca Lake from State Rt 14
The Mill area.
West of Penn Yan on Sherman Hollow.
Farmland and the lakes.
Old Bath Road - south of airport - with views of farmland and lake.
Lake & untouched hills.
The lake.

Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

Down Main St. A wonderful small town look.
Route 14 north bound overlooking Dresden Bay area.
The view from our own windows (610 East Lake Rd).
From Esperanza.
Main Street - keep it old.
The view from my kitchen windows - open fields - corner of the lake, farming equipment, bicycles & horses going by - 1453 Milo Center Rd.
Our lake & farm view from our place (1453 Milo Center Rd.).
The view of the farmland as seen from 14A entering P.Y. from the north. Lake views from the east side.
Looking down from hilltop & seeing Keuka Lake and village of Penn Yan.
Rt 14 at City Hill Road Looking North.
Produce stands; herds of dairy cows grazing on pasture.
Seems like whatever direction you take you see rolling farmland & lakes.
Keuka toward Branchport.
Severn Road
Off my deck!
From my home on 54A.
The Bluff, looking west from East Lake Rd.
View of Keuka College during a sunset on the lake.
By Serrasini's.
Farmland.
We really like the view of the lake from our house (2540 Old Bath Rd.) and the airport area.
View from Bath Rd. over looking Keuka Lake.
Coming into town on Route 364 at night.
Coming into town on Rt 364 at night.
View of the Bluff.
View from Second Milo Rd. North of Old Bath Rd. View of the Lake looking south from our lake house towards Hammondsport.
View from Esperanza.
Looking in the valley coming down Pre-Emption Road.
Views of Keuka Lake and the Minnesota Rover (Outlet).
Standing on the bridge next to Birkett Mills.
Just the beautiful area wherever you look around driving into Penn Yan. The beautiful rolling hills & farms.
Overlooking Keuka Lake.
Upper Clinton St.
Agricultural land.
Keuka Lake.
Our little cabin on the lake (3583 Vineyard Rd.), the beauty of the rolling hills, vineyards.
Countryside.
Keuka Lake.
Keuka Spring Winery to Lake.
There are so many beautiful areas. Some include the Village, farms & fields, and of course the lake.
The whole is a great place.
Keuka Lake. Outlet Trail.
Keuka Lake. Outlet Trail
Looking at our pond from our porch (3782 Route 14A) so beautiful. View from Esperanza. Driving along Crystal Valley Rd. Driving around Keuka Lake.
Seneca Lake.
The view from our deck looking west across the east branch of Keuka Lake.

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

The Bath Road from Lakeside Country Club to Willow Grove Hill.
N/A

Answers to Question 79: What is your least favorite View
The junk houses on Rt 54 in the village.
Run down areas of Penn Yan
The old Beverage Baron.
The old Beverage Baron property. The CAFO (pigs) in Milo. The smell at times makes me angry at all times - hence not liking the view.
Abandoned house trailers on Lands @ Milo Center.
All of the signs on Old Bath Road and Route 54.
It was Lake St, but the empty junk houses are gone! Empty Stores
More Business - reduce taxes.
Decaying buildings various places downtown Penn Yan.
No opinion.
The new Dunkin Doughnuts which cut down the big gorgeous tree where they are they could have worked around it.
Poor condition of houses on 14A north of County Office building.
Condemned houses going out 54A - next to old Beverage Baron.
Some of the properties that look like junkyards and scrap yards.
Vacant buildings on Seneca St. between Main & Liberty.
Junk and unkempt property
Run down homes along 14A as you enter the village from the North.
Side of Morgan Marine view of the barge near Saracin's, the side of Knapp & Schlappi's near the trailer park across from Red Jacket. Fairgrounds. Whole area is a mess.
4 corner intersection of Liberty (Brown) & Lake St
The area bordered by Elm St, 14A, the lake inlet/outlet and railroad tracks.
The ramshackle houses on Rt 14A
My tax Bill
Run-down and often vacant properties.
None
The buildings across from Birkett Mills.
?
The view of the outlet on 54A toward the lake--need to be cleaned up.
The blocking of the views of the Lake as a result of heavy brush and trees maintained by Lakeside residents on the Lakeshore.
The area seen when at the public boat launch in Penn Yan. The half fallen down buildings are unsightly.
Same as above: Milo doesn't have a form.
Run down houses 14A and 54.
No comment
Any place where cars, trucks, boats, etc. are allowed to park for long periods of time. Doesn't enhance tourism in my view.
Unregulated sign pollution of: permanent signs on Lake Street - McDonald's, Lake St. Plaza, Gas Stations Real Estate Office, etc. Temporary signs all over - so much visual pollution!
The plethora of signs that advertise businesses or services. The old Beverage Baron.
Empty stores & Birkett Mill warehouse.
The disrepair and poor appearance of houses coming into town on Liberty St and Lake St.
Several streets have houses with junk cluttered in the yards. Why allow this to ruin a beautiful town e.g. just

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

east of Seneca St et. al.
Boat launch in village. Falling down buildings. Should have been torn down 20 years ago.
Unkempt properties in the village & surrounding town.
Empty run down buildings and empty retail stores in downtown Penn Yan.
Run down Bldgs on canal by boat launch.
Run down houses/farms everywhere. The most disturbing is along Liberty St, since this is often the first or only impression visitors get.
McDonalds, Dunkin Donuts, Family Dollar, Pizza Hut, Walgreen's.
McDonald's, Dunkin Doughnuts, family Dollar, Pizza Hut, Walgreen's.
Run down homes - trash in yards, etc.
None
"Run down Residences"
Watching the rude tourists come back and then decide to move here.
Liberty & Lake Streets in Penn Yan.
Slum neighborhoods
Trash around homes
Unkempt property, junk, etc.
Long Bow Trailer Park - should come under more code restrictions. It is a serious detriment to the town. Some renters keep up their property; others are eyesores.
The intersection of 14A and Lake St.
Can't think of any.
Overlook at Rt 14 rest area - no view.
The trailer parks
The Seneca Lake Tire buildings and its surrounding area - the mess!
?
Lakefront properties cluttered with too many sheds, boathouses, travel trailers and golf carts.
N/A
Junkyard on Rt 14 (may not be town of Milo) near yellow barn going south just before Glenora.
Penn Yan from Himrod Rd.
No Problem!
Properties that are not maintained (Junk Heaps, dilapidated structures, etc.)
Why? If I tell you what it is, are you going to buy it and clean it up?
Junk in our area.
Road maintenance. Bed tax - it will keep tourists away.
The new Court House - knowing what it cost taxpayers.
54 between 14A and Bath Rd. (don't even know if this is in the town, but very sad @ old Shur-fine and demolished houses across street.).
That dumpy looking house on the Outlet Rd just east of Penn Yan on the left. Cows, sheep and lots of trash! Yuk!
NA
Empty storefronts on Main St. Penn Yan
Parking for Penn Yan Academy Students
Seneca Street homes.
No comment.
I don't have one.
Lake Street
N/A
Old houses that need to be torn down - old mobile homes & junk in yards.
The trailer park

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

That old building on corner of Liberty & Water.
Sloppy homes
Seneca St.
"The Dump" (Dead Cars) on Bath Rd next to my property.
Uninhabited residential & commercial building on the Main Streets of Penn Yan.
Empty store fronts on Main St.
Empty store fronts
Crowded housing along the roads
All the development around the lake. We're losing our beauty of the lake.
Ugly buildings along Lake St. Brown St. corner. Ugly for Best Western guests
Development on Bath Rd (drew a frown face)
Seneca Lake Tire
Seneca Lake Tire and Junk.
Private property with junk & debris around the property and house.
To see old fallen down buildings or properties that are just plain unkempt by owners or others.
Judge's position should be terminated
In Himrod - The "Vacant" salt mine.
"Seneca Tire" Property on Tr. 14 in Himrod.
Homes with junk in yards (rural)
Trash around homes.
East end of Elm St.
Don't have a least favorite.
Unfriendly People
The empty run down buildings including the salt mines. The empty bldg on South St. Himrod.
Old Concrete building across from Mobil Station on 14A in Town.
Salt Plant towers.
North side of canal, between Main & Liberty
Empty lot across from Best Western.
People not keeping yards neat & tidy - The boat launch site is terrible. Eyesore buildings fallen down, some in the water - it really looks Bad!!! Why would anyone want to visit, tat being their fist impression or their last.
The homes on the Outlet road. Especially at the bottom of the hill.
Junk on people's property
Seneca Lake Tire and properties owned by Sorce Family.
Abandoned & run-down houses. Abandoned salt mines.
None
All the junk on Outlet Road and Ridge Rd., May's Mills to City Hill. Morton Salt.
Homes not being kept up in repairs.
Junk cars and trucks in Milo Center.
The old Beverage Barron.
From Birkett Mills to Moose
Salt mine towers
Junk yard type private properties
Lake St., the outlet - looks awful - could be beautiful if properly developed.
Closed and empty stores on Main Street.
Empty store fronts
Don't have one.
- Potholes on all the roads that are impossible to miss. - Broken docks by the parks.
The commercializing on Townsend/Stiles Rd. It is a mess when it rains - should be stockade fenced to hide. Too many gas, banks, taxes and too many of them - and more coming - If I have to cut down so should your

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

spending.
Junk cars & trash piled up on porches, and places that have puppy mills.
Airport and other development outside the village
Area along outlet trail between Townsend Road and Village boundary.
N/C
The view of the Outlet where the 14A/Liberty St bridge crosses, looking east down the Outlet.
Lake Street.
Businesses that don't do landscaping.
The airport
The trashy appearance of the Lake Outlet & Carey's, debris, garbage in water.
1 The mess in Mays Mills.
Unlicensed Vehicles
Deserted business like Ira Wyman gas station on Clinton St & North Ave.
Houses that appear to be a health hazard, e.g. many broken windows while still being inhabited.
Salt plant towers on Severne Rd.
Big industry
Around Liberty Street Bridge.
Seneca Street
Anywhere unkempt, trashy looking property is. I don't mind old house, but there is no reason for garbage and trash in the yard.
Dangerous piers by inlet.
Lake St./Liberty St China King, Pizza Hut & whatever is now in the old Pudgies.
The upkeep of homes.
The view of the broken docks, Carey's, Roto-salt from Indian Pines & boat launch area.
Seeing that Fancy Court House. I don't see how PY needs that Court House and why doesn't the Town of Milo have their court busy in there instead of Main St.
1. Upkeep of houses/property. 2. Takes months for state/town to fix road maintenance problems.
Old buildings along the Outlet - as seen from the outlet trail through the village. These valuable locations are going to waste.
Junkyards on private property - Rt. 14 & Severne.
Houses along by Carey Retail Store. Need Improvement.
Stupid Rite-Aid Building.
Area between Lake St. and outlet.
The old Beverage Baron site.
My home.
The unkempt properties and junkyard residences.
Corner of 14A and 54.
Salt mine property vacant, overgrown, & falling down.
The pig farm on Second Milo Rd., not that it looks so bad but knowing that it is there, menacing us all.
South Street plus junk & trash around houses. Dogs & cats running loose with a leash law in effect in town.
Along Seneca St. from Main St. to the railroad tracks.
Raceway
Unlicensed vehicles & junk on properties.
Houses leading up to Town Hall.
No comment.
Junkyard type conditions on private property.
?
Everything looks okay for now.
?

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

Water Street buildings torn down. Empty buildings near Cam's.
Center of Himrod.
Mobile homes across from Red Jacket Park; go carts across from cemetery.
Dollar store/ Aldi's / Drug stores.
Beverage Baron abandoned building. Houses on Liberty St. near school.
String at homes along street by high school and county offices.
Corner of Brown & Lake St. County buildings - represent over spending.
The outlet trail where Ridge Road crosses the Keuka Lake outlet.
New housing on good farmland.
The torn up earth from the new gas pipeline.
Don't really have one.
Himrod.
Some of the streets in Penn Yan.
Lake Street Plaza area & area opposite.
Too many McMansions allowed on the lake. Since when is the lake made for rich people only?
2 Empty/vacant gas stations (both at entrances into P.Y.)
Old mobile homes.
The old buildings along side of the channel between Liberty ad Main Streets on Water St.
State Highway Barns and Industrial Park going into Penn Yan on 14A.
Directly behind public parking lot & the Wagner Restaurant.
N/A
Poorly maintained houses & trailers.
All the unkempt and falling down houses in the village.
Outlet Trail from Liberty Street Bridge to Cherry Street. This section is lettered with trash and brush. Not only is this unsightly, but it could present a personal security and safety risk.
Coming into town on
People that are allowed to have trash and unused vehicles sitting in their yards. Trashing the neighborhood for other homeowners who care how their place looks.
?
Liberty street and Lake Street.
Courthouse & cost.
I am not sure.
N/A
Some of the garbage behind our property, but we have talked to the owner & it will be taken care of by him & us.
Rt 14A from the hospital (Soldiers & Sailors) into town.
Former Beverage Barron & neighboring lots.
There are buildings here and there that are falling down.
Vacant Stores.
The view of too many properties with junk vehicles, etc. which are never moved or removed.
Empty stores!
Unsightly houses.
Houses sprouting up on good farmland.
Derelict buildings along the outlet.
Liberty St. and the four corners of Lake & Liberty Streets.
N/A

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

Written Answers to Question 80: What are the biggest issues facing the Town of Milo?
Keep Taxes Down -
High property taxes make it extremely difficult for retired individuals to maintain a property on the lake (with limited retirement income).
Preservation of water quality and scenic aspects of Keuka Lake, without crippling agriculture.
New Construction.
Total tax levy. We need to be very careful to avoid increasing taxes beyond inflation, and should work to reduce or hold them level.
Need to consolidate services with other government groups to reduce taxes and costs. Control development on steep slopes.
Need to consolidate services with other government groups to reduce taxes and costs. Control development on steep slopes.
Economy
Jobs, taxes.
Runaway spending of governments and schools.
A general lack of understanding of how to maintain/restore the wealth of historic buildings.
Unreasonable assessments on lake property.
Encouraging growth to balance tax base against cost of services.
Combining need for small town rural feel with demand of people who live on lake.
Tax, water and sewer rates.
Maintaining services while keeping taxes reasonable.
TAXES!
Taxation - Allowing those that have lake frontage to be able to keep their property. Don't tax us out!
Taxes & housing.
- Development on the lake front needs to be more regulated. - Traffic on 4 corners of Liberty & Brown and Liberty & Elm.
Taxes
Taxing the people on the lake so that they cannot longer live their dream of retiring in your community. Controlling your spending!!
Taxes & people losing/selling their homes because they can't afford to keep them.
1. Maintaining or improving services without increasing property tax burden. 2. Increasing year round job opportunities. 3. Increasing quality retail & grocery/dining options.
Control taxes to prevent people on limited incomes from having to move out.
Higher cost - higher taxes - older folks have to sell - very sad.
Taxes are way too high for the value received - compare to NC, SC, GA and FL
America/NYS Debt levels.
1. Keeping taxes down. 2. Freeze employment
Lack of business tax base leads to crushing taxes on lakefront property and a steady progression of long time residents being driven off the lake. Ultimately Milo will be like two separate towns - one wealthy, those who can afford lakefront, and the
Taxes
Rising Taxes.
A further development of water and sewage systems so that all Lake side residents have access to these facilities, not just a few.
Large-scale development on the lake, and along the hillsides over looking the lake.
Continuing to feel that you can keep raising taxes when people's salaries don't go up. Need to trim back the budget!
High property taxes.
At the moment - The Plaza & Lake Street. You're making progress.

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

Not enough thought given to planning - Economy is very bad but people think they can spend money for anything. Instead of thinking big, think small - economize.
Lack of professional expertise & guidance in the following areas: Planning Board, Zoning Board, Code Enforcement, and/or supervision of that Dept. & lack of competency.
Pro Active Code Enforcement. User Friendly web page for constituents to access. The clouds of billowing smoke from burning leaves & garbage. Creating interactive web page.
Making taxes reasonable & assessments for residents who live here year around aren't forced to sell to outsiders. Bringing in business & industry carefully.
Taxes!!
1. Water & land pollution protection. 2. Traffic through the town. 3. Job opportunities for the residents.
Rising taxes on property.
Development of Outlet and surrounding properties for everyone's use.
Taxes
Balancing increasing residential and business (hopefully) activity (and zoning conflicts) with the preservation of our beautiful natural rural setting.
Controlling development along the lake to maintain scenic beauty of the natural resource.
High Taxes.
Improving the aesthetics & increasing available shopping, restaurants, etc. to increase tourism.
Excessive taxation without representation, zoning.
Loss of Birkett Mill on Main St.
Keeping taxes reasonable so older folks aren't forced to sell.
Taxes are too high. Seasonal residents feel that the Town is trying to "stick it to them."
Have the money before you buy! The "Jones" will do well whether we keep up with them or not.
Focusing on positive controlled growth.
Control of development and its effect upon the environment.
Holding the line on property taxes.
Taxes
Taxes
Controlled spending. Increased revenue sources other than real estate taxes.
Growing the tax base and maintaining tourism/agriculture in the town.
Pollution of Seneca Lake due to agriculture and zebra mussels.
Over development
Property taxes - particularly on seasonal properties that make use of a few or no town services, i.e.: schools, water, sewers, street lights, etc.
Lake maintenance. Also keeping taxes under control. Why have the lake taxes gone out of sight!
Sustaining its farm like qualities while not becoming Canandaigua/Lake Tahoe -.
Taxes. Don't want a water district.
Lowering property taxes - gone down anywhere else but town of Milo raising them. Special tax not for Senior citizens who live around property for better than 50 years.
High Lakefront residential property assessments, and taxes with no services provided. Zoning laws not being followed and Enforced.
The continued increase in assessments and therefore taxes which eventually causes people to move out. Soon only the very rich will be able to stay. May that's your goal?
Water & Sewer in all areas of town. Code enforcement
Taxes & assessments
Too new here to have opinion.
Soil erosion and the contamination of Lakes from run off. Removal of hedgerows should be regulated (by permit only)!
Economic development (including job opportunities) while retaining the beauty of the area.
People who moved into the town who came from larger towns or suburbs that want to make this town like theirs with more regulations and ordinances, especially when they sit on boards (zoning, town & county).

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

Not know.
No t to allow any more puppy mills in Yates County. Not to allow any more pig farms. Not to develop farmland because we need farmers to grow food for us and future generations.
High taxes.
Road repair.
Balancing the needs of year - round residents with those of seasonal residents and tourists. Please resist pressure to re-make this area into someone's fantasy. Affordable Ag land and housing v. important.
No opinion
School tax
Equipping future generations to manage the political, business and moral landscape of the township.
Teaching our youth Life skills
Teaching our youth life skills.
Not enough businesses with above average pay scale.
I've been out of the main stream so long I don't really know.
Taxes
Maintaining infrastructure without also raising taxes beyond citizen's ability to afford.
Old mobile homes being stored on private lots - junkyards contaminating soil.
Moved here only 3 years ago, but I've seen very little job opportunities.
Senior citizens and taxes - it's getting hard for us to keep our homes! Are you trying to get rid of us?
Need a department store at a level of senior citizens and farm trade incomes.
Fold picking on farmers about natural farm country fresh air.
Welfare, lack of jobs...And why, when businesses come into the Town, do they hire people to work from outside Yates County?
- Misuse of taxpayer dollars. - Expand water supply to keep up with Department of Health regulations for small businesses.
Loss of agriculture land to development
Funding/taxes to fulfill responsibilities
Having all of these city people coming here for our country side to get out of city and then not wanting to deal with country living or smell that go with rural living, & wanting Zoning to match where they came from
Having all of these city people coming here for our countryside to get out of city and then not wanting to deal with country living or smell that go with rural living.
More business needed to add jobs and pay taxes.
Keeping its rural appearance buy yet move into the future in providing jobs, retail sales, housing, and limited development.
Keeping the taxes affordable so people can live here into retirement.
Taxes.
To make it so the younger people stay and not move away.
Inadequate ZBA members, law enforcement not enforcing codes, junkyards & dogs
The cleanup of unsightly properties.
The Cleanup of unsightly properties.
Inflation
Reasonable control of growth.
Increasing tax burden.
Lack of industry
Himrod water district is greatest issue that our household faces.
Bringing in more high-end retailers like Skaneateles & Canandaigua.
Tax base that relies on high valued lake frontage and services.
Crosswalks on Main St. (Not the greatest, but a big concern)
Don't know
TAXES!!!

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

Road repair
Having to depend on tourism for income. Too many tax-free properties.
Leaving people alone to live their lives
Difference of opinion between residents of Seneca Lake area & Keuka Lake area. - Should have a separate zoning border.
None
Serving the taxpayers while keeping tax base as low as possible.
Dog Kennels.
Keep it rural.
Children on streets after 11:00 (only one issue).
Maintaining views and rural character of area.
Providing services at the lowest cost.
Maintaining public services and controlling property taxes.
Maintenance of infrastructure. Improving appearance of village - set a "style" code for future development.
Taxes - fair taxes - lakeside values regardless of seasonal or not.
Puppy mills
Unknown.
ROADS!
Same as all the towns, taxes & assessments. Everyone wants everything, but it is the ones who pay for the ones who cannot pay.
Don't Know!!
To have the area grow but not get overrun by "crass materialist philistines," like it has been in what used to be many rural parts of Florida.
Taxes
Assessments & Taxes.
The ability to allow growth and increase economic input. Keeping the population here.
Taxes are too high.
Folks from the City moving here and wanting it to be like where they came from.
Keep services up and taxes down as much as possible.
Maintain the roads as cost of oil and fuel are getting expensive. Hiring an aggressive Zoning Officer.
Taxes
Liberal spending on Court House, Schools, and Libraries.
High level of taxes.
Constant Reassessment
To many very expensive homes being built. Where do these people get the money to buy them and then loose them?
Roads
Extremely poor roads in the Village of P.Y.
Keeping taxes in line so that we can afford to live here.
The cost of taxes.
Runaway local taxes. Too Many drug stores. Unappealing appearances of new buildings, in example: Aldi.
Affordable housing for elderly.
The stench of liquid manure.
Taxes. State/Gov't mandates
Do Not Know.
High Taxes to Lake front Residents.
Town Taxes way to High!! Need to reduce overhead & lower Taxes.
Over development.
Speeding Cornwell to Blu nose down Outlet Road. Conditions in General & no public transportation.

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

Maintenance of infrastructure.
The high taxes lakefront owners are forced to pay.
Developments - as in condos, puppy mills, high taxes.
High taxes - People who are forced to sell their property because of high taxes.
Encouraging growth and tourism without changing the rural character of the area.
Maintaining agriculture & rural atmosphere while allowing growth for business & industry.
Over population/development
Lowering taxes & road repairs + speed limit too high in town should be 30 mph, instead of 40 mph & no one other than State Police ever check it. It's unsafe to even walk to the post office for your mail. Its like a race track up & down the road & no
Keeping taxes low.
Expanding the tax base while controlling undesirable development such as large-scale hog feeding and Wal-Mart.
Taxation and lack of essential services at a reasonable cost for lake-owned property.
Maintaining rural charm, but maintaining a progressive future.
The Himrod water/sewer project (expansion) seemed to be an expensive undertaking that would benefit a small number of lakeshore owners. I have spent a lot already on my well & sulfur guard system. I don't want to spend more because I would have to pay
?
Taxes! Too high.
Road conditions, no room for more traffic.
Rising real property taxes.
Stagnation. Development to keep our youth in the area.
No comment.
Wind Farms - we need them.
High taxes driving out middle class cottage owners.
Safe drinking water. Town should have access to free treated water for drinking and cooking.
Restrictive zoning.
Encourage planned growth.
Over building, lack of zoning enforcement, excessive taxes.
High taxes forcing residents to sell!!!
Economy; high taxes.
Drop the IDA issue and cater to the rich lake folk.
High taxes - repair of Route 54A.
High taxes.
The economy.
Escalating assessments of cottages on Keuka Lake, it is forcing people to sell their homes.
Over development of lake property. Over taxation for average fixed income, low income - which is what % of population?
Too much spending & taxing. If you want to keep the town rural and keep people here, including retirees, then living has to be affordable for fixed income people.
Not sure.
How to maintain services without taxing people.
Lack of middle-income employment.
Public utility expansion.
Protecting the quality of lakeside life - with pure water and single-family detached homes.
Taxes are way too high on seasonal cottages owned by working class citizens.
Taxes are too HIGH!
No businesses for job opportunities!
Out of control expenses - If need be consolidate with other towns for efficiency/expense REDUCTION.

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

High taxes.
I don't know but I would not like to see any townhouses or apartment complexes outside of the village.
Growth of lake development and the infrastructure of Penn Yan's water, sewer, and road systems.
High taxes that own lake property - detrimental to existing owners.
Development of CAFO's & high-rise condos (high density) on lakeshore.
Weeds depreciate value of lake property.
Zoning.
Decent paying jobs.
Development of the Keuka Lake Outlet at the site of the former Penn Yan Marine Manufacturing Co.
Don' know except why do they have to use that dirt to salt roadways in winter. Why can't they just use salt? That black stuff makes a mess of vehicles & hard on Tires!
Sewer/water availability.
Excessive cost and ineffectiveness of the infrastructure. The Town should be folded into Yates County to reduce cost and improve effectiveness.
Don't know.
Taxes!
High tax.
Stimulating commercial development without burdening taxpayers.
Long range foresight in the development of the waterfront along the Outlet that keeps the development for Public use.
Work on a plan to insure our woods and fields do not become overgrown crowded residential areas.
Taxes. People are being taxed out of the County - No more taxes - Need to stabilize tax base. No more new programs.
Comprehensive plan to protect from overdevelopment. Bring taxes down. Consolidate services with other towns.
Exorbitant property taxes. Bring taxes down. Preserve & protect Keuka Lake.
Urban encroachment on good cropland.
Need retail sales to return to PY to regain sales tax revenue.
Development and code enforcement.
TAXES/New Development.

Written Answers to Question 81: Other Comments

You don't want the tourist trade to have cars or enjoy themselves!?!
Leaf and brush pick-up for all residents of Milo County.
Find a way to use the old salt mine - Commercial Development? Industrial Development?
Need better sign control.
I hate to see the charm of Keuka disappear with the "Too big for the lot" stone "Castles" taking over the lakeshore. Let's be sane and protect the lake.
Penn Yan has a treasure trove of pre-civil war historic homes and commercial buildings, and lake cottages. Education for homeowners and business owners on how to maintain, enhance, and restore these would be the best investment the town could make. They are deteriorating and especially along Main St. it could be gorgeous (not Main - but the street where the P & C and schools are). Good work.
Please don't ruin the area by putting up multi-unit housing, hotels, etc... along the lakefront.
Zoning needs to be flexible to allow for compatible and necessary land development. Mixed housing should be permitted but at a slow pace. Most housing hurts the tax base. Shared services should be incorporated where ever possible. I like the idea of townhouses on the outlet channel.
After you develop the plan "DO IT." Why did the Town have to hire a consultant to tabulate the results?

Written Survey Responses From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

Duplicate Law enforcement - Is there really a need for the village to have a police Dept. when the Sheriff's office is located within the village?
We need to develop a plan to entice more primary health care physicians to locate here. The waiting time to be taken on as a new patient at either Pre-Emption Family Medicine or Main Street Family Health is Months! (Check it out)
#1 Regulate key hole developments. #2 Regulate hillside development and require proper septic systems.
1. Senior condo's with & without lake views. 2. The Route 54 Gateway into town needs to be cleaned up & made inviting.
We don't need another drug store! You changed our address from 320 to 313 yet you don't seem to be able to get it right (it's not 312). The address changes were a complete fiasco and not well thought out. Residents were not notified in writing & given an opportunity to comment. Utilities were not properly notified. Cable still has our old address & wont change it unless they hear from you!
1. People who maintain homes/cottages on the lake need to be able to vote and have a say in the community. 2. Control spending - it appears that the town/village can & spend the taxpayers' money fast enough. 3. Seasonal people spend a lot of money on these people. You don't need a dollar store on every corner. 4. Finally - It has been my lifetime goal to retire on the lake. Please don't disappoint me by taxing me out!!
This Survey is a Great Start!!
1. Main St. in Penn Yan should be upgraded with improved building facades and streetscapes. 2. Economic development a priority for increased jobs, business tax base and better shopping - food, clothing - in town. 3. Lake shore in the village is a missed opportunity to greatly enhance the village, recreational and scenic views for residents and tourism. 4. Improved enforcement of speed on lower lake roads - these are high pedestrian and bicycle traffic areas with little or no speed control. 5. Improve the inequity of the tax burden falling too heavily on the shoulders of lake front property owners.
My only gripe is your property taxes are way TOO HIGH! Thank you for the survey. Sincerely, L. Pauline Peck
Avoid Large housing on commercial development that would have an impact on our existing infrastructure.
If the National trend of lower property value happens for the Town of Milo.... You need a plan for operations with lower assessments and lower taxes?? John. W. Kelley
Work hard to share services with over-lapping and adjacent legislative districts and encourage fiscal responsibility in those districts. Current fiscal management is driving development and residents away.
Make Penn Yan/Milo more of a "destination" for tourists to visit. This could increase tax revenue, create jobs, and increase property values.
Going forward.. Cost considerations will be significant in any plan. By who and how will it be paid for? Could the bonds fail? I will not own Municipal bonds in America.
Do not invest in areas that will create future expenses (or employment) by the Town to maintain.
Other, lower middle income due to lake of economic opportunities. That's a "Them versus us" kind of culture that is unhealthy and uncomfortable. Economic Development is essential. Wineries & tourists aren't sufficient.
Jack Show should NOT Retire! Best there ever was!!!
Thank you for finally giving us an opportunity for input on the comprehensive Plan for the town of Milo. We have (lake residence) have paid thousands & thousands of dollars in taxes for improvement to the community. Ex: schools, police dept., fire dept., government bldgs, water system, sewage system, administrative positions, & other projects! This community was established with regular working (salt of the earth) people of N.Y. Yes, progress is important but please do not allow townhouse projects. Put a moratorium on permanent docks, size of houses, any structure that interferes with the views of the lake: ex: Walls, fences, sheds, boathouses, etc. Keep the lake clean, protect the environment, control farming businesses by making sure hazardous waste are not entering the water. Again I say hold down taxes. Yes we will pay our fair share. But enough of the taxes.
This is a rural area, and we need to maintain that look and feel. Certainly, we need to improve basic services like public water, sewers, etc., but we will never be able to attract large industries in manufacturing, primarily because where the Town of Milo is located. We need to have a stringent comprehensive plan on the books to maintain the rural integrity of this area. This plan should not allow large-scale development like condos on the lake. Also the threat to our neighboring hillsides is severe, with significant "growth" taking place. We need to preserve the water quality of the lake by limiting pesticides/fertilizers.
Taxation is a big big issue in NYS. Everyone feels that they can "feed at the trough," because the people on the lake don't have the right to vote (non-residents). Just remember when you increase taxes everyone gets an increase proportionately. Need to find a way to reduce budget. Secondly, you are slowly pushing out middle

Written Survey Responses From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

class families out of the area because of taxes. Part of the lake's charm is it's middle class appeal. This place is becoming like Canandaigua (people w/ \$\$\$\$).
Water and sewer district expansions should be paid for by new developments. The Town of Milo and beyond should be annexed by the Village of Penn Yan. I believe that a business appearance is important, but the town has to be careful not to over regulate.
Keep open for input - Questionnaire a good start. No more Drug stores!
Have a plan that will benefit the most people not just a select few. Just an example - the backside of the envelope should have been blank.
1. Regulation of structure size relative to buildable lot are (in plain language - No "McMansions on postage stamp sized lots"). This is happening a lot on the lake share on Keuka. 2. Pro-Active code enforcement NOT initiated by written complaint from a resident. There is no code enforcement now; there is only building inspection being done on new construction. 2. No more political appointees on boards. Get people from the community who have expertise/experience in the types of matters the Board handles (i.e.: Architects, Realtor, Real Estate Appraiser, Contractor, Engineer, Land Use Planner, etc.).
Pro Active Code Enforcement. Ordinances for noise, burning, excessive Light. Yates County Sheriff's Marine Division issues very few citations and skews their complaint numbers high by doing data checks. Loud exhaust - boats - jet skis have the run of the lake. Sheriff's Office gives lip service to noise, speeding car complaints and rarely responds to audible burglar alarms on seasonal cottages. Rather the call non-resident owner and tell them they'll meet them when they (non-resident) respond.
Need some other source of taxation besides the lakers and tourist. Making a quality of life statement around the lake and its shores. Biking, picnic, benches, eateries, shops. Reface or restore old buildings & warehouses, etc. We need retail stores and not more dollar stores or pharmacies, need more park like areas.
It would be very cost effective to shop close to home for most of our needs. As it is we travel to Geneva, Canandaigua, Roch. For clothes, items for home, gifts, etc. We use approximately \$60 gas money per week traveling. Shur-Fine is never an option. Dollar Stores, etc. enough!
The town needs to encourage a physical fitness "large size" gym Co. like Gold's Gym to set up a gym in the town to meet the needs of old & young & to reduce obesity of Town residents rather than wait years for a community center to get adequate funding.
Realize that this is an agricultural/rural area. We need to preserve and protect the Lake and the nearby hillsides. There is too much building. Runoff from the cleared land on the hills is threatening the lake now. Pesticides used by lake residents must be curtailed. Also, the Town of Milo must ban outdoor burning & open up a landfill like the Town of Wayne. Due to rising property taxes, local lake residents are beginning to sell their property to out of town and out of state property owners. This is not good.
Over taxing lake front properties for development.
There is NO need for the multi-layered government that exist in Yates Co. Consolidation of services and elimination of layers of government should be done NOW. The existing system is a waste of taxpayer money!
I am concerned with the overlapping of government services, with its expensive costs - especially the town and the Village. There is a lack of sharing services.
The natural beauty of small farms and scenic lake views are a natural resource to this and future generations. This area used to be called the land of "Bread and Wine" referring to beautiful golden wheat fields blending with bountiful vineyards along the shores of our lakes. Lets retain some of that beauty for future generations to see!
Taxes need to be reduced. Too much tax money is "not" kept for local use. Should offer 1% loans to homeowners to improve. The canal area should be developed. Bed tax is a bad idea. People need to rent their property to help pay taxes. Will hurt tourism. Hotels Yes, private property NO. Shared services with other towns to save \$\$\$. High gas prices reduce unneeded travel on lake as well as roads.
Too great a tax burden is placed on lakeshore property owners. Many are senior citizens who are seeing rising health care costs & diminishing investment returns in the current economic state. Referendum votes are held when many owners are away for the winter & services are denied to others: i.e.: Town refuses to take over & maintain roads, but doesn't hesitate to tax lakeshore owners for things they neither want nor use. Town changed street names & addresses last year, but never had changes made in national GPS database – now no one can find us – including fire & ambulance – the alleged reason the changes were made! Follow through!!
Control "Look & feel" of rural area. Benchmark to Freeport, Maine. Plant Trees along roads.
My experience with the town has been very favorable - zoning, fire, etc.
Think about promoting taxable construction in the area to build the tax base.

Written Survey Responses From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

I'm so upset about Yates County allowing the minorities to make use the New Puppy Mill capitol of the State of New York. Is tourism and Mennonite money worth loosing humane compassion towards animals? Property Availability - Mennonites or vacation homes - what about anyone else?
Along the lake shore there is uncontrolled development. i.e.: property owners who feel free to build/expand with no compunction about the effect upon the environment. Shale beaches should not be replaced by heavily fertilized and pesticided lawns. Further, open burning should be banned along the lakeshore. Lake water quality is of the utmost importance. Runoff from development and agriculture has already affected the lakes seen in increased waterweeds & loss of wildlife. The town of Milo has historically not provided any youth recreation opportunities, preferring to leave it to the villages and County. Milo needs to share the expense of parks, playgrounds, & programs. We don't need any big box stores, but should support local merchants: Long/Morgan.
Pay as you go!
For some reason Keuka Lake and its surrounding area seems to get the lions share of concern and protection while Seneca Lake area is equally deserving.
Living on the lake has limited benefits in regards to our high taxes. Snow plowing is overdone. Cinders are a hazard to the lake. The dust from cinder could be considered a hazard to individuals as time goes by. Cinders ruin the paint on cars and filter into homes. It needs to be changed especially on our lake road.
Consider more areas for retail development to benefit the tourism industry and the needs of both local residents and the lakefront owners, many of whom have greater "disposable" income. Consider shared services if not already (with Yates County or neighboring towns).
Please reinvestigate a municipal water system for the town, and eventually a sewer system.
1. Wind farms would ruin the character and attraction of the towns. We are strongly opposed. 2. Paving roads with oil and stone is hard for bicycling and less safe for driving than asphalt.
No wind farms -
A small convenience store is needed on Rt 14 now that the one at 14 and 54 has closed - this includes gasoline. 2. A marina with a gas dock is also needed. There is no place for boaters to fuel up on Seneca lake from Geneva to Watkins Glen.
Make sure the voters agree before spending our money. Who paid (or will pay) for the "engineering" of the proposed Himrod Water Expansion that the voters did not have a chance to vote on, and, in fact didn't even know about it! If population gets larger (Seneca Lake) water may be worth considering. With all the money people have put into leach fields I don't see sewer as a need, except for the people who are now using the "55 gallon barrel" approach and dump into the lake.
Clean & easily obtained potable water should be the right of all citizens of Milo.
All proposals by the Town should be available to the public to vote on and not decided by a few people. The results are preplanned by the Town and at that time presented to the public. Let's all work together as residents of the Town of Milo.
1. Who are living on the 80's retirement income and want to keep their house will be forced to sell. 2. More handicap parking on Main St - I am handicap - walking a problem - cannot shop on Main St as I cannot park close enough to walk. My biggest grip is the telephone book for area - Dundee is sensible and ?/Geneva does not list County business. So many times I have tried to find plumber, electrician, painter etc. If you don't know the people's name you are out of luck. In the 13 years we have been here trying to find help keeping on line someone is a problem. Rates are high - workmanship is good in some people, terrible in others. Have had better luck hiring people from Schuyler County or Elmira. Need clothing store, shoe store, cannot buy towels, curtains, & bedding, in Penn Yan.
Residential lake front properties are being assessed much higher than commercial lakefront in the same neighborhood. Commercial is not at true full market value. Mobile homes are not assessed even close to sales prices in lake front parks. Zoning laws are not being followed and enforced, much worse since 2001. Property owners are not being notified of variance requests within 500 feet. Businesses should not be allowed loud outdoor entertainment that is disruptive and offensive to neighborhood. Too many unlicensed vehicles on roads (Golf carts etc.).
As long as you keep raising assessments, the town should adopt a policy where they would guarantee to purchase that property at the assessed value once you have forced the homeowner to sell because he can no longer afford the taxes.
Roads, traffic, compatibility of tourism, wine industry, agriculture & residential development.

Written Survey Responses From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

Truck Traffic on Rt 14 very dangerous with all wine traffic. Limit usage by diverting to 14A.
The following two issues might discourage people wanting to retire or stay in this area: 1. High-speed boats (cigar type) have become a serious noise problem. Conversation in our homes has become impossible when they are on Seneca Lake. 2. Visitors leaving wineries driving erratically has now become a very serious problem in this area too. DUI enforcement necessary.
Listening to the citizens and communicating with them on a regular basis.
It is my understanding that there were subcommittees formed to meet about these issues and I'm concerned that these larger groups haven't met in quite some time. A survey shouldn't be used to replace the opinions of those who actually were concerned enough to show up at meetings. It seems like the town spends too much time trying to regulate things that aren't problems and that people aren't complaining about. Although the issues in this survey might be important, they are not important enough to justify the type of significant regulation some people on the Town boards seem to be pushing for. Board members should remember that they are supposed to represent the people in the town – not just their own interests.
- Stop wasting taxpayers (hard-earned \$) - Stop plowing & sanding - salting bare roads! - Stop the school district's wasteful agenda! - Fix the roads? - I'd like to see the results.
None.
1. I would object to creating the Horizon Business Park but now that it is there, make the most of it w/ businesses that enhance other assets, esp. ag. Alternative energy manufacturing for local use. 2. Farming practices should be compatible with current neighbors - right to farm does not equal right to do anything regardless of impact. Leave the industrial animal confinement model behind - encourage more progressive and profitable practices. Thanks for the opportunity to provide input.
Exclude Puppy Mills from entire area-
In general I am happy with the area. Thank you!
Stop urban sprawl.
Make it easier and more attractive for small to large business, both industrial, retail, & manufacturing. No good jobs are available without these businesses. Work faster and more efficiently to approve business growth. Expand the water district to more rural businesses - including the windmill. Keep the area safe for our children- no adult 'entertainment' businesses.
While lines on all road edges. Make better use of empty business buildings. Penn Yan street repair. Keep Soldiers & Sailors Hospital open, serving, labs, etc. Better interaction with our Mennonite neighbors.
I sometimes wonder how long there can be farms sold and divided into 2 or 3 parts and 30 or 40 cows put on each. Many times 1 to 2 acres per cow. Where is the waste going to go?
There should be a way to dispose of hazardous waste materials.
N/A
The highway department needs to clean out ditches more frequently. Assessor needs to be more available & responsive. Special attention for seniors (phones, utilities, snow plowing for ambulance entry).
I think the town needs shops for our basic needs like shoes and clothing. At this point we have to go to Geneva or Bath for those necessities.
Taxes took too big a step this year when no improvements were made.
A small Wal-Mart or store you can buy clothing & shoes. Notice! I'm a Dairy Farmer up to my neck in debt. :)
No more Drug Stores! If businesses come in - they need to maintain the appearance of that property. I.e. China Buffet - yuck.... Why allow companies to be taxed different for yrs.? And they need to hire people in Yates County to work in business set here in Yates. Lets see..come on in - set up shop - we wont tax you State ok locally for 6 yrs or better and you can hire anyone outside our County!! This is a huge problem and does NOTHING for our local economy on many levels!
- Work closer with telecommunication companies to expand access to rural communities - providing DSL/high speed internet. - Speed up the process to allow more commercial business in the area/hold special meetings with planning board if necessary to make approvals / changes faster and more efficiently. - With the new Community Center coming soon, the library does not need the elaborate expansion they proposed/ maybe remodel / would be smarter to save taxes.
Continue to focus on agriculture and tourism.
What we like most about Penn Yan area is not having so much development. We like small town living. We know we need jobs but seems Rochester is now Canandaigua & it's creeping our way. We like things the way they are and do not believe residential and commercial development should be encouraged. It does not seem

Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

to be helping with taxes, maybe making them worse. And not helping to preserve the beauty of the area.
What we like most about Penn Yan area is not having so much development. We like small town living. We know we need jobs but seems Rochester is now Canandaigua & it's creeping our way.
Close down the Puppy Mills. They make Yates County look real bad. They are not needed.
Area on Liberty ST - south of Aldi's - would be a perfect location for an eatery. What about Ponderosa, Wendy's Friendly's type of restaurant? McDonald's the onlyTravelers need a choice.
Bring jobs into the area. Stores such as clothing and good grocery stores. Hold down cost as looking into other fuels. Bring them into the area for all to use.
Not at this time.
Get rid of Judges position in Town of Milo, waste of money & times - give to Penn Yan Courts. Tractor Trailers can't make it bridge "No Signs" constantly backing up & rerouting- Please call 243-5356 re: T&T turn around. 1. C.O. should be meet in person. 2. Dog warden needs to enforce leash law. 3. Harrison & PY-Himrod Rd needs to be changed - danger exiting & entering. 4. Signs need to be place for tractor & trailers stating, "Bridge is too low."
No.
No comments made.
Himrod needs a playground to accommodate the large number of children. There is currently nothing for them to do in the town during brakes, vacations, and after school. Streets in Pen Yan definitely need improvement. I feel the town of Milo not allowing mobile homes on individual lots is inappropriate. There are very nice mobile homes out there and provide a economical means for some people to own their own home. If the home is HUD approved it should be allowed!
Build a pedestrian pier on the lakefront like Skaneateles.
-Railroads should be strengthened - supported across NYS & U.S. - Shared services - how can towns and county share services - i.e.: highway dept. - do we need 9 town highways & 1 county. - Town assessor or county wide. - Justice: do we need co – town & village. Probably others with multiple levels. - Businesses that make Milo & Yates a destination and then leave. - Sales tax shared between county and town. - "Green" incentive for property owners - incentives for environmental stewardship - agriculture, business, homeowner. – Renewable energy projects.
Law enforcement officers who come to Yates County for training sessions should obey the rules of the road like everyone else. So far this week, I have been tailgated, cut off, and passed in a no-passing zone. Seen many travel well over the speed limit, run stop signs. Are they an exception from the rules of the road?
No
Our taxes are too high - You are completely out of control! Taxes are forcing people out of their homes, especially Sr. citizens. It's a crime when people cannot afford to live in their own homes any longer.
Pick up of yard debris in Town of Milo. Not just in town of PY
We need an ordinance controlling loud music and profanity over loudspeakers.
Let more fast food chains come in. Don't just have a McDonalds. Utilize some of the vacant properties instead of annexing property for new structures.
Tourism & Agriculture drive this area. Protect & expand them. Drop taxes and bed taxes for inhabitants of community. Need to keep local people and tourists spending their money locally. Haven't had a decent department store or clothing store here in decades.
Keep it Country!
Compared to the Town of Torrey Plan - Your plan is more complete for the whole town - nice job. It is hard to cover all aspects of a large town such as Milo, but you have done a great job. Consider any zoning problems over the last 10 years are small and forgotten. Torrey has never clarified front yard and back yard on the lake. Simple problem to solve. Nice job people.
Change speed limit on Stiles Road to 35 m.p.h.
Availability to the lake for residents not living on Keuka or Seneca Lake. The Outlet trail is a hidden asset for Yates County - local and tourists. Don't commercialize it.
Develop PUD plan. Untreated storm sewer in outlet.
Outlet trail is nice, no more blacktop which breaks up, Public notice of when water gates get opened to draw in greater use via kayakers and hikers. Incentives to draw business back to Main street.
Town and villages should work together more - both have qualified individuals. The Town of Milo Court - Village

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

of Penn Yan Court - why 2 - pay one person to perform all the duties - they are all ready getting benefits and good pay?
Don not allow puppy mills!!!
No puppy mills or large scale kennels (whatever you want to call them).
Yes we need to stop trying to turn the small Village of Penn Yan into a Big "High" Dollar City. Don't focus on tourist and their money and focus on the people who live here and their needs.
Leave the individual property owners alone as long as they deep property clean & Neat. When an individual or group purchase businesses (Mobile)(Shur-Fine) They should have backing or an account to keep them going for 10 + Yrs. Now we have 3+ vacant businesses - or bldgs. Why should the landowner or home owner pay the brunt of taxes - Businesses should pay their fair share - Also town should pay their fair share for electric Why should We? What do we get for our taxes here at Lot 9 - We get show plowing on Townsend Rd. That's it! No sewers, No water, No street lighting, no law patrols, but we still have to pay the taxes. That Villagers get - all that we do not - What's Fair????? And I know its not going to stop. Taxes Taxes Taxes. How about the Town cutting back - Not on Services – (We get none anyhow) But on other things - What? Reduce wages, benefits, we had to.
Please keep it rural!
Keep it a rural area and do not law the rural residents to death with rules and regulations. Keep taxes low and the land overlooking Keuka Lake will develop soon and the number of houses should be controlled.
No!
On #60, how has the Bristol Harbor condo/town-houses (or whatever there is there now) worked out? I'm aware of the problems in Torrey, but have no problem with lake front development --e.g. the New Glen Harbor Hotel in Watkins, aforementioned Bristol Harbor. Could anything be learned from these?
Thanks for the stamp!
Keep it simple & fair to all.
I believe the tourists visiting our area should be able to enjoy the natural beauty of this area without seeing 6 junk cars, 2 boats and multiple piles of trash, etc. in several yards in this area. Broken down farm equipment, falling down buildings should go.
Freeze wages during this energy crisis.
No but would be willing to provide additional input if asked about specific issues.
Slow controlled growth is a positive goal. Consolidating like services with other levels of government is a must to help put a lid on increased property taxes.
Save the farmers and the farms!!
When improvement is needed only spend what you have yearly. Do a job only on the financing you have from year to year. Do not drive any more people from this area by taxing them. Go and get the rich not middle class or poor. Have more government audits done.
Stop spending more than you have. Then raising the Happy taxes that make people move out of the area or State. People are struggling now to make ends meet. Give us a break.
- Encourage Wind Farms. - Prevent puppy mills from forming or expanding. - Protecting the lake. - Prevent high density housing by lake & overlooking of lake.
Attractive entrances into town from all directions, i.e.: Rt 54N: "Our lake heaters are on" sign at Red Jacket Park. Why? Trees/ shrubs. Think like a Visitor and what's attractive? The village's bone structure of 19th century buildings is attractive.....preserve and restore them. Think Hammondsport! Our greatest asset is Keuka Lake. Cherish it!
Developing housing for the elderly. Small shopping areas not including \$1.00 stores.
Yes: Property upkeep in Penn Yan village. Property upkeep on Mays Hill Rd. near Ridge Rd. and outlet trail area. Liquid manure smell. Horse dumpings on roads and at Aldi's, etc.
The Town should not let any more puppy mills in Yates Co. I am real do not like the Puppy Mills and the dog kennels.
Services should be extended around lake. Exterior lights should be made to cut back by 1/2 after 10 PM +/-. Limit variances on lakeside property, i.e. - Houses are being rebuilt with very little setback from their neighbor or taking up entire lot.
1. Fairer distribution of taxes - throughout Town of Milo. 2. Discontinue Room Tax on lake front residents. 3. Protection of the Lake, Water Purity & Scenic. 4. Improvement of Recycle Centers.

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

Keep the housing plan and zoning intact, i.e.: Not a piece here and there. Avoid sanitary sewer extensions.
Need benches on Main St. Public Transit! Youth Activity Center.
Continue encouragement of influx of commercial and environmentally clean manufacturing enterprises.
Do what you can to eliminate this ridiculous and unfair occupancy tax I am forced to charge renters for the 5 weeks in the summer that I rent my cottage. My taxes have increased over 50% over the past 2 years to over \$15,500.00 for property and school taxes. This is outrageous! I thought Jesse James was dead. Obviously he's alive and well in Yates County.
Come up with a plan where retired people are left alone tax wise and let the out-of-towners who pay three times of what a place is worth manage these high taxes.
Lakeshore residences should have more town services due to the increased taxes that we pay (i.e.: water, sewer, etc.). Areas of Milo that are considered part of the wine trail should be required to maintain properties (not allowed to have junkyard conditions) - Residential and Commercial. Thank you for this opportunity to express our input.
Work with adjoining towns to have continuity of development & zoning. Remember that this is not a densely populated city area that requires severe regulations. Connect severe regulations to Resort Residential areas and ALLOW farm areas to be less regulated.
Why do I still have septic, no Public water, & no fire hydrant? I have paid lots of taxes and yet no services. Camp Cory is too loud - turn down the PA system!!! Some planes using the airport (private planes) are too loud - one in particular should be banned! Let's ban jet skis. Please do not change my address again!
80 continued: place to get off the side of the road.
Concentrate on increasing the tax base.
Prevent key-hole developments along the lakeshore. Avoid large residential developments except within the village. Strict code enforcement to assure fair assessments by being proactive in finding violators and by diligently verifying that actual construction does not exceed the limits imposed by the building permit.
Not all owners of lakefront property (such as myself) are either wealthy or have inherited the property. It is a shame to see people who have had cottages for years being forced to sell them due to increasing taxes and assessments. It is also a shame that there is a "mindset" that town boards feel they can tax these properties because people will not want to lose the property. I don't get sewage/water/regular home services, etc., and I'm not complaining that I don't have them. I'm happy with my well and septic. I resent like hell that my taxes continue to escalate. MY children/grand children will never get to enjoy the cottage or inherit it. They can't afford it.
80 Overflow: regardless of whether I hook up to the Himrod system or not. 81: I does seem the project proposed in Torrey is out of character for the area (townhouses). I would guard against this in Milo.
Just received notice of re-assessment since raised in 2007. My understanding is this will be a yearly event. Definitely not happy about this. We lived on a private road, maintained by property owners, with no availability of public utilities nor cable and we can look forward to assessments increasing every year????
Priority tax base away from lake areas.
- Need to extend utilities for housing & economic development areas. - Agriculture preservation. * - Outlet development - housing & commercial - this is a good place for townhouses & higher density, small shop, boardwalk, restaurants, etc. - More recreational opportunities.
1. No wind farms. 2. No hog farms. 3. Encourage clean, healthy farming, & light industry.
Listen to its citizens.
Cable should be available to more residents.
Fine people shooting off fireworks creating dangerous fire hazards and injuries. It is understandable on 4th of July and Labor Day, but it is too frequent where my cottage is located. Also unleashed dogs are a problem on East Lake Rd.
Private roads - zoning needs to be looked at and specific language giving town power to shut down road to local vehicles & pedestrian traffic needs to be removed!
I guess I'm not clear how the Town of Milo impacts us. Being an owner on Keuka Lake east side 5 miles out of Penn Yan for 36 years. We have seen lots of changes. We were happy to get water & sewer but to see all the 'tear downs' replaced with mansions that look out of place is very sad. The dramatic increase in taxes. The inequity of assessments is frustrating & frightening & may force us to give up the cottage that our children & grandchildren love. I feel that the voice of the people is never heard up there.
Increase retail business; lower tax rates.

Written Survey Responses From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

Develop the water and we will all prosper.
Good farmland shouldn't be bought up for industry - land in Italy Hill & Barrington are marginal quality for farming - factories could be built there. Learning in our school is good - but there is a lack of discipline with the students in school. Our legislators should fix up buildings we have & not keep spending money for expensive buildings we can do without. We do not need a bed tax or more taxes stuck on every thing we do or have. Tourists will come to a place where they aren't taxed to death. Our zoning laws are way out of proportion for an area the size of the town of Milo – outside of village.
Exorbitant taxation on lake front property. One day it will all be owned by the super rich. The Zoning Board of Appeals needs "teeth." Caving in to the variances requested by the builders of McMansions destroy the beauty of the lakefront. "Teeth" in town ordinances to ensure that people keep up house repair - roofing, paint - slumlords make a mockery of the town.
1. Land is a RESOURCE that once is developed - you will never have the raw resources again - it's a time that land is a vanishing resource. If development is going to happen it should be done CAREFULLY, not looking solely with greed in mind. Do country and townspeople have a vote in what businesses come to town - i.e. Walgreens in a small town with several drug stores already - and now what percentage is Walgreen's utilized? Empty store front n Main St. - and a clothing store that is closing - not there for 3 years – high end and many local people were unable to shop there. Why wasn't a Target or a Kohl's department store considered versus a Peebles? Not a true department store – and 1 in Geneva. And why do you think people shop out of town. Wal-Mart, Outlet Mall. And people will continue to shop there – despite gas prices – because more stores will be price conscious. 55 jobs were promised from the airport expansion – need honest manufacturing and industries.
Closing the Airport. It exists for only a privileged few and has not that, I have seen, brought in one new business. It was a waste of taxpayers' money and grants are the taxpayers' money. Give the land back to the farmers; they will make better use of it.
I'll use this space to say that in my opinion the Town of Milo should reconstruct and reopen the Milo Mills Rd bridge for traffic, even at the expense of taxpayers. That is a road that would get a lot of horse and buggy traffic, with all the local Mennonites, thus sparing them a trip through Penn Yan (just an opinion).
I bought property here because of the rural character of the area, the cleanliness of the lake, the lack of townhouses & large hotels in the area, and the lack of large businesses. I think the town should do all it can do to protect that atmosphere.
No.
Strong ordinance to ban "Keyholing " of lakefront properties, & ban on condos/other multifamily housing in lakefront areas.
Don't think the cottage people can afford all the huge municipal buildings that seem built for a city the size of Rochester! Now 2008 (at the tail end of 2007) increase of 20% tax assessments during a slow economy is an outrageous move! Keep it up and you will have a tax revolt!
Allow lake view development.
More support of new businesses wanting to come in - Why are businesses only staying 3 years. Or less in P.Y.? Could it be the Village doesn't get behind them?? Yes!!! This NEVER changes! Why does everyone move away? Why is this a welfare county?
All I ever hear around here is how to increase taxes/fees. Someone needs to focus on reducing the expense base/more efficient operations, even if there is a reduction in services.
Keep town government out of as many projects as possible! There are numerous initiatives, such as the Community Center and Keuka Comfort Care, initially described as "private." Then we hear they are appealing to various governments for grants. This is yet another factor in the escalating taxes. We, among many of our neighbors, wonder if we can afford to continue living in the area in particular and NYS in general.
A need to regulate manure storage.
If all the town cares about is increased taxes so it can pay for things that really aren't needed and that tax revenue is raised unfairly on Lake residents, Milo, Penn Yan, & the state as a whole can forget about ANYBODY headed this way to live. Other states& municipalities are begging residents to move to their location - incentives - tax breaks, etc. The message in NY in general & Milo/Penn Yan in particular, is you're only welcome if you're willing to pay our outrageous taxes.
I grew up in Penn Yan, enjoyed my youth here very much. Spent my summers mostly at our public parks. I remember a much different picture of our parks than we have now. Red Jacket had a level sloping beach with a sand bottom to it. Now it has stones which have been put there. It has mud in it water and the benches are

Written Survey Responses From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

falling ever due to age. I think its time to restore our parks. Some about Indian Pines. Also, something should be done to address the problem with the geese and ducks at the parks. You can't even sit on the grass cause of droppings.
Need to curb development outside of Penn Yan area. Over salting of secondary roads, could save money just by lowering speed limits and salting hills, intersections and curves.
Don't agree that Peebles is a "good fit" FOR PY - TOO EXPENSIVE FOR THIS AREA - Only the wealthiest of customers will shop there. Young families/Mennonites/people w/ transportation problems / elderly living on a fixed income/single moms & so on. Something comparable to a Wise Buys or Big Lots, etc., would have been more practical. Too many teens congregating on streets, sidewalks & outside public buildings! Bars - As a woman, if I have to walk down the sidewalk to get to a business (such as Pickney's) past a bar w/ men drinking & smoking on the sidewalk – I take my \$'s outside Yates County.
We should strive to keep lakefront property axes at a level where long term owners with average income can afford to stay and not be forced out by high taxes. Mega mansion owners do not truly support the local economy because they are there only a short period of time.
Continued disproportionate share of taxes between lake owners & other residents will lead to more long time lake home owners having to sell their property. This could lead to loss of rural character of lakeshore. Lakeshore owners get very little services from the town or county for the very high taxes paid.
Stimulate appropriate type of growth in the area.
I'd like to see adult education offered at the high school in the evening.
The opportunity to make Penn Yan a "Lake George" instead of a welfare appearing community.
Controlled development. Keuka Lake Outlet development needs to be carefully planned to ensure that green space is preserved. Infrastructure improved to include underground power lines and updated sewer and water lines. Control storm water runoff from hillside and lakeside housing construction to preclude adding sediment into lakes. Lakeshore values have escalated, but the Keuka Lake bottom at the north end of the east branch continues to fill with polluting nutrient loaded sediment (8"-10" deep) that supports the growth of heavy weeds. Lakeshore property values should be commensurate with the quality of the lake where the said property is located. Walking and biking trails (off road) for all age groups is needed to promote fitness and well being.
The Town of Geneva told homeowners to either clean up their property or they fined them for each unlicensed vehicle that sits in their yard or around their property. It's a bad reflection on the beautiful countryside to see around peoples homes look like junkyards!
Get the damn thing done. You've been working on the thing for over 18 months. Focus on what the town needs to do to improve enforcement. Nothing in this plan will improve the town without effective enforcement of all codes and initiatives. This is a current problem and one that badly needs to be addressed.
Don't know.
I am very unhappy (as are my neighbors) regarding the rapid increase in property assessments and taxes on my property. My real estate taxes have doubled since I retired here in 2001. They were \$7,700 then and will be over \$15,000 next year. My mortgage payment jumped \$868.00 per month to cover the increased taxes. FYI I will vote no on any tax increase proposal I can be - school, library or whatever. I have been told that challenging these increase(s) is a waste of time. You should know that my long term plans to stay in the area have now changed. I also believe they have changed dramatically for others in Milo that live on the lake. FYI, I have heard some very insensitive comments from local legislature members regarding the need for such increases. WE love the area, but this approach to tax increase(s) is, in my opinion, unfair and poorly implemented. If it continues we will be leaving the area. W.J. Schrouder.
This is our second season on Seneca Lake. Our cabin is off of Hall Road (3583 Vineyard Rd.). We appreciate the convenience of the Sunoco Station on Rt 14. It is unfortunate that one can't charge gas there anymore. Finding gas stations in the area is somewhat of a hassle. The Sunoco Station provides excellent automotive & boat/motor repair service. It would be nice if there were more restaurants on Rt 14 & lakeside. We do go to Rainbow Cove & the Showboat. It would be great to have more variety nearby. Thank you!
Not at this time.
1. Provide safe biking/walking paths along Rt 54. 2. Development of the North end of the Lake (Keuka) must be a priority. 3. Development of green space and uniform attractive architecture of buildings in town is very important. 4. The Planning Board MUST decide what the town's long-term aspiration is: Skaneateles, Canandaigua, Geneva, Bath???
Keeping this area a rural area but still trying to develop some industries-has to be a balancing act.

Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

Do zero based budgeting. Bring taxes down. Protect from steep slope development. Preserve and protect the lake.
It is time for a junk vehicle law - one that also regulates junk farm vehicles and trash piles.
Strongly regulate uphill development & that around the lake. Bring taxes down - people are moving away.
I think it's important to protect our farmland from random urban development.
Lot coverage and building height restrictions on East Lake Road are antique and need to be revised now that water and sewer are in. These restrictions need to be relaxed.
I think you need to think about how Penn Yan should look. I know the village has its own gov't., etc. but if it is located in the Town of Milo, please exert some influence and stop building drug stores, parking lots, & gas stations. The town used to be beautiful. ENFORCE EXISTING CODES! Storm water runoff & water drainage in general is a major concern due to the hillside developments. Please enforce to code drainage issues. We still are suffering from runoff above us on the hill.
Surveys should include deadline for submission.
Submitted by a Commercial business.

Comments on Specific Questions (Written on survey)
Q1: Across street, no frontage.
Q1: Near Himrod.
Q1: Between Second Milo and village.
Q1: Just outside village.
Q1: Also own a business in the village of Penn Yan.
Q1: Near airport.
Q1: We live in Milo for 6 mos. And in Florida for 6 mos.
Q1: Baker Rd.
Q2: Retire there in couple of years, now seasonal.
Q2: Summer resident (June - September).
Q2: Own a Seasonal Cottage on Seneca Lake.
Q2: Live in Milo a minimum of 1 week per month.
Q2: Lakeshore cottage used 3 months per year.
Q3: I live in Elmira, NY. I am the daughter of Clarence F. Peck, Sr. to whom this survey is addressed. He left me the cottage he built on Seneca Lake and I now let my younger relatives enjoy it in the summer although I pay the taxes.
Q3: Owned the land since 1949, summer Cottage 1950-1995, built new house in 1995-1996.
Q3: I have owned my current residence between 10 and 20 years, but it's been in the family more than 50 years.
Q3: Owned property here since 1973.
Q3: Wife lived here between 30 & 50 years.
Q3: Owned between 30 & 50 years.
Q3: Spent summers here for more than 50 years.
Q3: Owned property for 34 years, lived there between 10 & 20 years.
Q3: Owned my property for more than 50 years.
Q4: I do not charge them any rent
Q4: G: 3 daughters, I: mother (life time use)
Q4: Summers (between 50 & 70 years).
Q5: Where is the park?
Q5: New York State is unfriendly to businesses. It is why business is leaving NYS....
Q5: If living wages are available.
Q5: Should be country.

Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

Q5: Get the average job to pay above minimum wage. The business owners reap the \$ while employees struggle.
Q5: Utilize existing locations & buildings first.
Q5: More support of New Businesses!!!
Q6C: Are you kidding?
Q6E: What job market?
Q6E: Disgusting.
Q6E: What market?
Q6E: NOT! -10.
Q6K: Except for high taxes!!
Q6K: Was until you raised taxes.
Q6K: Taxes.
Q6K: Except for taxes.
Q6J: HA!
Q6J: ?!
Q6N: NONE! -10.
Q6P: Huh!
Q6P: ?
Q6Q: Disadvantages: poor low income, no advancement, good jobs go to friends.
Q7: In regard to former Code Enforcement Officer.
Q7: No experience with new one. Traded one trooper for another.
Q8: Have not met with new Code Officer.
Q9 &10: (Except for one person).
Q18: Personally.
Q19: By observation & attendance.
Q19: ?
Q20: Taste of Municipal water is horrible.
Q20: Expansion project in Himrod not good.
Q20: Has too much help.
Q21: Has too much help.
Q24: Past meetings.
Q25: Potholes.
Q25: Better roads than in P.Y.
Q26: 54A
Q26: Route 54 has too many potholes.
Q27: North Main St.!!!
Q28: No tar & stone!
Q29: Too High.
Q29-34: NYS DEBT LEVELS WILL CAUSE SERIOUS PROBLEMS IN THE NEAR FUTURE, 5-10 YRS. I'D ADVISE LESS GOV'T - ATTRITION ETC. So much so I'm thinking about moving.
Q29-34: The amount/% of real business's making real products relative to service industries and government in NYS is unsupportable and totally out of control. It will fail soon!
Q29-34: Taxes too HIGH!
Q30: Too High.
Q33: Late too high in ag. area.
Q37: Why allow it at al? Q74: just forbid it.
Q38: or eliminated.
Q38: And Golf Course.
Q38: How would that be done?

**Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)**

Q39: (cancer).
Q39: Too vague.
Q39: If you call junk cars & trash character....NO.
Q40: Too vague.
Q40: Including wineries.
Q41: Too Much light or too little? Waste of Power? Or?
Q41: Too much
Q41: Not a problem for us.
Q41: Rural.
Q42: Dry material burns fine – wet stuff is just stupid?
Q42: In village.
Q43: and compost.
Q43: Cost of chipping?
Q43: Is there one at Indian Pines?
Q45: Not Wal-Mart size, Peebles good.
Q45: Hasn't this already been asked?
Q46: (cancer).
Q46: Towards Dundee.
Q46: Space is available in the Keuka Business park. Why aren't those places utilized to maximum capacity?
Q46: Some commercial.
Q46: It depends.
Q46-49: The key is to have a balance.
Q48: gas station especially.
Q48: Space is available in the Keuka Business park. Why aren't those places utilized to maximum capacity?
Q48: Some commercial.
Q50: I think they could go help farmers.
Q50: If you mean parks, I strongly agree.
Q50: Our obligation as a town is to educate our children, not entertain them. The sums spent on athletics in P.Y. are out of proportion to community resources.
Q50 & 51: YCRR.
Q51: If you mean parks, I strongly agree.
Q51: "Silver sneakers" regulation indoor swimming for Senior Citizens.
Q51: If it is a public swimming pool!
Q52: & Lower seasonal rates.
Q52: But not on farmland.
Q52: When needed.
Q53: Public focus needed.
Q54: Public focus needed.
Q55: Public focus needed.
Q58: not all.
Q58: Wal-Mart?
Q58: Any businesses belong in the Village of Penn Yan.
Q59: Would have to know where first.
Q60: If sewage is properly handled.
Q62: Some.
Q62: Service.
Q62: Depends on type.
Q62: Except for dept. store.
Q63: Shur Fine?

Written Survey Responses
From Town Respondents (Outside Village of Penn Yan)

Q63: Service.
Q63: 1 More.
Q63: Need one good one.
Q63: Save-A-Lot!
Q64: Service.
Q65: (SPEED) YES!
Q65: Problem with behavior on the 4th of July! Number 65 is not very well thought out - these are the folks keeping your town & schools alive. Reword the question! Most of your questions say - We don't want growth or change - just your tax money.
Q65: Not the behavior, just traffic & noise.
Q65: renters only.
Q65: Rental Property is problematic.
Q65: Lake users, seasonal or local!
Q66: Racing up & down road of Himrod -Penn Yan Rd., don't go the speed - They Fly--
Q66: Not at the time.
Q66: Airport Noise.
Q66: Rental Property is problematic.
Q66: Rarely.
Q67: Feral cat problem.
Q67: No one enforces leash law!!!!
Q67: Cats.
Q67: Rarely.
Q68: Several times each year (Pigs)
Q68: Sometimes can smell fertilizer.
Q68: (not regularly)
Q68: Agriculture is needed here – an ulterior motive here? Land zoned agriculture, residential – persons who build should already know what that entitles –do one’s homework. What is town’s plan – are you going towards ultimate tourism recreation development. You have a large percentage of farms already here and upcoming Mennonite farmers here to stay.
Q68: Occasionally.
Q68: But expected.
Q69: What industry?
Q70: Limit the number of Trailers.
Q72: Agree - but only in proper areas.
Q72: Ridiculous – Alternative methods are needed.
Q72: Possibly in fields far from lakes and main roads.
Q72: Believe in it - concerned about effect on values.
Q74: Not just farmers..
Q75: (Village)
Q75: Not subsidized.
Q75: Handicap housing.
Q76: Need fee for usage.

**Written Survey Responses
From Penn Yan Village Respondents**

Question 6Q: Other (reason for living here)	
Rank	Reason stated:
4	
4	Marriage relocation
4	Proximity to Colleges
2	High crime rate.
5	High taxes
-1	Have lived here
5	Bought business in Penn Yan
5	Recreational
5	Close to job
5	Husband's work in 1956
5	
1	
3	Friendly
5	Environmentally aware
5	Good rate on electricity
1	Only rental available when needed turned out VERY
5	My job (vs. the "market")
3	Good place to raise family
5	Good environment for raising children
5	
0	No reason
5	Protection/Friendly
5	Taxes
5	Retired from work here
5	Is a caring & friendly community
4	Fresh water in abundance
1	Home prior to retirement. Felt established, no
4	College near by
5	
5	Near my job
5	Zoning regulations
5	Zoning regulations
5	
3	Electric Rates
4	Good weather (4 seasons)
5	More young family appeal.
5	My 13 year old wants to finish school here.
3	Friendly people, community efforts as a whole.
5	
3	Friendly People.
5	Work relocation.
5	
5	People

**Written Survey Responses
From Penn Yan Village Respondents**

Answers to Question 78: What is your favorite View
Main St. in the Village
On 14A going south into the Village around Stape Rd.
The old County Courthouse
Esperanza and the Bluff
Coming into town from Benton Center on Rout 14A.
The Lake from Red Jacket Park.
Any view of the Lakes.
Looking across the lake from the Old Bath Rd. by the airport and looking south.
Keuka Lake from Red Jacket Park.
Of Keuka Lake.
Coming in from the South.
?
Maple trees on residential streets - "rural" flavor of downtown.
Overlooking Keuka Lake from the Old Bath Rd.
Seneca Lake sunrises. Farm fields. Flowering trees.
Main St & my property at 128A Garfield
I don't have one
Leaving it.
Indian Pines - looking up the lake.
There is none.
Keuka Lake.
Lowering of Property taxes.
Looking at our beautiful Lake from empty lot on Lake St, near Knapp and Schlappi Lumber.
Looking north over the village from 14A
Going up to any hill and looking down to the large area of water.
At night when coming down Rt 14A from Geneva, all the lights and how pretty it looks.
Keuka Lake from James Road.
The view of Keuka Lake from Indian pines Park.
Keuka Lake from Old Bath Rd.
Lakes & tourist - low crime.
The Outlet Trail.
Anywhere I can see Keuka Lake.
Keuka Lake
The school system - Clinton St. - Hillcrest, Orchard Lane, Sunset, Crescent Drive.
Any good view of the lake.
Lake views and also hills surrounding.
Birkett Mills
Main St.
Keuka College, Keuka Lake from Coates Road or above. Houses on East Main from Bridge to Cider Mill. Houses on Main and North Main from post Office to 14A.
Where I live.
Coming into town on 14A
Top of Barrington Hill looking at or trying to see both lakes.
Lake
Keuka Lake
Main Street with the Red Bud trees in bloom.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Keuka Lake views.
BLUFF Point. Courthouse.
Main Street at & during Christmas.
Rt 14 Wine stops, vineyards, & Lake
View of Lake from East Lake Rd.
Driving into town from Guyanoga Road intersection.
The new athletic field.
The view of the trees when in bloom. Lilacs trees. Smells great. Everything is green and Beautiful.
The Lake and agriculture.
Coming down off Benton Hill & views of Lake
Along the Outlet Rod (the waterfalls)
Downtown Spring, Summer, Fall, Winter
The view coming down into town - north & south - on 14A. Also old storefronts.
Lake view and hillsides.
Outlet Trail
Overlooking the vineyards, farms, & lake from a hilltop.
The Lake
The 1st green from Lakeside Country Club. The outlet from Bridge, Main St, by Birkett Mills.
Around the Courtyard and Court House.
Mini park - New village Offices.
Of the lake @ Indian Pines Park
Seeing good people smiling and or saying Hello and having stores and drug stores easy access.
Keuka Lake from Old Bath Rod. Area.
Keuka Lake
The great views of our lake and countryside.
Right down east Elm Street from our house (316 East Elm)
The view of Keuka Lake from the East Lake Road and the West Lake Road.
Water falls on Outlet Trail.
Keuka Lake
Trees on Main Street, and decorations at Christmas.
Driving down Main St. and observing the new trees planted 0 a big improvement.
The highest point on Milo Center Road.
Down town Penn Yan in the Spring when the trees are in bloom.
From Sarasins over the lake.
Looking out over the village & lake from any of our many hills.
Coming from Geneva on 14A nearing Penn Yan - the sky - the hills - beautiful
My favorite view is from my own backyard!
Birkett Mills from south east corner of the bank.
Keuka Lake views from all sides of the lake. Court House is not a favorite.
Saint Michael's from P & C parking lot.
Clinton Street (upper)
Flowering trees on Main St in blossom. Snowing on Star Shine evenings. Music on Court House lawn in summer under the beautiful old trees with cool breeze blowing.
The view at the top of the hill 54A (Marbles)
Arriving in PY on 14 A (Either way)
Main St at night.
Beautiful Farms
Looking down the Outlet Trail.
Upper Main St. looking east

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Coming into town on NYS 14A at top of hill looking at village & lake.
The view of the valley as you come down 14A especially in the evening.
Coming into town on 364
Views of the Lakes from the Hill tops
Seeing entire village from Rt 14A coming into town from County Road. Coming into Town North Ave corner fabulous panorama
Indian Pine Lake or Park.
James Road overlooking the lake and hills.
Keuka Lake and surrounding farmland.
The lake lights sparkling at night as you approach from the area nearby.
I Like the view of Keuka Lake from old Bath Rd.
The bluff
Lakeside Country Club - looking West
Coming down County House Road - you can see all the way to the airport.
Lake. Too bad no locals can afford to rent a cottage for a week though.
Keuka Lake
County House Rd by Sands Rd. intersection.
Lake view
World War Display
I enjoy our town's sense of community and the helpfulness of neighbors and appointed authorities. Or do you mean aesthetically pleasing view? I love to watch the sunset over Keuka in the summer.
The people and the lake.
The "Outlet Trail" from Keuka Lake to Seneca Lake.
Looking down lake from Indian Pines & walking up Outlet - New Court house & Village office buildings - make the Town look prosperous.
Main St. from Chapel north - beautiful East Main St.
Keuka Lake & Seneca Lakes
Many spots along the outlet, Main St. Downtown.
The lake
The end of Keuka Lake by Sarrasins when the 2 barges are not there.
The parks on the Lake - and No Bed Tax 0 it's enough for tourists to pay for gas to even come here. Bed tax 0 makes it discouraging.
Top of County House Road.
View of Keuka Lake from Indian pines and Red Jacket parks.
Keuka Lake & Seneca Lake
Outlet/Birkett Mills Area.
Indian Pines & Red Jacket along the lake. Any view of the lake.
Old Bath Road.
The waterfalls along the Outlet Trail.
Any area of Seneca Lake
Views that overlook Keuka Lake
High over Route 54 from Old Bath Rd.
Keuka/Seneca Lakes
Lake, Outlet Trail
They're all fairly nice, especially the waterfront areas.
Looking across south to north from 14A on Hill. Every season is beautiful.
From Lakeside Country Club overlooking Keuka Lake.
The lake
The Lake.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Coming into the village from the north.
Rural areas
Rural areas
The lake.
The lake from on the hills.
Keuka Lake from Old Bath Rd.
From 14A (Marbles) overlooking the Village. North Main & East Main
Court House & County Buildings
Keuka Lake
Red Jacket Park.
The lake - the hills.
The water fall on the Outlet Trail
You drive on some of the streets and flowers and trees are in bloom and its nice to say, hey I live here but.
Churches
San Hall Rd looking at Keuka Lake.
Kids Little League park
The outlet or vineyards down to lake.
Airport
1. From my living room! (413 North Ave). 2. The Courthouse (old one) and Courtyard! 3. English friends said after a 10,000 trip of US in motor home - that coming into P.Y on Clinton was prettiest view of whole trip?
The view from Indian pines looking out across the lake.
Keuka Lake.
Back of courthouse up North Main Street.
Keuka Lake
Keuka Lake from the Bluff
Looking down Main Street at night.
Lakeview Cemetery - the way it is maintained 0 especially the veteran's section.
Over looking the Village from the Old County House Rd.
The lake.
Looking out onto the lake.
Down town.
I have none.
Coming from Benton Rt 14A into Penn Yan down the hill.
The lake, Outlet Trail
The lake from the Old Bath Rd.
The "old" County Courthouse and its lawn. The view down the lake from Red Jacket Park.
This year it was Main St. with the beautiful flowering trees. They are a big asset!
County House Road near Wagers Farm
Anywhere I can see the lake.
The lake
The outlet trail.
Junk looking homes
At night coming into town either way on 14A - the lights are pretty.
Of Keuka Lake & Seneca Lake from highest viewing points.
Driving down into the village with views of the lake.
View of Seneca Lake.
?
From home (413 North Ave.)
Main Street

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Tree lined Clinton St. (Sans Potholes) entering Village.
The Lake
The high point of the Old Bath Road looking south over grape vineyards, a log house, and the lake. Just south of Elena & Leslie Church property.
Looking up & down on Main St.
North on 14A from the top of the hill.
The view from the parks over looking the lake and from Old Bath Rd. as well as 54A towards Branchport.
View of the Lake from the hills
Coming into P.Y. by airport (Old Bath Rd) overlooking Keuka Lake.
Entering the town from any direction.
Keuka Lake Park.
MAIN St.
Lake views along Rte 54. Lake views from the golf course.
My back yard due to our sweat to make it the most appealing place in the county.
View from corner of Stiles & Per-emption.
View from 14A toward Penn Yan from Dundee.
View from 4A toward Penn Yan from Dundee
Scenes from the Outlet Trail looking at the water.
Keuka Lake
Keuka Lake
My own house (314 Clinton St).
No opinion
Keuka Lake.
Looking down the lake toward bluff.
Coming down the 14A hill into town.
My home (206 Florence Ave)
The trees right now on Main Street are so pretty. Most favorite view besides my own flowers would be my brother's place over looking Seneca Lake in Himrod on the Hall Rd.
The Lake.
County House Rd. over Village. Benton Hill over Village.
West Main Street at turn-off across from Marble's Cars. 14A from Mac's Ice Cream. East Lake Road & West Lake Road along Keuka Lake.
Coming down the hill from Sherman Hollow Rd. to Liberty St near S.S. Hospital. Also coming down the hill on Rt 14A from the North & viewing the Village of P.Y. down below.
Keuka Lake.
There is one!?
What jobs there are, the wage is too low. Need higher paying jobs.
Views from Red Jacket Park.
Lake view from the two swimming parks.
Looking south over the town on 14A.
The pretty trees - Keuka Lake - the well kept up houses.
Yates County Courthouse area.
The lake and surrounding countryside.
Don't have one.
Village Park by Courthouse. View from Indian Pines Park looking south.
View of Keuka Lake.
People who keep the houses and yards with the flowers and trees.
Any hilltop with a view of farms & fields & wooded areas.
My favorite view in the town is the town all lit up at Christmas time.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Quiet appeal. Lake Keuka.
Keuka Lake.
The lake.
My home - my husband and I work hard to keep our home and property looking as good as we can make it look and we love our home.
I don't have one.
My back yard (149 Linden St.) - minus the run down Knofo house next door.
North & South on 14A. Main St.
The view from the state park on Keuka Lake.
Across Keuka Lake.
The sight of more jobs coming to the area.
Outlet trail & Boat launch.
From Rt 364, coming down hill into village, offers a good view of part of Town of Milo.
Looking south near south end of Pre-Emption Road - hills & sky looking south down 54 south of Penn Yan - lake in winter, bluff.
Being on a hill and seeing the lake and also the view of the village at night.
Looking down the lake from Indian Pines.
Bluff Point.
North & east dirve & view of Keuka Lake.
Coming down Sand Hill Road.
Coming into town on 14A from south & seeing Village & Keuka Lake & rolling hills.
Historic homes on Main Street.
Entire Town at night on Rte 14A from north starting down hill to the hospital.
Views of Keuka Lake, historic Main Street, and beautiful homes on N. Main St - Main Street.
From the top of 14A North as I pass Marble's and can see the whole village.
View of PY from top of hill on 14A - about Marble's Auto.
Overlook @ Esperanza Mansion.
Driving south on 14A from Benton into town. Beautiful overview. Also - any place with a lake view - Red Jacket, Indian Pines.
The outlet Trail is very nice - w/ some clean up & maintenance to the paved area it could be even better. I walk w/ a bag & always arrive home w? It's full of garbage.
The Outlet Trail.
Noting in town. Most beautiful view is up at Esperanza Mansion overlooking the Bluff!
Coming down Clinton St. with all the pretty homes.
Down Main Street with the trees blooming and hanging baskets.
Old courthouse & lawn.
Coming down County House Road.
Outlet Trail and any views of the lake.
Keuka Lake.
My backyard (418 Court St.)
Any place where Keuka Lake is involved - beautiful!
Main Street - north side.
Coming down on 14A coming toward hospital.
Either of the Lakes.
Coming down 14A into Village at night.
Keuka Lake and coming from Dundee toward Penn Yan above baker Rd. - It's breath taking.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Answers to Question 79: What is your least favorite View
Liberty St as you enter Penn Yan, it's terrible!
All the misc. rubbish in the village shopping dist., most of all the cigarette butts in front & back of bars.
Chapel St. Road, Beverage Baron--old building.
Don't have one.
Coming into town from Dresden on 54
The Dumping Station
Run down streets and houses.
Johnson, Sheppard, & Benham Street- very depressed.
Looking down within its boundaries.
Liberty/Lake Street - long lines of vehicles.
Commercial buildings on Benham St.
Elm Street housing from Birkett Mills to Cornwell.
Garbage on side of roads.
Junk cars - Homes not taken care of - Route 14 needs to be taken care of in summer, mowing, etc.!!
The view off my back porch of the junk at 242 Elm St.
Certain houses on Seneca St, Main St. between Court and Middle school, East Elm St. They are an eyesore and need to be cleaned up or torn down.
Crappy houses
4 corners at late night - people standing around doing nothing.
Our big new Court House. We are not a city!
None
Higher taxes with nothing to show for it!
Corner of Brown and Lake: old Beverage Barron building.
Looking east down Seneca St. from Main St.
Do not have any
All the welfare recipients buying junk on their food stamps or buying \$90 worth of fresh lobster on food stamps. Houses that look like Junkyards.
Trash along outlet trail.
Wouldn't even know where to start!
I don't really have one.
?
"Junkyard" living.
Liberty St from hospital to Lake St., although I believe it is getting better - It surely does not give a good impression to those driving through or coming to visit.
Trash and old cars in yards.
Trash and old cars in yards, and run down buildings.
The junk along the nature trail
Seneca Street
Empty store fronts main St. Houses on Liberty from Hospital to St. Marks. Seneca Street from Main to the yellow house at Pat's Memorial Garden & Moose Club.
Dwellings with rubbish in yards -
Don't have a least favorite since 3 or 4 houses came down on Lake St.
Area around Lake Street Plaza.
The new buildings with poor parking - Courthouse, Village of Penn Yan offices.
Liberty Street housing near schools are not kept up in repair.
Don't have one.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Back side of Down town. Marcianda's trucking area.
The Appleton Dump? Salt Tower in Himrod.
Homes with junk & rubbish all around it.
View east from parking lot.
Empty stores on Main and Elm Streets.
Yates County Court House. Didn't need it. Nobody benefits from it, except Falvey.
The plaza in Penn Yan - and the Shur Fine - it's an eyesore.
The rundown houses throughout the town. The kids hanging around on the street corners @ night.
Empty Stores
I don't have one
Liberty St. housing from PYMS to hospital
Non-consolidation of services.
Driving down Liberty Street.
Empty stores on Main Street.
Poorly maintained property
Old Beverage Baron on Lake St.
The old warehouse on Benham Street.
The Penn Yan boat factory.
The area around the old Beverage Baron.
The two homes across the street from me on Seneca Street (152 & 155)
Liberty St. - coming into Penn Yan from the north is very sad! That is the first thing many people see coming here from 14A, and the empty retail stores on Main St.
Under the Liberty St bridge - garbage, graffiti, junk in water.
N/A
Look at debris in the outlet and bridges.
Old fallen down houses that need to be torn down.
I like it all.
Water Street and Lake Street in Penn Yan. Also Liberty Street. We have the nice hospital, Middle school, Court House and County buildings, but homes are not kept up.
The transfer station
Lake St in Penn Yan.
Penn Yan streets - Empty buildings in dilapidated repair. Are people letting this happen so their taxes wont go up more? I paid \$5,399.91 Local taxes in 2007 and I get this.
The vacant salt mine towers in Himrod.
By the outlet by town (village)
The unfinished project to the old wine cellar on the corner of Liberty & Lake streets.
The old buildings down Main St - old is ok - Just fix up.
The dilapidated houses on the main roads like the one across the street from us, with sagging roof and the clapboards falling off.
South bank across from boat launch
Court House - House on Elm Street - Seneca Street ugly. Empty buildings on Water Street (Carey's)
Junk, kids toys, trash & cars parked on front lawns.
Liberty Street.
Fridays traffic in July & August mid day. But they bring money so you'll put up with it. I try to get all shopping done by Thursday noon in summer.
The houses along Liberty St coming into Town.
Water St off Liberty
Fox Mill Road - rubbish
They all look good to me
- 320 Elm St. - Seneca Street (south side) -- Main St on village court nite!!!

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Poor quality houses
South end of Main Street with many unoccupied buildings.
Liberty Street by the Middle school
All of the roads that are in very poor condition
5 pint corner near Moose Club or the old building on Benham St.
Lake St building on lake St tour now.
The old winery on Liberty and Water St. which has never been completed.
It was the 3 old houses on Lake Street
Looking at all the debris in the channel
My next door neighbor's "home"
Lake Street Plaza to the corner of 14A. Brown Street, Liberty Street.
The old sewage treatment Plant at the bottom of Cherry St.
I really do not have one.
The abandoned house in back of me on Liberty St. It should be torn down. I'm sick of looking at it through my kitchen window. Plus village homes that look run down & dumpy.
Empty buildings in Penn Yan
Seneca St from RR Tracks - Mill
Houses that have junk in the front yard
Empty Stores on Main Street
My least favorite view is all the empty stores on Main Street. We really need a clothing retailer and possibly a Target type store.
The junkyards around town.
Some of the homes & lots & trailer trash that surrounds mobile homes. Along Outlet Rd. Milo.
Don't have on-
Unkempt houses and lawn.
Sewage Plant overflow running into Keuka Lake Outlet.
Liberty in large part and the intersection of Liberty, Brown, & Lake.
Red Jacket Trailer Park area. Liberty Street houses.
Many Main roads in and out of the village are "Run Down Apartments" that are not being taken care of.
The old beverage Baron across from Best Western should be demolished 0 it's an eyesore 0 get rid of it.
While walking Boat Launch, Indian Pine, Red Jacket --- The garbage build up... the broken fences; the lack of weed trimming,... These are fixable by staff (especially in Summer) that are already hired.
Little league park - the swamp area
Village - too many empty stores. Need to hire someone to address issue of making Main St. more attractive & inviting.
The outlet Trail is a disgrace! The Keuka Street Boat launch needs docks maintained - Bumpers replaced.
There is no view from places for viewing are now not viewable because of trees growing. Basically trees grow and lookouts should be chosen better.
Some people's yards - "junk yards"
Village Court on Main Street, P.Y.
Empty buildings - no longer used and left to run down.
Nice homes that have to live alongside a place that looks like a dump.
Outlet Rd. near Mays Mills.
The entrance to the Town via Rt 54A. Town barns, window shop - need decorative 'Welcome to P.Y. signs,' too.
Some streets and neighborhoods could use some sprucing up.
The building on North Water St. needs to be cleaned up & refaced. It doesn't look good for people out of town to see.
Slum houses in the village (by the Middle Scholl).
Messy yards in the Village (North Ave).
Across the street from the Court House.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Public beaches are not clean or cared for.
Public beaches are not clean or cared for.
I used to enjoy Indian Pines, but with the geese & duck taking over, it's hard to walk around up there.
Some of the Outlet Trail.
Seneca St, P.Y.
Mobil station - Top of Clinton & Cornwell St.. Store at corner of North Ave & Liberty.
Down town area looks depressed
Bad sidewalks. Run down houses
414 Liberty St.
Trash around houses. Junk cars.
Some parts of Seneca St.
When you drive on Seneca St & Hamilton St it's a disgrace. Landlords as owners should be told to clean up or receive a fine.
I don't have any.
Water St in Penn Yan
PY Boat Company.
The rundown buildings.
Unkempt homes - trash in yards - Dogs tied up & ignored.
Lake St
Liberty St - from Court House to North Ave - and North Ave from Main St to Benham St.
Keuka Estates Trailer Park - its scummy looking and detracts from the view of the lake at Red Jacket.
Penn Yan Boat site should be prime residential land.
Corner of Brown up Lake Street to Plaza.
Housing on Seneca St.
The County Building. Even though beautiful, isn't there better ways to use our money!!
Old Beverage Baron on corner of Lake & 54A.
The run down look of Water Street!
Seneca St. - West end.
None.
Yards not mowed. Cracked sidewalks.
Lake St. is very dumpy looking.
The outlet from Keuka Lake to Bridge on Main St.
Liberty St houses - not a good indication of our town as visitors enter via 14A
Vacant houses and other unkempt houses.
Seneca Street
Outlet trail garbage. Garbage at parks.
Morton Salt area
The County Court House
Outlet Trail looks like a trash dump.
The houses around the school on 14A
Houses that are not kept up. Garbage & trash scattered around property.
Slum lord housing, drug dealers, jobs leaving town.
Seneca Street (Main to RR) old buildings. Clean up Outlet (tires)
Of treatment plant from Himrod Rd.
Some of the streets have housing problems of not keeping their homes in good condition.
Don't know
?
Old buildings not being used.
Seneca St.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Shepard St and area around Yates ARC Building (implement some green space).
Landfill
The crappy homes & properties just outside the village along the Outlet trail.
McKinley apt. complex.
View of the front of 112 Clinton Street
Seneca Street. Houses across from Middle school.
Some of the buildings that are deteriorating such as the Knapp Hotel, the building across the street. Most of all the Maiden lane buildings (the Arcade Building is Gross!! Along with the adjacent building)
The Beverage Baron building on corner of Lake St. & Brown St.
Birkett Mills needs painting. Seneca St.
Junk in the front yards.
I like them all!
Un-maintained houses.
Run-down housing on Liberty St.
The vacant storefronts on Main St, the debris that remains in door yards in the town and village.
Junk vehicles in back yards in Village.
The new municipal & County buildings, totally overdone & unnecessary.
The back of Carey's Lumber
The back of Carey's Lumber.
The bank on the Outlet Trail that is covered with metal debris.
Liberty St & run down homes in village of PY
?
Sloppy yards in the village.
No opinion
All the junk around a lot of houses in town & lots reported & not enforced. Also people not mowing lawns & cleaning up leaves in the fall. Let the inmates do this work & put it on their taxes.
Private properties not maintained - lawns not mowed & peeling paint; trash, etc. sitting in lawns.
Parts of Seneca St.
Seneca Street, Wagner & Water Streets - the ugly bridges.
Now that some of the old beat up houses are being torn down looks better. Coming down Liberty Street. If I was a visitor I'd keep going right out of town.
The Plaza
Streets - run down homes - yards full of junk -
Lake St.
Since I don't drive, don't believe I have noticed anything too offensive.
Best Western in the rural Village of Penn Yan.
Empty storefronts.
Do not have one.
Anything that spoils the beauty of our town.
The empty stores on Main Street.
Liberty Street has too many run down houses.
None.
Elm Street.
My least favorite view in the town is all of the junk piled around people's houses and the run down apartment buildings!
Fences. House not up to curb appeal.
Seneca St. between red light and railroad tracks.
The empty storefronts on MAIN Street, empty lot on Wagner Street & the old Beverage Barron area.
The mess next door to us and across the street.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Upper Liberty Street (14A) from the hospital south to South Ave. Terrible run down houses & unkempt properties.
Places in town where the house and yards look worse than a junkyard due to laziness or neglect.
Run down businesses & houses - my neighbors.
Liberty St.
The view of outlet water from Main Street small bridge.
Clark Houses's house at 106 Stark Ave, village of Penn Yan.
All the junky apartments on Main Street.
Abandoned houses that should be torn down and junk in yards.
Lake Street - both sides south of Liberty St. Himrod (all).
The empty stores on Main Street.
Looking over at Champlin Ave. from parking lot behind Long's, etc. Also the whole lower block of Main St. including Seneca St. to the street (? Name next to Trombley's).
Park areas in town.
The view along the river of the river & the town along the river.
The Four Corners If Lake & Brown (Hotel & P????? Properties are fine.)
Run down houses on Liberty Street.
Liberty Street& also Brown St. area - 3 houses across from Best Western.
Liberty St from North Ave. to Elm.
Seneca Street - looks so run down and vacant store fronts on Main St.
Empty store fronts downtown.
The old PY Boats complex along the outlet (I walk a lot!).
Old run down houses on Liberty Street around school area.
Lack of trees along Liberty St. It's bare & houses look run down. Also up Brown St! Trees would soften the aesthetic view.
The potholes & general condition of the Road. Also the Apt. Bldg across the street is riddled w/ trash, old vehicles, & generally unkempt. The railroad mess by Morgan's Grocery.
Not sure.
Junk & old cars on property around town.
Lake St.
Seneca St.
Unkempt houses and unkempt commercial buildings.
Outlet between Liberty St. & Main St.
Condition of parking lots. Empty buildings.
Marchonda Trucking - JUNKYARD on property in the middle of town. Eyesore for neighbors bordering property.
Coming into town on Liberty Street.
Main Street where there are many empty storefronts & on north end of Liberty Street, there are many empty, run-down houses - an eyesore of entrance to town.
Appleton's Recycling/Trash Center.
Keuka Lake was so beautiful - now trees obscure that view.
Coming into Penn Yan from Benton on 14A: The condition of some of the homes is not a good impression.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Written Answers to Question 80: What are the biggest issues facing the Town of Milo?
Dilapidated housing
Not having the funds to maintain both the village and the town.
Yeah, try to make this a better town.
No.
Taxes-cost of municipal services-infrastructure
Poor people. Homeless people. Hungry people.
Keeping taxes at a reasonable level.
Economics of its residents is poor and it affects their quality of life (housing, clothing & retail).
Providing adequate services to its residents at a reasonable cost.
Contentious question - What group forces an issue to greatness in the town of Milo? The incumbent or the constituent?
Being very careful about commercial sprawl outside village limits.
Keeping the rural aspects.
Protecting environment and keeping area vital for business.
Run down property, knowing that residents can't afford to fix up their property - Limited funds.
Bringing development 0 stores 0 work places in 0 keeping taxes down.
Taxes
People say, "Support the local economy." We can't do that without proper stores.
Infrastructure! Tourism dollars that supposedly helps us but doesn't as far as I'm concerned.
Spending - people can only pay so much with the costs of everything going higher. Don't need to push people from the area.
The way things are run in the Town and Village.
Don't know
Over regulation and high taxes.
Water Quality and Roads.
Lack of Industry.
Taxes, unemployment.
Taxes are to high for services provided.
Keeping taxes low.
Financial collapse - take vacant houses and fix them so there are more places for people who have lived here a long time can find decent housing.
Road repair - Main St.
Not enough Retail stores. Restaurants open on Sunday.
Rising property taxes along with general cost of living inflation.
1. Taxes. 2. Employment.
Penn Yan Village streets - the condition of 70% of the side streets are unacceptable - never seen worse!
Economy & lower income families trying to make something of themselves.
Empty storefronts in downtown Penn Yan
Library expansion - Doesn't need to be so expensive.
Keeping taxes down for senior citizens
Making taxes and facilities senior friendly.
The water quality
I don't know. Balance your budget and get out of any debt. Start a savings account.
More consideration given to modest income tax payers - not welfare -
Roads in Village of Penn Yan.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Put Welfare clients to work. Too much fraud going unnoticed.
Proper assessment of lake property.
Taxes.
Taxes increasing
The street repairs
Taxes
Lack of manufacturing, industrial for tax base and employment.
Increasing taxes, Need for new stores-something comparable to the old Ames Store.
Taxes (slavery) Raise taxes (inflation) Food Prices go up. Farmers can't survive. Forced to sell or they loose Farm. No Food, No Family or Child should go without Food or Be Denied.
Not sure.
(Jobs)
Keeping taxes down & keeping streets repaired.
I don't know
Honesty to residents. Taxes.
Consolidation of services - Re Highway, Police, Water, sewer.
Keep spending down.
Keeping our heads above water - as to taxes, expenses and such - so many on limited income.
High quality industrial jobs are needed.
Roads
Activity Centers for all ages.
Drinking water quality.
Taxes, employment, economic development
Bringing downtown to life.
Sell of drugs - in town and around the school.
Bringing retail or manufacturing business here to help lighten the tax burden on the existing property owners, as with the village & county - same issue!
Lack of activities for youth & adults. Lack of jobs 0 boredom & depression. All there is - is bars & bowling = (alcohol)
N/A
Not jobs to keep people in the area.
The exorbitant property taxes
Taxes.
Not sure
Complete corporation.
Employment and we are very much in need of a department store that carries a variety of merchandise.
Consolidation with neighboring towns to eliminate itself 0 duplication, duplication, duplication. Be an example and the fist to let go and stop being territorial.
Streets repaired and we need to encourage Big Business Retailers to bring people to our area to shop.
Fixing streets and getting more businesses to open. We need retail clothing and shoe stores.
Maintain rural character.
Lack of industry - not just the Town of Milo but the area. Yates County, all county towns and villages. We need industry that pays in excess of \$15.00 per hour to provide growth.
The lack of support from residents to further growth of industry and housing in this area, thereby reducing the tax burden on us all.
Need better paying jobs
Over planning without the finances to carry through.
Taxes
Keeping a decent level of services in an environmentally sensitive way while not raising taxes.
Taxes, roads

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Do not really know
Lack of good paying jobs in area.
High taxes No Jobs
Getting people to keep their properties in good repair. There should be twice a year pick up of appliances & large items that people don't dispose of. Fixing the roads. Having business fix up outside areas.
?
Rising taxes.
Need more jobs -
Taxes
Make a rural living experience affordable despite property values on the lake.
1. Providing services while keeping taxes low. 2. Encouraging expansion of industry.
Keeping the integrity of the visual and physical resources 0 trees, hills, blue lakes, well kept vineyards and farms and people who care for each other.
Economic development - jobs!
Property taxes becoming unaffordable
?
Lakes
The limited services we receive for the taxes we pay. Streets for example, are in terrible condition!!!!
Bring in new revenue into town & clean up along the Outlet Trail.
Expansion
Not enough shopping or family restaurants
Managing & capitalizing on tourism
Development & Infrastructure
The disrespect of law enforcement for individual civil rights, and the inequities in enforcement between the village and the county. Seeming total lack of checks & balances, particularly for PY PD.
High taxes.
Jobs, road conditions
Not enough good paying jobs
Condition of Village Streets
Not enough jobs for our kids
The greatest issue is encouraging economic growth while maintaining our rural atmosphere. I believe with some effort, people will travel to Penn Yan to shop instead of leaving PY to shop.
Roads.
Getting a good working sewage system, "Puppy mills," Hog farms - Kennels, dogs barking.
Growth - blending our quaintness with the necessity for change & growth.
Taxes, infrastructure
There's no place to buy a last minute birthday for children or any retail items. There's no competition except fro drug stores.
Nice How there is only 3 lines to Answer here0 so short & Sweet. Hello - WE DUMP RAW SEAGE INTO THE OUTLET!!!! A lot of these questions deal with cleaning up this or fixing this eyesore, etc., etc. How can the Town & Village of Penn Yan bring so many
Condition of the roads. It is killing cars, seriously.
Developing the town to meet present & future needs without losing the charm & quaintness of area.
How do you get the biggest "BANG" for your dollars take time AND RESEARCH.
The lakefront between Sarrison's and old boat factory - extend the park - save for our own village people.
Quality of water, the salvation of the Hospital; Improvement of Emergency Services especially as our town swells to 12-15 thousand in the summer.
Employment - everyone has to leave to find better paying jobs.
Keeping taxes down but bringing in business. Really need a retail-clothing store. Very important.
Increasing the tax base to lower property taxes.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Parks are less enjoyable because of many geese droppings. Cannot enjoy walking there or children playing in the grass.
Growth
Lack of employment. More businesses. Taxes continue to rise. I'm a senior citizen.
Windmills appearing on the landscape. Poorly built modular houses.
Our lack of an affordable dept. store. Peebles is too high priced for the majority of residents.
Getting road & street repaired.
The lack of forward thinking, visions for the future and those who put up road blocks for the future of area or environs.
Housing.
I really believe that all landowners in the town of Milo should be treated equally!! No one should be denied privileges that others enjoy. Personalities should not enter into decisions made by the town.
Keeping taxes down.
Keeping taxes down.
We need Lower taxes.
The lack of good paying jobs due to the decrease of factories in area.
Urban sprawl
Taxes. Lack of Jobs.
Taxes
Need a department store - like Target, Wal-Mart, etc.
Gangs of teenagers. So many young pregnant girls. Loud music (cars).
Taxes, Nowhere to buy clothes, you have to go to Geneva or Watkins Glen (why). A lot of people are elderly and don't have transportation or any one to take them
I don't know.
Too many houses built along Keuka Lake shoreline.
Safety for children
No department store - & Bob Hawley.
Rural enhancement
Keeping expenses and taxes down while still providing decent services - not extravagant!
Finding a way for the new lakeside resort & development project to pass & go through. It would be beneficial to bring in economic impact to Penn Yan.
Consolidating government functions: road, sewage, water, etc.
Taxes. Need a department store that all residents can afford to shop at that carries all kinds of merchandise so we don't have to travel.
?
Utilities & taxes going up.
No opportunity for young people.
More businesses to bring in more or keep more tax dollars here.
Streets Sewers, water mains.
Road repair - infrastructure.
All streets & roads. Too may buildings & offices being built instead of fixing up the original ones or using ones already here and letting them just go unused.
Not enough factories for people to work.
Re-paving streets. Settling issues concerning Plaza traffic.
Repairing the streets and sidewalks.
No opinion
Gas prices, pollution
Maintaining a healthy balance between development (increased tax base) and "rural character" - natural beauty.
Keeping CAFO's out -
Correcting our sewer system and more storm drains are needed, at least in the part of town where I live -Our

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

land floods easily! (Sunset Lane area).
Taxes!!
We desperately need a large retailer like "Target, K-mart, Wal-Mart, etc." I am sick of having to drive to Geneva or Canandaigua to shop. The same for groceries.
Jobs.
Making sure Penn Yan is vibrant year round and not just in the summer. More industry would provide year round jobs and really help our community.
Cost of water & sewer/electricity.
Poverty rate, education (lack), lack of motivation by youth.
Too much truck traffic through village of PY to treatment plant
I think the greatest issue in this area is the need for employment opportunities. Also we need shopping availability - i.e. Clothing, shoes, household needs, etc.
Don't know
Orderly Development while "holding the line" on taxes.
Traffic & parking
Infrastructure
Streets after water & sewer.
Aging water & sewer lines; deteriorating streets and roads.
Taxes going up faster than pensions.
Using the millions of dollars of tax revenue from the Lake front properties to maintain the roads. Roads need to be the showcase of the Town. Perfect roads & drainage.
Road upkeep!!!
Needs vs. costs.
Old water & sewer pipes.
Industrial development, lack of places for middle class people to live and employment, lack of grocery stores and clothing. A 24-hour drugstore one out of 5.
Attracting new businesses without changing the rural setting.
Taxation
I do not know
High taxes.
I don't know
In my neighborhood - water lines.
Redevelopment of Penn Yan Marine property.
Cut the waste in Govt.! Combine towns, Village, & Co. expenditures and combine all levels of govt. to eliminate duplication of services to the sediment of taxpayers.
Business development properly regulated.
Get rid of the drugs - watch out your windows you can see the deals all the time -
Getting public involvement in Town government decisions.
Getting public involvement in Town government decisions
Job opportunities and pay scale do not match increase taxes (property taxes too high for income)
Water, sewer, and property taxes are too high.
A very old infrastructure in Penn Yan.
To increase commercial businesses to step up in town to offer more jobs.
Jobs
Aging population.
I really wouldn't know.
I don't know if it's the greatest issue but we need to find recreation or place for young adults to go besides the bars. Retirement again - nothing for them to do - we need to stop the drugs, smoking, & drinking.
Not enough jobs - Not enough Retail Stores (Wal-Mart - Target). NO place to shop for multiple items without

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

going to 3 or 4 different stores. TAXES are way to high for what we don't have!
High Taxes - Reduce duplication of Services. Reduce Spending.
No place to shop & have people buy in town!
Can't say
No enough industry. Look at the facts: 62% of adults age 25-55 that live in the village work out of town.
Keeping taxes Low.
Keeping taxes down.
To keep spending under control.
Maintaining the rural character while attracting businesses.
I do not know.
Decline in youth staying and working locally.
The many different areas - Town, Village, Lake, farms, small businesses, wineries, tourism.
Not enough business. We need a better all-purpose store (Wal-Mart).
How to contain budget increases and still pay for all of the illustrious ideas!
Building a tax base while maintaining healthy rural nature/character of town.
The greatest issue facing the Town of Milo is the lack of good jobs. People are being forced to work out of town & many people are moving away.
Nothing for children and young adults. Older residents not friendly to new families.
Streets, roads & urban sprawl.
Taxes and lack of businesses.
One of the greatest issues I feel is providing the best education and school by keeping it up to date for the students but to also keep taxes as low as we can. The people who live and work in Penn Yan do not make big money nor do they get big raises each
Insuring that zoning laws make sense.
Our streets are in terrible condition.
Taxes, no industries.
The increase of traffic for tourist season & lack of cultural diversity/racism in town.
Getting village residents to believe they are getting any services from Town of Milo for their tax dollars.
Crime, job growth, school districts.
Nepotism - Appointing Halling's son to the Planning Board. Getting people more involved in government, community spirit.
Wind Turbines. Getting businesses on Main Street.
Taxes - school, property, town & village are too high for services received. Why do we need both town & village gov't? Suggest serious consideration of combining to decrease taxes & cut down on duplication. See Lundine report.
Growth opportunities.
Uncontrolled growth & high taxes due to automatic raises in government & stagnant wages for the general population.
Keeping taxes down, and utilities down.
High village taxes.
Taxes - using the money appropriately. Taxes are high enough - so use what money you have effectively.
Jobs #1. Using your resources wisely. Coordination w/ village and other townships to maximize services & minimize waste! Improving the Library.
Don't know.
Rise of taxes on property - eventually it will take a toll on purchases of homes or new homes. COLA going up deters 1st time buyers, such as myself to be more reluctant.
Consolidating services w/ the County to save money. Also need something like Wal-Mart which is open 7 days - all hours.
Retaining its young professionals, lake of quality jobs, & no real tax base. If Penn Yan truly wants to be a tourist town - clean it up! Or get businesses & still retain the local charm ala Canandaigua.
Keeping up the work.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

The streets, they are a mess!!
Having a store like the old Ames store with all kinds of variety.
Expanding along the outlet and job opportunities.
Keeping downtown alive with business.
Empty downtown stores - No major retail stores. We need to go out of Penn Yan for many purchases. We want to buy locally when possible. We need something like a Target store.
Taxes.
Everyone has to keep spending down - individuals in their daily living have to make changes & the town, village, county, & schools should also.
Truck traffic past 10 p.m.
Increasing water & sewage increasing rates.
Securing jobs (Businesses) for non-farming families.
Old water & sewer line pipes.

Written Answers to Question 81: Other Comments

We don't need another drug store
1. Sharing taxes with Yates County. 2. The new 4% bed tax. We feel that the money going to tourism will not benefit the shop in the village. Very little is done by the Chamber to promote the village historical district as you can see--but a lot about the wineries and B&B's. It's been along time in coming. How much do you think they promote our Village and we are going to share County's 4% bed tax with them and the county can't support the Village by sharing?
Play the lottery and hope for the best!
We need some decent family restaurants.
Better enforcement of the speed limit on Route 54 (Clinton St.) n the village of Penn Yan. It would be great to have sidewalks throughout the village of Penn Yan.
Inform the towns people of who is developing the comprehensive plan - philosophy - reasoning - pricing - etc.
Control of large-scale animal farms, especially pig farms, due to pollution and odors.
Keep it simple - keep it rural flavor, but enough to allow for progress of newer businesses which benefit both the dweller and the tourist.
Safety: More signs put up in construction areas. Flagmen need to be placed away from direct construction area. This will give more time to slow down. They are too close.
Keep good people on the Board!
Due to the lack of building lots in the village, I think the zone laws should be eased a little to help people to build houses on less the conforming lots.
No.
Need business to keep young people here. More medical. More things to help keep young people here.
Bring in jobs and stores and other fast food beside McDonalds and Pizza Places (not bars). Let the people who live here have the say of what we need not the Town & village board. We pay taxes let us say yes or not the board members. Bring in a small Wal-Mart, not a Peebles.
Don't know of any.
Lower taxes decrease regulations, cut spending encourage private enterprise. Owners around town would be more encouraged to maintain and/or fix up their properties if they were given tax breaks instead of being re-assessed for higher taxes.
Encourage new development, both commercial and residential.
There is so much riff-raff that roam the streets in Penn Yan at night. They bring their kids in to P & C at 2 or 3 and to use WIC Checks? Kids should be in bed at night, not at the store. Clean up the Knapp Hotel which is downtown and find a way to keep small businesses in down town Penn Yan. Let's clean up Penn Yan and make it look better and get rid of the riff raff.
Plan should maximize protection of the Outlet trail while developing it as a recreational asset for residents and as a draw for tourists. Maintaining rural character is important and if commercial/industrial growth is

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

experienced it should be concentrated in specific areas.
I wish I could think of something but I cannot right now. Penn Yan is a wonderful town in which to reside which speaks well for the Town of Milo!
No more puppy farms - regulating waste from dogs. - Very bad for farmland.
Puppy farms are a huge concern. Also waste from such businesses - and allowing those farms to grow and sell produce.
Build a roller skating rink a recreation hall for kids & try to get mostly volunteers to keep our kids off the street. A dance hall even if you had to use DJ's.
Encourage the Library to come up with another, affordable, plan to expand.
No
1. Consolidation of law enforcement services within the Village. 2. More economical and logical use of County Office Buildings by Town and Village and citizens.
No Bed Tax. Star Program is hurting poor people's housing. Why should they have to pay more for housing and gas & electric: Spend more wisely.
Quit building new and fill up the empty stores on Main St. - Do not need any more banks & drugstores - We are overrun with them.
Encourage small town rural development on Main Street. Promote restoration of downtown Historic district. Encourage retail development on Main St. instead of office space; gift shops, coffee shops, antique shops, retail wine shops, art galleries. Make downtown a destination. Shovel downtown sidewalks from my taxes. Promote small New England village Sturbridge Village appearance adds charm and charisma to downtown.
We need something here to keep young families here. Job opportunities with better than minimum wages. Better control of the tax situation.
"Stop Wasteful Spending" - be value Providers "Not value Destroyers" - Provide value to the people and the visitors from other states that visit this area. Don't just pick & chose what businesses should be in the area of Milo or Penn Yan. Suggestion - Equal opportunity, more Businesses and you'll be a value Provider. Thank You. Bill Pitcher
I hate the fact that we have so many empty storefronts on our beautiful Main Street.
No
Bring in more tourism through one of our natural resources - Keuka Lake. Develop by Sarasin's & right into downtown. Make our waterway unique for boaters. Bring in new business - as many as we can get - so many people graduate from PY & leave. Make this a place where you NEVER want to leave.....
Even though there are problems associated with industrial development it is needed. People need a place to work that pays a living wage. If you cannot support your family why bother to stay in the area. People with skills leave and those without stay in the area. People with skills leave and those without stay because they can't compete in a higher priced economy like Rochester. The result is a median wage that is continuing to decrease.
I'm proud of the painting, gardening, and general up keep of most of our downtown. Library does need enlarging (though at a more reasonable price, maybe!)
No, thank you for giving residents the chance to share their opinions.
License cats - Have cat owners pay a fine for letting their cats run loose. Enforce a leash law for cats. Have some good clothing stores. Encourage businesses for the downtown area.
? Good Luck - it would be nice to see the various separate entities try to consolidate services, - inside the village limits we have village - town & county & state road crews & village-town & county courts 0 is that really necessary?
Indoor sports center, arcade, billiard, a large book store, boat rentals, community swimming pool, activities to support wine tourists/and lake use.
Should allow a person in Jail wanting to get married be able to get a license. Just for a Marriage License a person in Jail. I think clerks that can get together and decide on people right to making their own rules is very wrong.
I would like the use of "Jake" brakes banned in the Village limits.
We can't afford any more million dollar projects especially just because our politicians want them.
Yes, we need at least one department store. Something similar to K-Mart or Wal-Mart. We have to leave town to just get simple things. We desperately need something here. We definitely do not need Peebles!!!
You should take considering advice from the most people you can.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Housing for those of us who fall between low income and high income. In other words, how about some affordable condo's for middle income retired citizens.
We cannot continue to operate as we do - our whole county could operate as 1 or 2 towns - not 9. Will you include fostering neighbors and neighborhoods to know each other and work together to serve children and the elderly? Not knowing and helping your neighbors is a huge problem for any community. I don't want it to happen in ours. Deborah Y. Flood.
I moved here 3 years ago and all I have heard is provide more money for tourism. We have added a bed tax and spent \$ 15,000 to prevent a tour boat from coming to the area. The tour boat would bring in tourism! What is wrong with this picture? Perhaps I'm stupid! Another item - the tax money that provided the \$15000 could have been used to repair roads and streets that would have made the area more appealing to tourist. The county, the towns and village should be ashamed they spent the money to prevent tourist attractions. My tax money. Jack Logan
Several questions in the survey pointed toward limiting property rights. This country and this area were built on individual freedoms being exercised. It would be inconsistent with our history and our culture to limit personal freedoms because one group is discomforted by the "odor" or the way a property "looks". To limit development in rural areas to "protect our rural character" (question 56) is to doom our area to ever-higher taxes and even less reason for the young people of our community to stay here. We need business and industry to generate jobs, provide for commerce, and to make Yates County a destination location again.
Development = more tax revenue. Commercial development = more tax revenue & jobs.
We need a department store!!! Like our former Ames!!!
Enforce the speed limit on Liberty St - I'm up early and the trucks at 5 AM do 45 - 60 mph daily - where are the police!
- Look into hybrid streetlights that can use solar energy when there's enough sun. - Put solar panels on the roofs of the schools and other public buildings to reduce the energy bills. - Reduce school bus usage and costs by having students living less than a mile from school walk there and back. - Pass and/or enforce a law that people walking their dogs pick up after them.
Village roads are in bad shape - spend money on better quality roads not fancy trucks. Brush should be picked up year around! Had to look at brush pile all winter and Christmas season on our street because it was only leaves. Horrible site. Lady on Chestnut Street leaves brush in roadway to stick out in road to cause problems. Barking dogs is constant on Walnut and same on Florence. Too many cats too.
Not at this time.
There should be a limit on how many greenhouses and lawn mower businesses on Rt 14A. It starting to look bad. Would like to see something done to area across from cemetery (Town Trucks, etc.) Bad use of area & waterway. I believe on of the biggest mistakes being made in the Village of PY is prohibiting the planting of new trees next to the road. That decision will drastically change the look of the village streets and within 25 -50 years will be realized as a huge mistake. Imagine looking down Main St. to a street stripped of trees. Just go to neighboring villages to see how this decision has changed the look of the town. Trees are also beneficial for the environment. This decision is going to ruin the look of the community. Communities that have left an impression on me have been lovely tree lined streets. The trees planted downtown are a big plus. Please reconsider this decision – There must be a couple species that would be acceptable for curb planting. 50 yrs from now, our leaders will wonder what we were thinking and plant trees again for the beauty of the village.
No-
Provision to aid Penn Yan Public Library's expansion - Down sizing the Court House (A little late)
Sharing of some of the many new facilities we are building every time we turn around. -- New Court House, schools, library, Tow Offices, etc. What about a comprehensive plan in that area.
I am concerned that insiders and outsiders see our resources as advantageous for exploitation. In other words, they want to use their land, money and influence to increase their wealth without regard to keeping the beauty of the area intact or for the concerns of the people caring for each other that call Town of Milo home.
None
Already said too much, but with Archie Scranton having been my Uncle, I wanted to answer some of your questions - Lived here since 1942.
One department store is needed - to be arriving I hear?
1. Water front at the North end of Keuka. 2. Community Center.
The village of Penn Yan needs to be brought into the 21st century on matters of constitutional rights. In matters

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

concerning citizens, the sheriff's dept. is leaps and bounds ahead of the village police dept. Further, the district attorney acts as though winning cases is more important than being right or wrong. Comparatively speaking, Justice Dan Hibbard is wholly unprofessional in his role. In "backwards Georgia" system of law enforcement is in no way conducive to growth in the town or the village. The local "Good old boy" network needs to be done away with.
No.
Update the flood maps. These are dated from 1981, and I have to pay flood insurance. The map is inaccurate & needs to be updated. FEMA would come in & do this many residents on Keuka St are angry over this!! The creek in issue flows east of the high school. It will never flood on my side of the street!! My house has been in the family for 85 years. There's sentimental reasons I bought this. It's killing me financially to pay flood insurance, and I may need to move. I'm not alone on this.
Need to encourage good paying job creation so people can continue to live in Yates County - with high gas people will have to move closer to their jobs.
Water clean up in P.Y. Park near Brirkett Mills. It smells like bad/soap detergent near the bridge for the outlet trail.
We should allow more ?????? Like Wendy's and Arbys to have business in Penn Yan
I feel the community center is of utmost importance. Our town really needs a facility of this kind.
Many people move to our area, volunteer for planning boards, etc. & then proceed to stymie our current industries or limit growth - It is a very difficult job to try to have people reach a consensus for what we should do. Doing surveys of this nature are excellent in hearing the voices of the community. Thanks!!
Some type of public transportation -
Cats are a big problem. They roam wherever & ruin flower beds with their waste.
Educated minds together to consider new lakefront development = when the current sewage treatment system is in no way adequate? The town must responsibly take an active role in working with the village, IDA, and whatever state & Federal \$\$ we can get & improve & expand the sewage treatment plant to support the grand ideas of development in the future. 1. Offer tax break incentives for village property owners who verify sump pumps are not in public lines. 2. Offer reasonable & affordable solutions on case-by-case basis to homeowners to put sump/eaves drains into storm drains. 3. Dig the lines from sidewalk to storm drain & install an "entrance" into storm drains. - Stop sending taxpayers nasty grams about sump drains into public sewer & be a part of the solution. * Town of Milo office staff are Excellent, respectful, deserve a day off & a heartfelt thanks. Thank You. - Mr. Taxpayer.
Fix the roads for pity's sake!
Fix the roads and bring business into our area and no bed tax! Utilize the trail better - better upkeep & care is needed. Even some minor development on trail.
There seems to be a huge amount of unpaid taxes every year by the same people. I would guess that if the people were made to pay these debts it would help the year's budget process for the both the Town of Milo and Village of Penn Yan. Included a newspaper article on why people should spend their economic stimulus check money in local stores, not in national chains like Wal-Mart for goods made in China.
Coming down Liberty St ('tourists') from Hospital houses are an eyesore - need paint and upkeep -. Put up flags up & down Main Street - liven it up- or bunting on buildings - make it look cheerful lift up spirits - more flower tubs -. Music sounds - downtown on Fridays and Saturdays. Welcome mats in front of stores - Make people feel good about our town.
This town should consider cleaning and keeping up the parks, Little league fields and playgrounds that our village owns. Consideration should be given to stocking the stream a couple of times each year from fish hatchery in Bath... Kids should be able to learn to fish and swim in this town as we try to promote life long skills. The town could even ask for donations... to help fund stocking. Beaches cleaned each morning... bathrooms cleaned to promote tourism.
Keep the community rural.
Time for this area to start adopting new business practices and allow for more industry, department stores, restaurants, etc. to develop in this area. We are moving into an age where we need to get up to speed with other areas. Residence in this area cannot afford to work here nor buy merchandise locally. We are as a rule spending our paychecks outside of the county because of high prices rather than giving this county our sales tax dollars. It is my belief that only a handful of residents reap any benefits (or profits). Other townships also provide yearly or bi curbside rubbish (other than leaves/brush) pickup which would certainly reduce blight, illegal

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

dumping, and abandonment of such items that are costly/inconvenient to dispose of.
An incentive for businesses & restaurants to make their places more attractive - i.e.: Chinese Restaurant on corner of Lake & Liberty. I am embarrassed about our parks - they are a disgrace & unsanitary for our children & adults to use. The Bathrooms are horrible! Make a visit to Hammondsport & take a look at their restrooms & park.
Give YC residents using boat launch (Keuka St) a pass to launch free, all others pay fee (to help cost of maintenance). Could pay a retiree to collect fees! There is lots of confusion during busy season of how & where to park. Also, need designated handicapped parking area at launch.
We need a department store like Target. A place where local people can buy clothes, etc. that they can afford. I don't think Peebles will make it because their merchandise is too expensive. We don't need another Wal-Mart. Any clothing store in Penn Yan has gone under because we are a low-income community.
Village of Penn Yan in need of face-lift. Businesses already here should be helped to stay here. Truck traffic in Village causing streets to become full of holes should be diverted away from town. Town of Milo should help defray village costs, such as infrastructure.
Townhouses/condo's would add to the tax base. Snowbirds would like the convenience & safety of leaving them 6 months per year. Folks are getting older & don't want the upkeep of a home or cottage. Sell them a condo - then sell their cottage. You just doubled the population/tax payers. For Taxes paid in the Village - trash pickup would be nice with leaf & brush pick-up.
Adding on jail or new one.
Let's live by the Keuka Lake waterfront and consider using nature's gifts of water and wind for energy. How about expanding our resources for the tourists, and your major industries.
Give zone/building Inspector more power to force landlords to "Keep Up" the outside appearance of rental properties.
More consideration should be given to pedestrians. It is very difficult to cross the streets at the intersection of Liberty & Elm. There's no way for Elementary School kids to walk to school or home from school. Bicycle stands!
The person that owns the Plaza should be made to fix up the broken spots where you walk. Look how bad it is in front of the Laundromat, and some areas near it.
As a business owner, the taxes make running and/or having a business extremely difficult. Employment taxes make having employees nearly impossible.
Need more retail stores ex. Target, K-Mart with everything you need that is less expensive. Perhaps a variety of stores on the channel like "Boardwalk on the Beach" in Myrtle Beach.
More sharing with village & county to save tax dollars.
No puppy mills!
No.
We live across from the Outlet playground on lake street. The music that gets played there is so loud that my children can't sleep & I can't sleep. Now I thought we had a noise ordinance but maybe I was wrong. Also stray cats - they are all over. They drive us crazy meowing, urinating on our lawn furniture. Please do something.
No.
Find more safe play areas or buildings for our children
Channel Development
Sorry this is such a mess. Survey poorly designed! This page should not have been left open for "viewing"!!! Should definitely outlaw Puppy Mills. They are a disgrace when so many dogs already are homeless and/or neglected. 3 dogs chased 4 deer thru my yard 2 weeks ago - out of sight & back again! Aren't there leash laws?! Also low taxation! I got nowhere with Assessment Board just because I purchased my newer ranch 2 years ago making my assessment much greater than any neighbors - and some with really lovely homes. I just can't help but complain. I sold my home of 54 years w/ 30 ft. of lakefront for more than I paid here and my taxes there were \$1,000 less!! Mainly due to Village taxes ???????? high!!!! I am 79 - No Pension -small S.S.
We are pleased in general with the direction that our zoning board and legislature are going. We are dismayed at how shamefully childish and inappropriate way the mayor has acted. He needs to put aside his personal agenda and vendettas and do what he is elected to do - what the people want! We don't want him taking his position to his head. He is the mayor - not the king sovereign or the President. It is very frightening to think he views himself and his friends as above the law. Penn Yan needs a leader who is standing for US not apart from US dictating his wants. The mayor needs to grow up & do his job!

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Penn Yan Boat site should be prime residential land.
Get a retail store that will be a benefit to everyone. It will create jobs and bring sales taxes back into our county instead of residents travel into other counties to shop. We also need some kind of public transportation especially for the elderly and residents that do not have a license or cannot afford a car let alone gas to get to and from work or shopping areas.
Being a renter in village allows monthly media info. Between agriculture appearance in town and more shopping opportunities in village with help with accessibility to stores. Public offices should be living locally - not just residents. Apply also to store owners - Long supported by local population. Town houses do not fit country atmosphere. Why are taxpayers paying Town of Milo Clerk to do sports work? Signing up of players, etc. Use of office, (cleaning, etc.) phone. Conflict of interest with husband & wife??
No.
Please make people clean up their yards - debris, junk, old cars!
The village needs a real dry goods (retail) store in which to shop. One with a large selection of clothing (not leftovers or seconds), appliances, electronics *computer, software, televisions, etc.), dishes, and other necessities that are available. I don't want to go into a store and look at just a few toasters, microwaves, or televisions, etc. for sale. BUT a whole shelf of them. Have you looked at the selection that is available at the Wal-Mart in Watkins Glen or Canandaigua--there are multiples to look at and choose from. I am tired of going to Canandaigua and Watkins Glen to shop at the Wal-Mart stores there. But they don't mind taking my sales tax dollars that would be better kept in Yates County. And other counties don't mind taking the tax dollars of other residents either. We could use a shoe store—back again to Ontario County. Same as these counties won't mind getting my economic stimulus check money. You want people to spend locally. Well where are you supposed to spend it. Have you looked at the number of actual retail stores that are on Main Street?? There certainly aren't very many of them. Something needs to be done to keep more sales tax dollars spent locally.
Water Quality - Since new treatment plant was built - water not the same. Toilets much dirtier with more dirty discolor in the tanks. Calcium type build up in electric water heaters. I can show you. I am a plumber and have seen these changes. You have to fix the services under ground before you fix the streets which are embarrassing to say the least. We desperately need a Dept. store like Target - etc. Cannot buy clothes, shoes, etc. with any type of choice here in PY. Something should be on the books to prevent purchase of businesses and then to let them die as so many have done in the past few years.
Quit spending our tax money unwisely. You need to keep the streets and roads up all the time in excellent condition and not like they are now! Don't be building these big buildings we don't need (like the Court House) and then have no parking places in this town. This town never considers parking when building places. You need to consider all the elderly we have here and have a place for them to shop (a dept. store badly) and with gas prices going up too we need places to shop here. We need to get some employment coming into town. You need to have a few days a year when residents can get rid of junk around their houses (in & out) and you go and pick it up for us. Other towns do this so can we!! Do things to keep this town neat and clean but don't spend money like we're rich people have when things can be done reasonable & maybe even create some jobs!
No more new costly buildings or expansions.
Is this being done by the Village also? Need to work together to balance needs and expectations. Downtown parking is a problem which effects everyone.
Set aside vacant and bordering PY village for expansion of village - where ever possible in your planning progress.
Definite need for more affordable senior housing.
GET YOUR MINDS OUT OF THE DARK AGES!!!
We need more jobs Here...Gas is too expensive for most people to travel for minimum or slightly higher pay. Youths need more incentives to stay other than they can live free or cheap here. Town curfew.
Make new road from sewage plant up to Himrod Rd. and order all honey wagons to use same or other sewage from Yates Co. from delivery to present sewage plant.
Keep school, village, & real estate taxes lower.
Consider and promote the benefits of our airport in developing the area.
Work cooperatively with other governing bodies to share services, eliminate duplication where possible. Meet with Chamber of Commerce officials in an effort to brainstorm and share ideas to promote tourism and develop business strategies.
Less building - more preventative work on existing works.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Let's be the first town in the area to capitalize on the constant wind throughout the township. Let's allow energy producing Wind Farms to bring the residents of Milo lower electrical rates. Only allow Wind Farm if it will reduce our electric rates, or reduce our taxes permanently!!
I would like to see small business in the empty business area on Main St. I don't like seeing empty windows or business. Would like to have a healthy food store in town. Please fix the roads on Main St., 54A, and 14A.
Would like a site that residents can put yard waste at any time!
There is too much drama as far as the meetings with Mr. Marchonda and the police Chief that shouldn't be the police Chief Gene Mitchell. Along with Robert Marley. They need to focus on what needs to be focused on, and to these three it is not open-minded. They thought Mr. Dailey is bad they should watch Gene Mitchell...
Complete the development of the former Penn Yan Boats area.
A place where teenagers can go and hang out with their friends in one of the abandoned buildings.
No.
Just keep it clean, beautiful and friendly.
No-
I have lived in PY my whole life and would never live anywhere else - we need to maintain our small town charm.
I feel more events such as and like Cruise night, blues fest, etc. are great and should continue to expand.
I realize this is more for the Village than the Town but we don't need any more drug/convenience stores or dollar discount stores. The entire waterfront of Keuka Lake from Sarrasins to the Main Street area needs to be reworked, and the Outlet Trail should be beefed up. There is no reason why this area can't be like the Canandaigua Lake waterfront in Canandaigua.
When Public Hearings are presented - Be sure the public is presented with useful information to contribute to a correct future action. Past hearings have been held without information and the cause was ram-rodged thru without public consideration or contribution. And the newspaper slides along with your wishes. "Leave no stone unturned" n developing a comprehensive plan. We need to provide for a swimming facility for youth in the village. Tourism seems to affect the prices we pay at the grocery store and they never return to lower prices at the end of the season.
Fix roads in Village. They are a disaster. Village taxes are terrible (way to high). Town taxes OK. Try to encourage development.
As a survey should be anonymous - and you should be concerned with personal identifiable information. There's no opportunity for kids - they need to get out of NY. Need to rid town of pigeons, starlings, & crows. No more ??? Retail stores unless like K-Mart where people truly can go to a department store and AFFORD to buy clothes & necessities. Small towns need to stay small towns. People can move if they don't like it -. The historic district sucks - you can't do anything like vinyl side your house to make it last. Make them change their policies.
Alternate Energy
Alternate Energy
Residents should be allowed to build a house with less than the required 850 sq. ft. Many people use the lake property only for summer and a large home is not necessary. Something must be done soon to actually reduce property taxes!
Some sort of technical training, if it were available I would keep to draw industries. No on will plan a facility in a place where there are no usable staff.
Have an open mind about all issues!
We don't need the Pricey Peebles store, there are too many Senior Citizens in Yates County. We can't afford their prices. Why wasn't there a survey sent to all the residents before someone made the decision on their own. WE all pay taxes, but we don't have anything to say. Also why can't we have a family type Restaurant, like Applebee's or Peppers in Canandaigua or even a Burger King? I understand Applebee's wanted to come here but they said it was a tourist town. How about all of us that live here year round. WE just don't eat out or go out of town to eat. The people of Yates County don't have much to say even though we pay these high taxes.
We can't swim in the lakes due to pollution. Our parks aren't sanitary for anyone to use. We need a swimming pool in village of P.Y. or something for exercise. Outlet trail is nice to walk but beer cans, old clothes, and exercise equipment along trail not in use anymore. We do have the exercise building but as far as I know it's individual equipment, not group activities. The streets in Village of P.Y. are awful.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

More big business for more jobs. More shopping stores (Big ones). No Bed Tax. Lower Taxes would be helpful.
No bed tax. Keep taxes reasonable so that elderly people can continue to live in their homes.
No.
The country appeal is gone once big businesses develop rural areas. Eco-friendly practices & businesses should be encouraged. Protect resources - be good stewards.
We need to keep people in PY! We need industry so they spend money here and also can work here.
The lines drawn in and around town of where livestock is allowed. Some residents have horses, one has many, yet I am a taxpayer the same as them, own enough land, but have to pay to keep my two horses at a farm out of town. We should all have the same rights!
Under no circumstance should a Wal-Mart be allowed!
If it has any puppy mills put on restrictions & not allow any more.
The Beverage Baron is a problem on Ogden Street. The beer trucks and other delivery trucks cause many traffic problems. They need to have another way to receive their deliveries.
Let's be realistic. Many of our residents are living on "fixed" incomes. What is the reason for the "Comprehensive Plan? Once it is developed - what next?
Figure out how to moderate property assessments & taxes for people who are seniors or are on fixed incomes. Give credit for well-maintained property/landscapes without hiking taxes. Encourage neighborhood/block cleanup/improvement fairs with prizes/awards/recognition for most improved neighborhood/property. Don't worry about the best areas but encourage the marginal ones & publicize improvements in print media. Have neighborhood yard sales/plant exchanges/talent shows/etc. Maintain folksy atmosphere of Windmill and small wineries. Discourage box stores/warehouse/Wal-Mart etc. Keep it simple.
Many people are concerned about all of the large expansions. People who've lived in this town all of their lives love it because it is very small. Everyone knows everyone and now it seems there are larger & larger buildings that look like they belong on every corner. Another concern is taxes. Many young people I graduated with have moved away because of climbing taxes and lack of good paying jobs.
More housing for young families. Safe house. Better communication between town and village for all residents. More different restaurants - in town or close by. No more Pizza-sub chinese shops. No more gas station.
Remember the little guy and that we are all in it together.
Instead of being so interested in the Historical District of P.Y. I wish the village would make sure that all the dumpy looking places would be made to clean their act up. All it does for those of us who keep our properties kept up and looking nice is to lower the value. There seems to be a dump at least 1 or sometimes more on every street. Coming in on Liberty or Brown St. it's not a very good advertisement for the Village of Penn Yan.
If we are going to be a "Tourist Area" the future development should be geared toward making it look like a "Tourist Area." We need a decent "Family" type restaurant.
Yes. Cut some village employees from DPW and municipal. Have the ones they keep start learning to work for the wages, benefits, and retirement they get. If they don't want to work there are people that would for pay & benefits they get. The money they save could help replace water, sewer lines and repair the streets.
Lets fix our streets! Fix up downtown.
More business. More Industry. Another motel in P.Y.
I believe it's possible to develop our town without losing the character. We need more "culture" businesses - coffee houses, etc. And we need more retail businesses - one more fast food restaurant, a Wegmans/grocery store, but NO MORE DRUG STORES. Public transportation is a concern as well. We should have reliable & affordable transportation to Geneva, Dundee, Dresden, and to Keuka College.
N/A.
Get more good paying jobs into the Penn Yan area. Crack down on illegal drug use.
Other issues - The town of Milo is becoming over zoned. It is hard to keep the rural character with all the strict land use regulations. Dog Kennels (puppy mills), CAFO's.
I am very concerned about the lakefront development and the impact it will have on the wildlife, fish & habitat. Red Jacket & Indian Pines Parks as well as the outlet trail are the only public places residents have access to all of Keuka Lake (at least that are accessible & free). Of course they all could be improved. But should remain public property & not made into homes, residences & businesses. To develop these potential gems is shortsighted & unconscionable to the public but more so to the wildlife, fish and Keuka Lake itself.
Control the number of pets in a household - Unfortunately my neighbor has 3+ large dogs roaming at will and

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

without leashes most of the time!! Their property is not maintained properly.
I know you want to increase your tax base, but you destroy the natural small town beauty; as far as I am concerned, you're last my reason to vacation there.
The study & plan that has already started needs to be finalized concerning public transpiration routes between PY, Geneva, Canandaigua, Dundee, and Keuka Park. I know for a fact that the groundwork has been done. We also need to start charging non-residents for use of the boat-launching site. I have it on good knowledge that we are the ONLY place in the area that you can launch for free. It would create jobs, help pay for maintenance of the area. We also need to have limb preventative maintenance more than once a year. Maybe even create a compost station where residents can take debris, have it chipped, etc. Even buy or get mulch for free.
Support small businesses so they can have stores on Main St. filled. Also, turn the boat-manufacturing site into a museum. Use the Keuka water access to take visitors out on Keuka Lake. Could be a potential moneymaker for the town's tourism. Promote this area more!
Would like to see our village grow more. I don't think we can stand still. Nothing ever stays the same and growth, prudent growth, keeps our town healthy and will make it a place people can work and live. We need to get people jobs they can earn a decent living wage. Our school needs to continue to acquire land at existing site & have a master plan for expansion.
Restoration of the outlet area where P.Y. Boats complex is located should be #1 - in new comprehensive Development plans!!!
Consider age of water pipes under ground and tackle the oldest to repair before a rupture occurs, again to cause more cost in repair of piping, roads and water loss.
Suggest we figure out how traffic could flow more smoothly from Memorial Day through Labor Day. Tourists are great but traffic flow down Elm, Liberty, & Lake is horrid.
This survey was a great start. The town & people need to better communicate. I see too many good working class people leaving & too many non-working people taking their place. At this pace we will no longer have the tourists draw or business appeal (see Village of Lyons). Give people incentives to clean up their community & personal property - Some do it out of pride. Many, however, don't care how their community looks.
No.
Speed up the development plan along the outlet. Develop more water with townhouses and a place to dock your boat. Townhouses on the outlet, if done right, would sell. Also shops and restaurants along outlet would do well.
Any type of incentives for the downtown business owners to help keep their storefronts attractive and fill empty stores. Keep the roads and village streets in better repair. We need to keep up a good appearance for Tourism.
1. Do more to maintain things so that things don't get too run down and cost taxpayers so much money to repair. We desperately need a decent clothing store so we don't have to travel several miles to obtain needs. 2. Annex Indian Pines into the Village - They get village utilities, but pay no Village tax! This would ease the tax load on all residents.
Over all you all are doing a good job and are to be commended for asking for input.
Continue to develop more for tourists. Some time ago there was some publicity concerning a development along the outlet near the village. It's been obvious for many years that tourism was the largest industry Penn Yan and the township could hope to have. I would like to see that to continue, it has a good start but more could be done.

Comments on Specific Questions (Written on survey)
Q1: Business & home at 228 East Elm St.
Q1: East Lake Rd. address P.Y.
Q1: Own 2 Village Properties.
Q2: Have life use of property.
Q2: Rent in village of Penn Yan.
Q2: Live elsewhere 6 months of year.

Written Survey Responses From Penn Yan Village Respondents

Q3: Bought 1st property in PY Village in 1997, & still own it.
Q3: Owned business between 5 and 10 years
Q3: Done business at my current address. Previous address was also in village, additional 7 yrs. Q4: Did not answer because this is a not for profit business.
Q3: Lived here in the 70's as well.
Q3: Family has owned for years (I've lived there between 20 & 30 years)!
Q5: The cost of services water services etc is never covered by taxes collected.
Q5: Answer D: YES!!!
Q5: Well paying jobs!
Q6: I own rental property in Milo but live elsewhere.
Q6A: No.
Q6B: Moved here in 1949.
Q6B: No.
Q6C: Tax increases in whatever form may be forcing us to leave.
Q6E: Retired.
Q6E: Is none.
Q6E: Moved to PY in 1967 to work at hospital, don't work there now.
Q6J: What services?
Q6J: Is none.
Q6J: Lack of.
Q6K: Costly!
Q6L: Need more.
Q6L: ?
Q6M: ?
Q6N: ?
Q6O: Left public schools and put our children in private school.
Q6O: Was not satisfied with school, had no choice: working in P.Y. & raising 4 children.
Q6P: Excellent.
Q6P: It's getting worse.
Q6P: My son's bike was stolen right off our porch - value over \$450
Q6Q: 1. Lighted sidewalk walking. 2. Outlet trail: horses, bicycles, and walkers. 3. Keuka pool open to public. 4. New fitness center (& current). 5. Local Hospital.
Q6Q: good choice. Convenient to all business - including Lake St.
Q6Q: reason to move.
Q6Q: I see the potential of the Village - with an influx of businesses as well as attractions for tourists/visitors for people of all ages. This could be a great town.
6Q: town/growth relationship.
Q6A-Q: All important issues for residents/taxpayers.
Q6A-Q: I don't think I would live in P.Y. if I had the money to move elsewhere.
Q6A-6Q: None of these really apply to me
Q7&8: He's a fraud, a Burden on Society. Q29-34: We're getting back into slavery from Village, State, County, & Country.
Q15: Must continue....Need Emergency plan for boat/airplane.
Q19: ?
Q20: Too expensive.
Q20: Has too much help.
Q21: too expensive.
Q21: Has too much help.
Q22: Terrible taxes, too high. Arrogant employees.

**Written Survey Responses
From Penn Yan Village Respondents**

Q22: Have to limit spending!
Q22: For Tax Rate.
Q25-28: Too many potholes
Q26: 54A-Very dissatisfied.
Q26-28: roads are in pretty bad shape.
Q27: Main St between Baptist Church & Post Office is a big mess.
Q27: Piss poor!
Q27: Roads & streets need help!
Q27: Horrendous.
Q27: Lots of Pot Holes!!!
Q27: Terrible!!
Q29: Budget out of control!
Q29-30: Taxes go up a lot faster than our incomes. SS goes up 2 or 3%, and taxes, gas etc much faster. It's hard to deal with.
Q29-34: Because I rent.
Q29-34: No Answer as a renter.
Q29-34: I wouldn't know how to make a judgment about this - sorry.
Q29 - 34: Not happy with tax rate but not familiar with services or value.
Q30: roads.
Q30: Roads & streets (down arrow).
Q31: Not necessary.
Q34: Sorry capital project voted down!
Q41: School but its ok.
Q41: Current ok.
Q41: This seems to be a Yes or No question!
Q44: Need better definition.
Q45: Not a Peebles.
Q45: Need one of these: Wal-Mart - Target - K-Mart.
Q46: That depends.
Q50: We really need that Community Center !! It would not only provide recreation for youth through adults, but bring them together :)
Q50: Clean existing facilities.
Q50: school is enough.
Q51: Any would be good.
Q51: Any would be good.
Q52: Not unless costs will come down.
Q52: Upgraded first.
Q53: 2 Houses.
Q53-55: My Property - my Freedom to live Free and be Happy. Constitutional Right!
Q54: Especially 154 Cornwell St.
Q56: Let businesses grow.
Q56: ?
Q57: Parks need to be open & clean.
Q57: AMEN!!
Q59: Indian Pines.
Q60: Lake development is ok if many factors considered, example: not too high, not too many. Strong Hall apartments are fine, for instance.
Q63: Wal-Mart.
Q64: Target Type. Q69: What industry?

**Written Survey Responses
From Penn Yan Village Respondents**

Q64: Not Peebles.
Q65: Let them have fun.
Q67: Cats!
Q67: Dogs bark.
Q67: Barking dogs!
Q67: Cats are a problem.
Q67: CATS!
Q67: Pooping in yard.
Q67: (cats).
Q67: The neighbor's dog goes in our yard.
Q67: Cats!
Q67: Cats.
Q67: Cats!!!
Q67: Cats.
Q68: Sometimes.
Q70: No way - Look at Dundee - too many.
Q70: Outside the village of Penn Yan.
Q70: Anywhere
Q70: Not in Village - Rural OK.
Q70: Not in Village - Rural OK.
Q71: So many already - looks shabby.
Q72: Bring in wind farms.
Q72: Put out in the country - outside P.Y.
Q72: They should INVEST in Wind Farms.
Q74: Also research.
Q75: Other than HUD.
Q75: Like Walnut Hill.
Q75: Area where PY boats was located could be developed into an area for local residents - such as Canandaigua & Skaneateles.
Q75: (non subsidized).
Q76: Public areas Dirty.
Q76: Public areas Dirty.

Written Survey Responses
From Survey Respondents Claiming Village & Town Neighborhoods

Answers to Question 78: What is your favorite View
None.
North Main St.
Main St. from Fair Grounds to YCRR & East Lake Rd.
The Lake.
Keuka Lake (Birkett Mills) kidding - have a bias.
Outlet Trail.
Any of the residences where the owners (rich or poor) do the best they can with what they have to make their homes and grounds attractive, neat and orderly.
Looking down the lake from Sarassins's
The lake and surrounding countryside.
Any view of the hills around the lake.

Answers to Question 79: What is your least favorite View
None.
Liberty Street - (The Main access to Penn Yan)
Village Court.
Liberty St. from North Ave. to Lake St.
Houses with junk in yards that should be disposed of.
Town barns, Elm St.
Old Beverage Baron corner.
Any of the pockets of littered, unsightly residential areas where there seems to be no regard for others, no personal pride, laziness and no concern for local ordinances that are not enforced.
The empty stores on Main Street.
The spreading die-off of trees from salt run-off.

Written Answers to Question 80: What are the biggest issues facing the Town of Milo?
Go by the Constitution.
Roads & water - sewer problems.
Intergovernmental cooperation & consolidation.
Unsure.
Need for jobs - possibly obtained by manufacturing - small - medium in size.
Road repair, parking.
Wasted tax dollars, extravagance, partisan officials.
Taxes.
The many different areas - Town, Village, Lake, farms, small businesses, wineries, tourism.
Salt run-off & management. Sewer around the Lake - should have public sewer before water.
Taxes - long term tax reform.

Written Survey Responses
From Survey Respondents Claiming Village & Town Neighborhoods

Written Answers to Question 81: Other Comments
This survey is a good idea. Follow through with it!
No.
Try not to make laws or regulations that are very difficult to enforce fairly. Use common Sense!
Parking lots need repair. Main Street road repair. Need to have no more right on red. When new businesses want to come to town - lets not have the same ones over and over - examples - same restaurants, gas stations, drug stores - we need a business like K-Mart, Wal-Mart, Target, and Kohl's.
A true, devoted, dedicated, nonpartisan public servant would cut fat without reducing services and find ways to cut taxes while maintaining integrity. Any moron can raise taxes and operate beyond their budget.
No dog farms. Keep steel wheeled vehicles off paved roads. Make Mennonite kids wear bike helmets also. Salt is a basic element that just doesn't go away! We can't keep ignoring the problem. Cover salt piles & mixing yards - direct run-off to lined ponds where salt can be reclaimed before we contaminate more wells or the Lake. Quit giving public water without sewer. We probably could have read our own responses to this survey. Will A. Moravec.
747: Several years ago we entertained building a home on our property and hired a CPA to look into the tax side of it. They covered all angles and advised me NOT to build in Yates County. The major problem area was property taxes. At that time they had no faith in the School Board as they were pushing for a new (larger) school with several athletic fields (more than 3). A large bill for a small school system. Which never should have been out to a vote-it should never have gotten that far. They also mentioned that the county was expanding and just completed a monster of a judicial building-which, in their opinion, should have been built on virgin ground-anther big cost for years to come. Since that time you have built a new elegant athletic field and it remains to be seen if man made turf will in fact be cheaper than natural turf. The reason that I am mentioning this to you is you need to keep your costs of all infrastructure (police, school, sports, safety, utilities) in order and not drive both residents and business away by having to substantially increase taxes or look at new ways to increase your revenue to pay your bills.

Comments on Specific Questions (Written on survey)
Q27: Potholes.

Written Survey Responses
From Surveys where Neighborhood was not Specified

Answers to Question 78: What is your favorite View
Driving along looking at Keuka Lake.
Lake & country views.
On Briggs & Spicer Rd.
From the Parking lot of Keuka Springs Winery and from Red Jacket Park.
Roads that look over the lake!
There is no good view.
Keuka Lake
Looking west from state route 54 across Keuka Lake
Anywhere overlooking Keuka Lake.
?
Top of hill on Milo Center Rd. where you can see Seneca Lake.
East Main St. and north on Main St., including business section.
The view from our property towards P.Y. lakeside.

Answers to Question 79: What is your least favorite View
The pot holes on East Main St. Penn Yan.
The old boat company. What a shame that has not been sold!
Houses on Seneca St n some areas.
Use to be the houses across from Shur-Fine.
The house on 320 East Elm St.
Junk in front yards.
I have none.
Old Beverage Baron.
?
Physical view is Main St./PY. Need to fix the appearance of homes. My other least favorite view is the one of people thinking lake property owners are rich and can be taxed more.
Don't have one.
Empty stores on Main St. Lake St. houses that were just removed. Why did they leave old Beverage Barron store which is an eyesore!
Looking at our neighbor's property to our right.

Written Answers to Question 80: What are the biggest issues facing the Town of Milo?
Balancing opposing opinions.
Keeping it mostly agriculture.
Taxes
More scenic housing. More jobs.
Keeping taxes down.
?
Empty stores on Main St.
Helping to maintain water quality in Keuka & Seneca Lakes without making it difficult to live here under unreasonable restrictions.
Control expenses - provide quality services keeping affordable taxes.
Keeping costs down yet improving the quality of life for the local people.
Unfairly increased lake taxes are causing the local fabric to change. Regular families are unable to pay them causing only the rich outsiders to be able to afford lake property.
As in all of NYS - taxes are too high for most. I have exemptions, so my taxes are reasonable.
Need more businesses to help provide jobs for the unemployed and keep taxes down.
The town has to find ways to deal with the mess our right hand neighbor has created on Hewitt's Point.

Written Survey Responses
From Surveys where Neighborhood was not Specified

Written Answers to Question 81: Other Comments
Don't go overboard on regulations.
We need more than "Peebles." No more drug stores. More businesses on Main Street.
Allow a clothing store so people don't have to travel to buy clothes.
I think the Town & Village need more things for the youth to do. I believe the community Center will be a very good thing if it is run right. I also feel that the bed tax is a good thing. A lot of these cottage owners get good money for a week's stay during the summer months. I know their taxes are high but this may help bring down the taxes.
Don't make TOO many rules and regulations so we feel "Big Brother" is here.
At least once a year curbside pick up of household junk would be beneficial in upkeep of properties. We need a drop off area for hazardous materials such as old paint and old electronics. We desperately need a department store along the lines of the Ames store. I would also like to see a restaurant like Applebee's or TGI Fridays.
I think there should be fines for not having your yard cleaned up. I think there should be a limit of animals in one household. This includes the Griffin House on Outlet Rd. Ames store.
Yes. The Puppy Mills are growing so fast & no one does a thing about it. Mennonites do what ever they want-- kids hanging out of wagons - WE have to have car seats. They treat their animals awful. Why don't someone do something. Police Department turns a deaf ear to it.
Do not restrict development of housing and/or business (including agriculture) so much that it will be uneconomical to provide housing or jobs. Do not say "No" to development because the "holy" board members do not understand what is involved with the project, instead the town may need to provide expert counsel for the board.
Some questions in this survey are ambiguous and difficult to answer as stated.
I believe the town should focus on its current residents and possible future residents. Keuka Lake is a huge draw for tourists and the Town and businesses seem to cater to those tourists but forget the people who support it year round. This is a low-income county and if things are not reigned in to a moderate level the locals will be forced to leave. Property taxes are high. I pay village taxes but live on the outskirts. I should get the same services the village homes get but I do not. By bringing in high level housing, it will not make it better for the locals who struggle every day, it will just make prices higher & harder fro locals to live here.
Review the constitution and try to incorporate what our forefathers wanted. All plans should be printed and distributed to property owners prior to implementation. Changes that affect change must be presented to communities multiple times to allow for a thorough review. No "steamrolling" of change. This is based on the past changes that were pushed through and have directly impacted the area. Ex: Hotel tax has helped cause a 34% decrease in vacation rentals in Yates County. The thousands made in taxes has been lost 4 fold in lost revenue from businesses.
Consider consolidation of duplicate services: 2 assessors, 3 tax billing & collection services. I've lived in 6 states and nowhere else had as much duplication & taxes were lower of course. The state is responsible for most of the high taxes, but we could help ourselves locally.
Keep costs down in any new structures so senior citizens can afford them. (Good example: P.Y. Library).
Make sure there are rules and regulations on the books that protect all taxpayers in Milo. Re fencing make of old dilapidated docks and concrete barriers. Don't let individuals dictate the way things should be done, especially when their actions are to the detriment of others. Remember * It takes only one bad apple to ruin a bushel.

Comments on Specific Questions (Written on survey)
Q1: Store in village, residence in Milo Center.
Q35 - 41: The laws in place now are fine.